IN THE FEDERAL SHARIAT COURT (Original Jurisdiction

PRESENT

MR.JUSTICE DR.TANZIL-UR-RAHMAN MR.JUSTICE DR.FIDA MUHAMMAD KHAN MR.JUSTICE ABAID ULLAH KHAN

CHIEF JUSTICE

1. SHARIAT PETITION NO.30/I OF 1990 (Re: The Interest Act, 1839)

Dr.Mahmood-ur-Rahman

Petitioner

Versus

Secretary, Ministry of Law Justice & Parliamentary Affairs, Government of Pakistan, Islamabadetc.

Respondents

Petitioner

Faisal

In person

For respondents

Hafiz S.A. Rahman and Mr.Iftikhar Hussain Ch.,

Advocates for Federal Government.

Mr.S.M. Zafar with Mr. Ali Zafar, Advocates for other respondents.

Date of Institution

30-6-1990

Date of admission

11-12-1990

Date of hearing

9-6-1991

SHARIAT PETITION NO.21/L OF 1990 (Re: The Interest Act, 1839).

Syed Mushraf Alam and others

Petitioner

Versus

Habib Bank Limited

Respondent

For petitioner

Nemo

For respondents

Hafiz S.A.Rahman and Mr. Iftikhar Hussain Ch. Advocates for Federal Government. M/s.S.M.Zafar with Ali Zafar, Advocates for other respondents.

	Date of institution	-	30-8-1990
	Date of admission	100	6-1-1991
	Date of hearing		9-6-1991
3.	SHARIAT PETITION No.27/I	OF 1990.	
	M/s S.M.A.Qureshi etc.		Petitioner
	7	Versus	
	National Bank of Pakistan		Respondent
	For Petitioner	-	In person with Mr.Muhammad Amin Sheikh, Advocate.
	For respondents		Hafiz S.A.Rahman & Mr.Iftikhar Hussain Ch.Advocates for Federal Government.
	Date of institution		Mr. S.M.Zafar with Mr.Ali Zafar, Advocates for other respondents. Kh.Muhammad Farooq, Advocate, for National Bank of Pakistan. 6-11-1990
			11-12-1990
	Date of admission		11-12-1550
	Date of hearing		9-6-1991
4.	SHARIAT PETITION No.8/K Re:The Interest Act, 1839)	OF 1990.	in the second se
	Syed Afzal Hussain		Petitioner
	y	Versus	
	Government of Sindh Provincial Co-operative Bank etc.		Respondents
	For petitioner		Mr.G.M.Saleem, Advocate.
	For respondents	141	Hafiz S.A.Rahman and Mr.Iftikhar Hussain Ch.Advocates for Federal Government.Mr. S.M.Zafar with Mr Ali Zafar Advocates for other respondents.
	Date of institution	22	12-11-1990
	Date of admission		11-12-1990
	Dates of hearing		26-2-1991,27-2-1991,9-6-1991.

5. SHARIAT PETITION No.1/K OF 1991. (Re:The Interest Act, 1839).

Niaz-ud-Din Per Bux

Petitioner

Versus

Federal Government of Pakistan and another

Respondents

For petitioner

Mr.S.M. Saeed, Advocate,

for the petitioner.

For respondents

Mr. Nizam Ahmad, Deputy Attorney General & M/s. Hafiz S.A. Rehman Iftikhar Hussain Ch. Advocates for

Date of institution

Federal Government. 3-2-1991

Date of admission

24-2-1991

Dates of hearing

26-2-1991,27-2-1991 &

3-7-1991

6. SHARIAT PETITION No.4/K OF 1991. (Re:The Interest Act, 1839).

Javid Mazhar

Petitioner

Versus

Federation of Pakistan

Respondents

For petitioner

Mr.Ghulam Mujtaba Saleem, Advocate.

Mr.Iftikhar Hussain Ch.

Standing Counsel for the Federation

Date of institution

For respondents

3-3-1991

Date of admission

1-4-1991

Date of hearing

30-5-1991

7. SHARIAT PETITION No.32/I Of 1991. (Re:The Interest Act, 1839).

Faiz Ahmad etc.

Petitioner

Versus

Habib Bank Ltd.etc.

Respondents

	For petitioner	-	Ch.Rashid Ahmad Vehniwal, Advocate
	For respondents		Hafiz S.A.Rahman and Mr.Iftikhar Hussain Ch.Advocates for Federal Government.
	Date of institution		4-6-1991
	Date of admission		4-6-1991
	Date of hearing		9-6-1991
8.	SHARIAT PETITION NO.48/L (Re:The Interest Act, 1839).	OF 1991	
	Mst.Noor Bakhat	 Versus	Petitioner
	House Building Finance Corporation etc.	× .,	Respondents
	For petitioner	-	Malik Allah Yar, Advocate.
	For respondents	(55	Mr.G.M.Saleem, Advocate, and Qazi Wajid Ali, Law Officer, for H.B.F.C.
	Date of institution		5-6-1991
	Date of admission		18-8-1991
	Date of hearing		16-10-1991
9.	SHARIAT PETITION NO.68/L (Re: The Interest Act, 1839).	OF 1991	
	Naveed Asif		Petitioner
		Versus	
	Allied Bank of Pakistan		Respondents
	Petitioner		In person with Mr.Muhammad Amin Sheikh, Advocate.
	For respondents		Mr.S.M.Zafar with Mr.Ali Zafar, Advocates for other repondents.
	Date of institution		9-7-1991
	Date of admission		18-8-1991
	Date of hearing		16-10-1991

10. SHARIAT PETITION No.71/L OF 1991. (Re:The Interest Act, 1839).

M/s Alcos

Petitioner

Versus

National Bank of Pakistan etc.

--

Respondents

For petitioner

-- --

Mr.Naveed Asif, Advocate.

For respondents

--

Mr. S.M. Zafar with Mr. Ali Zafar, Advocates for other respondents.

Date of institution

9-7-1991

Date of admission

18-8-1991

Date of hearing

16-10-1991

11. SHARIAT PETITION No.56/I OF 1991. (R:The Interest Act, 1839).

M/s Kashmir Fabrics

Petitioner

Versus

Federal Government of Pakistan, through Secretary M/o Finance, Islamabad.

Respondent

For petitioner

-

Petitioner in person

For respondents

--

Mr. S.M. Zafar with Mr. Ali Zafar Advocates for other respondents.

Date of institution

- 28-8-1991

Date of admission

1-9-1991

Date of hearing

16-10-1991

12. SHARIAT PETITION No.16-C/I OF 1991.

(Re:The Interest Act, 1839).

Allied Paper Industries & others

Petitioners

Versus

National Bank of Pakistan Respondent

751

For petitioner

Raja Muhammad Akram,

Advocate.

For respondent

Haifz S.A.Rahman, Advocate for Federation of Pakistan, Mr. Abdul Ghafoor Mangi,

Addl. Advocate General Sind. Raja Muhammad Afsar, Advocate Geenral Baluchsitan, Mr. Khalid M. Ishaq, Advocate

for respondents.

Date of institution

19-9-1991

Date of admission

25-9-1991

Date of hearing

13-10-1991,14-10-1991,

15-10-1991.

13. SHARIAT PETITION NO.17-C/I OF 1991 (Re: Interest Act, 1839).

Allied paper Industries

petitioners

Versus

National Bank of

Pakistan

and others.

Respondent

For petitioner

Raja Muhammad Akram,

Advocate

For respondents

Haifz S.A.Rahamn, Advocate and Mr. Iftikhar Hussain Ch. Advocates for Federal Govt. Mr. S.M. Zafar with Mr.Ali Zafar, Advocates for other respondents. Mr. Abdul

Ghafoor Mangi, Addl.Advocate General Sindh, Raja Muhammad Afsar, Advocate General Baluchistan, Mr.Khalid M.Ishaq, Advocate for the respondents.

Date of institution

19-9-1991

Date of admission

25-9-1991

Date of hearing

13-10-1991, 14-10-1991, 15-10-1991.

14. SHARIAT PETITION No.74/I OF 1991 (Pe The Interest Act, 1839).

15.

(Reffne Interest Act,	1839).	
Abdul Qayyum Qures	hi	Petitioner
	Versus	
Federation of Pakista	m	Respondent
For petitioner		Mr.Shaukat Ali Khan, Advocate.
For respondent		Hafiz S.A.Rahman and Mr.Iftikhar Hussain Chaudhary, Advocates, for Federal Government.
Date of institution		19-10-1991
Date of admission	-	20-10-1991
Date of hearing		24-10-1991
SHARIAT PETITION (Re: The Savings Act, 1		Š.
Dr.Mehmood-ur-Rahm Faisal	nan	Petitioner
	Versus	
Secretary M/o Justic & Parliamentary Affa Govt.of Pakistan, Isla	irs,	Respondents
Petitioner		In person
For respondents		Hafiz S.A.Rahman, & Mr.Iftikhar Hussain Ch.Advocate for Federal Government.
Date of institution	1	30-6-1990
Date of admission	122	11-12-1990

Date of hearing

17-10-1991

16.	SHARIAT	PETITION	No.21/L	OF 1990.
	(Re: The Neg	otiable Ins	truments	Act, 1881).

Sved Mushraf Alam & others

Petitioner

Versus

Habib Bank Limited

Respondent

For petitioner

Nemo

For respondents

Hafiz S.A.Rahman & Mr.Iftikhar Hussain Ch. Advocates for

Federal Government.

Mr. S.M. Zafar with Mr. Ali Zafar, Advocates for other respondents.

Date of institution

30-8-1990

Date of admission

6-1-1991

Date of hearing

9-6-1991

SHARIAT PETITION No.27/L OF 1990. 17. (Re: The Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881).

M/s S.M.A.Qureshi etc.

Petitioner

Versus

National Bank of

Pakistan

Respondent

For petitioner

In person with Mr.Muhammad Amin

Shaikh, Advocate.

For respondents

Hafiz S.A.Rahman & Mr.Iftikhar Hussain Ch. Advocates for

Federal Government.

Mr. S.M. Zafar with Mr. Ali Zafar, Advocates for other respondents.

Date of institution

6-11-1990

Date of admission

11-12-1990

Date of hearing

9-6-1991

SHARIAT PETITION No.33/I OF 1991. 18.

(Re: The Negotiable Insturments Act, 1881). Faiz Ahmad etc.

Versus

Petitioner

Habib Bank Limited etc.

Respondents

11.

For petitioner Ch. Rashid Ahmad Vehniwal, Advocate. For respondents Hafiz S.A.Rahman &Mr.Iftikhar Hussain Ch. Advocates for the Federal Government. Date of institution 4-6-1991 Date of admission 4-6-1991 Date of hearing 9-6-1991 SHARIAT PETITION No.42/I OF 1991. 19. (Re: The Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881). Petitioner Muhammad Hashim Versus National Bank of Respondents Pakistan etc. Petitioner In person Mr.Iftikhar Hussain Ch. For respondents Standing Counsel for the Federal Government. 15-6-1991 Date of institution 19-6-1991 Date of admission 2-7-1991 Date of hearing SHARIAT PETITION No.69/L OF 1991. (Re: The Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881). Petitioner Naveed Asif Versus Respondents Allied Bank of Pakistan Ltd. & others In person with Mr.Muhammad Petitioner Amin Sheikh, Advocate. Mr. S.M. Zafar with Mr Ali Zafar For respondents Advocates for other respondents. 9-7-1991 Date of institution

20.

18-8-1991 Date of admission Date of hearing 16-10-1991 SHARIAT PETITION No.70/L OF 1991. 21. (Re: The Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881) Petitioners M/s Alcos etc. Versus Respondents National Bank of Pakistan and others Mr.Muhammad Amin Shaikh, For petitioner Advocate. Mr. S.M. Zafar with Mr Ali Zafar, For respondents Advocates for other respondents. 9-7-1991 Date of institution 18-8-1991 Date of admission 16-10-1991 Date of hearing SHARIAT PETITION No.35/I OF 1991. (Re: The Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881). /22. Petitioners M/s Kashmir Fabrics Versus Respondent Federal Govt.of Pakistan, through Secretary M/o Finance, Islamabad. Petitioner in person For petitioner Mr. S.M.Zafar with Mr Ali Zafar Advocates for other respondents. For respondents 28-8-1991 Date of institution 1-9-1991 Date of admission 16-10-1991 Date of hearing

23. SHARIAT PETITION NO.16-A/I OF 1991
(Re: The Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881).

Allied paper Industries and others. petitioner

National Bank of Pakistan Versus

Respondent

For petitioner

Raja Muhammad Akram, Advocate.

For respondents

Hafiz S.A.Rahman & Mr.Iftikhar Hussain Ch. Advocates for Federal Govt. Mr.Abdul gahfoor Mangi,Addl.A.G.Sind, Raja Muhammad Afsar, A.G.Baluchistan, Mr.Khalid M.Ishaq, Advocate for the

respondnets.

Date of institution

19-9-1991

Date of admission

25-9-1991

Date of hearing

13-10-1991,14-10-1991,15-10-1991.

24. SHARIAT PETITION NO.17-A/I OF 1991
(Re:The Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881).

Allied Paper Industries Petitioners

and others.

Versus

sus

National Bank of Pakistan Respondent

For petitioner

Raja Muhammad Akram, Advocate.

For respondent --

Hafiz S.A.Rahman & Mr.Iftikhar Hussain Ch. Advocates for Federal Govt. Mr.Abdul Ghafoor Mangi, Addl. A.G. Sind,

Mr.Abdul Ghafoor Mangi, Addl. A.G. Sind, Raja Muhammad Afsar, A.G.Baluchistan, Mr.Khalid M.Ishaq, Advocate for respondents.

Date of institution

19-9-1991

Date of admission

25-9-1991

Date of hearing

13-10-1991

14-10-1991

15-10-1991

25, SHARIAT SUO MOTO No.2/I OF 1991
(Re: The Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881).

For respondents

--

Mr.Iftikhar Hussain Chaudhary, Standing Counsel for the Federation of Pakistan.

Raja Muhammad Afsar, Advocate General, Baluchistan.

Mr. Abdul Ghafoor Mangi, Addl: Advocate General, Sindh.

Mr.Shahabuddin Barq, Law Officer, on behalf of the Advocate General, NWFP.

Mr.Javed Aziz Sindhu, Advocate, on behalf of the Advocate General, Punjab.

Date of institution

6-10-1991

Date of hearing

13-10-1991

SHARIAT PETITION No.2/L OF 1991

(RetThe Land Acquisition Act, 1894).

Dr.Syed Asad Gillani, etc.

Petitioners

Versus

Government of Pakistan

Respondent

For petitioner

Petitioner in person

For respondents

Mr.Iftikhar Hussain Chaudhary, for Federal Government.

Mr.Muhammad Nawaz Abbasi, A.A.G.Punjab with Mr.Muhammad Aslam Uns,Advocate.

1

For respondents

Mr. Shahabuddin Barq,

Law Officer, for Advocate General

N.W.F.P.

Hafiz S.A.Rahman,

Advocate, for A.A.G. Sindh.

Mr.Iftikhar Hussain Chaudhary,

Advocate, on behalf of Advocate General, Baluchistan.

Date of institution

5-1-1991

Date of admission

27-1-1991

Dates of hearing

17-10-1991,22-10-1991 &

24-10-1991

SHARIAT SUO MOTO No.4/I/1991 27. (Ré:The Land Acquisition Act, 1894).

For respondents

M/s Hafiz S.A.Rahman and Iftikhar Hussain Chaudhary, Standing Counsel for the Federation of Pakistan

Mr.Muhammad Nawaz Abbasi, A.A.G, Punjab with Mr. Muhammad

Aslam Uns, Advocate.

Hafiz S.A.Rahman, Advocate, for Advocate General,

sindh.

Mr. Shahabuddin Barq, Law Officer, N.W.F.P.

Mr.Iftikhar Hussain Chaudhary, Advocate for A.G. Baluchistan.

Date of institution

20-10-1991.

Date of hearing

22-10-1991,24-10-1991

28. SHARIAT PETITION No.21/L OF 1990.

(Ré: The Civil Procedure Code, 1908)

Syed Mushraf Alam & others --

Petitioner

Versus

Habib Bank Limited

Respondent

For petitioner

Nemo

For respondents

Hafiz S.A.Rahman & Mr.Iftikhar Hussain Ch. Advocates for Federal Government.

Mr. S.M. Zafar with Mr. Ali Zafar, Advocates for other respondents.

Date of institution

30-8-1990

Date of admission

6-1-1991

Date of hearing

9-6-1991

SHARIAT PETITION No.27/L OF 1990. 29.

(Re:The Civil Procedure Code, 1908).

M/s S.M.A.Qureshi etc.

Petitioners

Versus

National Bank of Pakistan

Respondent

For petitioner

In person with Mr.Muhammad Amin

Shaikh, Advocate.

For respondent

Hafiz S.A.Rahman & Mr.Iftikhar

Hussain Ch. Advocates for Federal Government, Mr. S.M. Zafar with Mr. Ali Zafar Advocates for

other respondents.

Dat e of institution

6-11-1990

Date of admission

11-12-1990

Date of hearing

9-6-1991

SHARIAT PETITION No.8/K OF 1990. (Re: The Civil Procedure Code, 1908).

Petitioner

Syed Afzal Hussain

Versus

Respondents

Government of Sindh Provincial Co-operative Bank etc.

For petitioner Mr.G.M.Saleem, Advocate. For respondents Hafiz S.A.Rahman & Mr.Iftikhar Hussain Ch. Advocates for Federal Government Mr. S.M. Zafar with Mr. Ali Zafar, Advocates for other respondents. Date of institution 12-11-1990 Date of admission 11-12-1990 Dates of hearing 26-2-1991,27-2-1991& 9-6-1991. 31. SHARIAT PETITION No.1/K OF 1991. (Re: The Civil Procedure Code, 1908). Niaz-ud-Din Per Bux Petitioner Versus Federal Government of Respondents Pakistan & another Nemo For petitioner For respondents Nemo 3-2-1991 Date of institution 24-2-1991 Date of admission 26-2-1991,27-2-1991 & Dates of hearing 3-7-1991 SHARIAT PETITION No.4/K OF 1991. 32. (Re:The Civil Procedure Code 1908). Petitioner Javid Mazhar Versus Respondents Federation of Pakistan and others Mr.Ghulam Mujtaba Saleem, For petitioner Advocate. Mr.Iftikhar Hussain Ch. For respondents Standing Counsel for the Federation.

 Date of institution
 - 3-3-1991

 Date of admission
 - 1-4-1991

 Date of hearing
 - 30-5-1991

33. SHARIAT PETITION NO.24/L OF 1991
(Re: The Civil Procedure Code 1908)

Muhammad Ashraf etc. -- Petitioners

Versus

Industrial Asstt: Registrar Co-

operative Societies,

Lahore etc.

For petitioner

Mr.Muhammad Iqbal, Advocate.

M/s.Mukhtar Ahmad Tarar and M.Sultan Khan, Advocates for NIFC.

For respondents -- Hafiz S.A.RAhman and

Respondents

Mr. Iftikhar Hussain Ch. Standing

Counsel for Federal Government.

Date of institution -- 19-3-1991

Date of admission -- 3-6-1991

Date of hearing -- 2-7-1991

34. SHARIAT PETITION NO.25/L OF 1991 (Re: The civil Procedure Code 1908).

Muhammad Iqbal Naz -- Petitioner

Versus

Government of

Punjab etc. -- Respondents

For petitioner -- Mr. Muhammad Iqbal, Advocate

For respondents -- M/s.Mukhtar Ahmad Tarar and

M.Sultan Khan, Advocates, for

NIFC.

Mr.Iftikhar Hussain Ch.

Standing Counsel for the

Federal Government.

Date of institution -- 19-3-1991

Date of admission -- 3-6-1991

Date of hearing -- 2-7-1991

7

35. SHARIAT PETITION No.17/I OF 1991. (Re: The Civil Procedure Code 1908).

Allied Paper Industries

Petitioner

Versus

National Bank of Pakistan

Respondents

For petitioner

Raja Muhammad Akram,

Advocate.

For respondents

Mr.Iftikhar Hussain Ch. and Hafiz S.A.Rahman,

Advocates for Federal

Government.

Date of institution

28-3-1991

Date of admission

1-4-1991

Date of hearing

1-7-1991

36. SHARIAT PETITION No.31/I OF 1991. (Re: The Civil Procedure Code 1908).

Faiz Ahmad etc.

Petitioner

Versus

Habib Bank Limited etc.

Respondents

For petitioner

Mr.Ghous Muhammad Ch. and Ch.Rashid Ahmad Vehniwal,

Advocates.

For respondents

--

Hafiz S.A.Rahman and Mr.Iftikhar Hussain Ch. Advocates for

Federal Government.

Date of institution

4-6-1991

Date of admission

4-6-1991

Date of hearing

9-6-1991

37. SHARIAT PETITION No.45/I OF 1991.

(ReaThe Civil Procedure Code, 1908).

Muhammad Hashim --

Petitioner

Versus

National Bank of Pakistan etc.

Respondents

etc.

In person Petitioner Mr. Iftikhar Hussain Ch. For respondents Standing Counsel for Federal Government. 19-6-1991 Date of institution 19-6-1991

Date of hearing 2-7-1991

SHARIAT PETITION NO.16/I OF 1991 38. (Re: The Civil Procedure Code 1908)

Date of admission

petitioners Allied paper Industries and others.

Versus Respondent National Bank of Pakistan.

Raja Muhammad Akram, Advocate. For petitioner

Mr.Iftikhar Hussain Ch. & Hafiz S.A. Rahman, Advocates for Federal Govt. For respondents Mr. Hassan Zaman Chief of Islamic Bank, State Bank of Paksitan.Mr.Abdul Gahfoor Mangi, Addl.A.G.Sind, Raja Muhammad Afsar, A.G.Baluchsitan, Mr. Jaffar Hussain Siddiqui

Asstt. General Manager, State Life Insurance

Corporation.

28-3-1991 Date of institution

1-4-1991 Date of admission

15-10-1991 Date of hearing

SHARIAT PETITION NO.72/L OF 1991 39. (Re: The Civil Procedure Code, 1908).

Petitioners M/s. Alcos Versus National Bank Respondents of Pakistan

Mr. Naveed Asif and Mr. Muhammad For petitioner Amin Sheikh, Advocates.

M/s. S.M.Zafar with Ali Zafar, Advocates For respondents for other respondents.

30-6-1991 Date of institution

18-8-1991 Date of admission 16-10-1991 Date of hearing 40. SHARIAT PETITION No.74/L OF 1991. (Re: The Civil Procedure Code, 1908). Petitioner Naveed Asif Versus Respondents Allied Bank of Pakistan & another In person with Mr. Muhammad Petitioner Amin Sheikh, Advocate. Mr. S.M.Zafar with Mr. Ali Zafar, For respondents Advocates for other respondents. 9-7-1991 Date of institution 18-8-1991 Date of admission 16-10-1991 Date of hearing SHARIAT PETITION No.57/I OF 1991. (Re: The Civil Procedure Code, 1908). Petitioners M/s Kashmir Fabrics Versus Respondent Federal Government of Pakistan through Secretary M/o Finance, Islamabad. petitioner in person For petitioner Mr. S.M. Zafar with Mr. Ali Zafar For respondent Advocates for other respondents. 28-8-1991 Date of institution 1-9-1991 Date of admission

Date of hearing

16-10-1991

SHARIAT SUO MOTO No.3/I OF 1991

(Ret The Civil Procedure Code, 1908).

For respondents

Mr. Iftikhar Hussain Ch. Advocate, for the Federal Government.

Raja Muhammad Afsar, Advocate General, Baluchistan.

Mr. Abdul Gahfoor Mangi, Additional Advocate General, Sindh.

Mr. Javed Aziz Sindhu, Advocate, on behalf of the Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Shahabuddin Barq, Law Officer, on behalf of Advocate General, NWFP.

Date of institution

6-10-1991

Date of hearing

13-10-1991

SHARIAT PETITION No.1/L OF 1991 (RetThe Cooperative Socieites Act, 1925).

Ch. Ijaz Ahmad

Petitioner

Versus

The Provincial Government of Punjab etc.

Respondents

For petitioner

Mr. Muhammad Aqeel Mirza,

Advocate

For respondents

Malik Hamid Saeed,

Addl: Advocate General, NWFP.

Mr.Muhammad Nawaz, Advocate,

for respondent No.2.

Mr.Muhammad Nawaz Abbasi, A.A.G. with Mr.M. Aslam Uns, Advocate. Hafiz S.A. Rahman, Adv. for A.G.Sindh and Mr.Iftikhar Hussain Ch.for A.G.Baluchistan.

Date of admission

16-9-1991

Date of hearing

17-10-1991, 22-10-1991 and

24-10-1991

SHARIAT PETITION No.27/I OF 1991 44. (Re: The Cooperative Societies Act, 1925).

Muhammad Ashraf & another

Petitioners

Versus

Government of Pakistan

Respondents

etc.

For petitioner

Nemo

For respondents

Malik Hamid Saeed,

Addl: Advocate General, NWFP.

Mr. Tariq Qazi, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

Mr.Muhammad Nawaz Abbasi, A.A.G.Punjab withMr.Muhammad Aslam Uns, Advocate.

Hafiz S.A.Rahman, Advocate, for Advocate General, Sindh.

Mr. Iftikhar Hussain Chaudhary, Advocate, for Advocate General,

Baluchistan.

Date of institution

3-6-1991

Date of admission

3-6-1991

Date of hearing

17-10-1991, 22-10-1991, 24-10-1991

SHARIAT PETITION No.28/I OF 1991 45.

(Re:The Cooperative Societies Act, 1925). Muhammad Iqbal Naz

Petitioner

Versus

Government of the Punjab etc.

Respondents

For petitioner

Nemo

For respondents

Malik Hamid Saeed,

Addl: Advocate General, NWFP.

Mr. Muhammad Nawaz Abbasi, Addl: Advocate General, Punjab. with Mr. Muhammad Aslam Uns,

Advocate.

Hafiz S.A.Rahman, Advocate, for Advocate General, Sindh. Mr. Iftikhar Hussain Ch. Advocate, for Advocate General, Baluchistan.

3-6-1991

Date of institution

3-6-1991

Date of admission Date of hearing

17-10-1991,22-10-1991,24-10-1991

_46.	SHARIAT	PETITION	No.30/I	OF	1991
	(Re: The Co	operative S	Societies	Act.	1925).

Tariq Mahmood and another

Petitioners

Versus

Province of Punjab, etc.

Respondents

For petitioner

Mian Ghulam Hussain,

Advocate.

For respondents

Malik Hamid Saeed, Addl: Advocate General, NWFP. Mr.Muhammad Nawaz Abbasi,

A.A.G.Punjab with Mr.Muhammad Aslam Uns, Advocate.

Hafiz S.A.Rahman, Advocate, for

Advocate General, Sindh.

Mr. Iftikhar Hussain Ch. Advocate, for Advocate General, Baluchista.

4-6-1991

Date of admission

Date of institution

4-6-1991

Date of hearing

17-10-1991,22-10-1991,24-10-1991

SHARIAT PETITION No.85/L OF 1991 (Re: The Cooperative Societies Act, 1925).

Muhammad Sharif

Petitioner

Versus

Federation of Pakistan

Respondent

For petitioner

Mr.M.D.Tahir,

Advocate.

For respondent

Mr.Shahab-ud-Din, Law Officer, on behalf of A.G. N.W.F.P.

Mr. Muhammad Nawaz Abbasi, A.A.G. Punjab with Mr. Muhammad Aslam Uns, Advocate.

Hafiz S.A.Rahman, Adv. for A.G.

Mr. Iftikhar Hussain Ch. for A.G.

4-8-1991

Dat e of admission

Date of institution

28-8-1991

Date of hearing

17-10-1991,22-10-1991,24-10-1991

48.	SHARIAT	PETITION	No.16/I	OF 1990
	((Re:	The Insu	rance Ac	t, 1938).

Dr.Mahmood-ur-Rahman

Petitioner

Versus

Secretary, Ministry of Justice, Law & Parliamentary Affairs, Government of Pakistan, Islamabad. In person

Petitioner

In person

For respondents

Mr.Khalid M.Ishaque, Advocate, for State life Insurance Corporation of Pakistan.

Mr.S.M.Zafar and Mr.Ali Zafar, Advocates, for Finance Division.

Hafiz S.A.Rahman, Advocate, for Federal Government. Mr.Hasan Zaman Chief of Islamic Bank, State Bank of Pakistan.

Date of institution

25-6-1990

Date of admission

- 11-12-1990

Date of hearing

28-5-1991, 9-6-1991,10-6-1991, 13-10-1991,14-10-1991, 15-10-1991.

49. SHARIAT PETITION No.17/I OF 1990 (Re: The State Bank of Pakistan Act, 1956). Dr.Mahmood-ur-Rahman

Petitioner

Versus

Secretary, Ministry of Law, Justice & Parliamentary Affairs, Government of Pakistan, Islamabad etc. Respondents

Petitioner

In person

For respondents

Hafiz S.A.Rahman Mr.Iftikhar Hussain Ch.Advocates for Federal Government.

Mr.S.M.Zafar with Mr.Ali Zafar, Advocate, for the other respondents.

Date of institution

25-6-1990

Date of admission

11-12-1990

Date of hearing

9-6-1991

SHARIAT PETITION No.51/I OF 1991. SQ. (Re(The West Pakistan Money Lenders Ord., 1960)

Mohammad Iqbal

Petitioner

Versus

Government of Punjab

Respondents

and another

Petitioner

In person

For respondent

Mr.Muhammad Nawaz Abbasi,

A.A.G.Punjab with Mr.Muhammad

Aslam Uns, Advocate.

Hafiz S.A.Rahman, Advocate, for

Advocate General, Sindh.

Mr. Shahabuddin, Law Officer, NWFP.

Mr. Iftikhar Hussain Ch. for A.G. Baluchistan.

27-8-1991-

Date of institution

28-80-1991

Date of admission Date of hearing

17-10-1991,22-10-1991,24-10-1991

. 4

(Re: The West Pakistan Money Lenders Ord., 1960)

SHARIAT PETITION No.64/I OF 1991.

Muhammad Mukhtar Ahmad

Petitioner

Farani

Versus

Government of Sindh through--Secretary Law Department, Karachi.

Respondent

For petitioner

Petitioner in person

For respondent

Hafiz S.A.Rahman, Advocate, for Advocate General, Sindh.

Date of institution

21-9-1991

Date of admission

25-9-1991

Date of hearing

17-10-1991,22-10-1991,24-10-1991

SHARIAT PETITION No.65/I OF 1991. (Re: The West Pakistan Money Lenders Ord., 1960)

> Muhammad Mukhtar Ahmad Farani

Petitioner

Versus

Government of Baluchistan through Secretary Law Deptt: Baluchistan.

Respondent

For petitioner

Petitioner in person

For respondent Mr. Iftikhar Hussain Chaudhary, Advocate, for Advocate General, Baluchistan. Date of institution 21-9-1991 Date of admission 25-9-1991 Date of hearing 17-10-1991,22-10-1991 and 24-10-1991 SHARIAT PETITION No.66/I OF 1991. (Re:The West Pakistan Money Lenders Ord., 1960) Muhammad Mukhtar Ahmad Petitioner Farani Versus Government of N.W.F.P. through Secretary Law Department, N.W.F.P. Petitioner in person For petitioner Mr. Shahabuddin Barq, For respondent Law Officer, NWFP. Date of institution 21-9-1991 Date of admission 25-9-1991 Date of hearing 17-10-1991,22-10-1991 SHARIAT PETITION No.67/I OF 1991. (Re:The West Pakistan Money Lenders Ord. ,1960) Muhammad Mukhtar Ahmad Petitioner Farani Versus Respondent Government of Punjab ,through Secretary Law Department, Punjab. For petitioner Petitioner in person Mr. Muhammad Aslam Uns, For respondent Advocate, for Advocate General, Punjab. 29-9-1991 Date of institution 25-9-1991 Date of admission 17-10-1991 Date of hearing

55. SHARIAT PETITION No.14/I OF 1990. (Ré: The Agriculture Development Bank of Pakistan, Rules, 1961).

Sheikh Aziz-ur-Rahman

Petitioner

Versus

Government of Pakistan

Respondent

Petitioner

In person

For respondents

Hafiz S.A.Rahman & Mr.Iftikhar Hussain Ch. Advocates for

Federal Government.

Mr.S.M.Zafar with Mr.Ali zafar Advocate for other respondents. Hafiz S.A.Rahman, Advocate for

ADBP.

Date of institution

5-6-1990

Date of admission

11-12-1990

Date of hearing

56.

30-5-1991 9-6-1991

Dr.Mehmood-ur-Rahman Faisal

Petitioner

Versus

(Re(The Agriculture Development Bank of Pakistan, Rules, 1961).

Secretary, Ministry of Law, -- Justice & Parliamentary Affairs, Government of

SHARIAT PETITION No.19/I OF 1990.

Respondent

Petitioner

Pakistan.

In person

For respondents

Hafiz A.S.Rahman, Advocate and Mr.Tariq Asad, Law Officer, on behalf of ADBP.

Hafiz S.A. Rahman and Mr. Iftikhar Hussain Ch. Advocates

for Federal Govt.

Date of institution

25-6-1990

Date of admission

9-12-1990

Date of hearing

30-5-1991, 10-6-1991,1-7-1991 3-7-1991

SHARIAT PETITION No.17/L OF 1990. (Re(The Agriculture Development Bank of Pakistan, Rules, 1961).

Baqir etc.

Petitioners

Versus

Agricultural Development Bank of Pakistan

Respondent

Petitioner in person with For petitioner Mr. Subah Sadiq, Advocate. Hafiz S.A.Rahman &Mr.Iftikhar For respondents Hussain Ch. Advocates for Federal Government. Mr.S.M.Zafar with Mr.Ali Zafar Advocate for other respondents. Hafiz S.A.Rahman, Advocate for ADBP. 9-8-1990 Date of institution 12-12-1990 Date of admission 30-5-1991 9-6-1991 Date of hearing SHARIAT PETITION No.68/I OF 1990. (Re(The Agriculture Development Bank of Pakistan, Rules, 1961).

Versus

Secretary, Ministry of Law, --Justice & Parliamentary Affairs, Government of Pakistan etc.

Shahzada Abdul Hadi

Respondents

Petitioner

For Petitioner -- Nemo

For respondents -- Hafiz S.A.Rahman, Advocate, for Federal Government and on behalf of ADBP.

Date of institution -- 21-11-1990

Date of admission -- 9-12-1990

Date of hearing -- 28-5-1991

9-6-1991

69. SHARIAT PETITION No.72/I OF 1990. (ReThe Agriculture Development Bank of Pakistan, Rules, 1961).

Allah Yar

Petitioner

Versus

Agricultural Development Bank of Pakistan Respondent

Petitioner -- In person

Hafiz S.A.Rahman & Mr.Iftikhar For respondents Hussain Ch. Advocates for Federal Government. Mr.S.M. Zafar with Mr. Ali Zafar, Advocate for other respondents. Hafiz S.A.Rahman, Advocate for ADBP. 12-12-1990 Date of institution 6-1-1991 Date of admission 30-5-1991 9-6-1991 Date of hearing SHARIAT PETITION No.13/L OF 1991.
(RecThe Agriculture DevelOpment Bank of Pakistan, Rules, 1961). Petitioner Rehim Din Versus Respondents Federal Government etc. In person Petitioner Hafiz S.A.Rahman, Advocate, for ADBP Mr.Iftikhar Hussain Ch. For respondents Advocate for Rederal Government. Mr. S.M.Zafar with Mr. Ali Zafar, Advocates for other respondents. 14-2-1991 Date of institution 3-6-1991 Date of admission 9-6-1991 2-7-1991 Date of hearing 61. SHARIAT PETITION No.27/L OF 1991. (Re:The Agriculture Development Bank of Pakistan, Rules, 1961) Petitioner Amanat Ali Versus Respondents Agricultural Development Bank of Pakistan, etc. In person Petitioner Hafiz S.A.Rahman & Mr.Iftikhar For respondents Hussain Ch. Advocates for Federal Government. Hafiz S.A.Rahman, Advocate for ADBP.

20-3-1991

Date of institution

4-6-1991 Date of admission 9-6-1991 Date of hearing SHARIAT PETITION No.34/L OF 1991. (ReThe Agriculture Development Bank of Pakistan, Rules, 1961). Petitioner Muhammad Nawaz Versus Respondents Agricultural Development Bank of Pakistan, etc. In person Petitioner Hafiz S.A.Rahman & Mr.Iftikhar For respondents Hussain Ch. Advocates for Federal Government. Hafiz S.A. Rahman, Advocate for ADBP. 5-5-1991 Date of institution 28-5-1991 Date of admission 9-6-1991 Date of hearing SHARIAT PETITION No.36/L OF 1991. (ReThe Agriculture Development Bank of Pakistan, Rules, 1961). Petitioner Lal Din Versus Respondents Agricultural Development Bank of Pakistan, etc. Mr.Muhammad Iqbal, For petitioner Advocate. Mr. Iftikhar Hussain Ch. For respondent Standing Counsel for Federal Government. 13-5-1991 Date of institution 19-6-1991 Date of admission 2-7-1991 Date of hearing

64. SHARIAT PETITION No.39/L OF 1991.
(Re:The Agriculture Development Bank of Pakistan, Rules, 1961)

Mumtaz

Petitioner

Versus

Agricultural Development Bank of Pakistan, etc. Respondents

Petitioner

In person

For respondents

Hafiz S.A.Rahman & Mr.Iftikhar Hussain Ch. Advocates for

Federal Government.

Hafiz S.A.RAhman, Advocate for

ADBP 22-5-1991

Date of institution

22-3-133

Date of admission

28-5-1991

Date of hearing

9-6-1991

85. SHARIAT PETITION No.22/L OF 1991.

(Re! The Agriculture Development Bank of Pakistan, Rules, 1961)

Sheikh Abdul Majeed etc.

Petitioner

Versus

Agricultural Development Bank of Pakistan, etc. Respondents

For petitioner

Mr.S.M.Zamir Zaidi,

Advocate.

For respondents

Hafiz S.A.Rahman & Mr.Iftikhar Hussain Ch. Advocates for

Federal Government.

Date of institution

3-6-1991

Date of admission

3-6-1991

Date of hearing

9-6-1991

66. SHARIAT PETITION No.39/I of 1991.
(Re: The Agriculture Development Bank of Pakistan, Rules, 1961).

Amir Alam Nutkani

Petitioner

Versus

71

Government of Pakistan etc.

Respondents

For petitioner Syed Zafar Abbas, Advocate. For respondents Mr.Iftikhar Hussain Ch. Advocate for the Federal Government. Hafiz S.A. Rahman, Advocate for ADBP Date of institution 10-6-1991 Date of admission 16-6-1991 Date of hearing 2-7-1991 SHARIAT PETITION No.33/L OF 1991. (RecThe Agriculture Development Bank of Pakistan, Rules, 1961) Sardar Khan Petitioner Versus Agricultural Development Respondents Bank of Pakistan, etc. Petitioner In person Mr. Ifitkhar Hussain Ch. Advocate for Federal Govt. For respondents Hafiz S.A.Rahman & Mr. Tariq Asad, Advocates for ADBP Date of admission 16-6-1991 Date of hearing 3-7-1991 SHARIAT PETITION No.41/L OF 1991. (Re: The Agriculture Development Bank of Pakistan, Rules, 1961) Noor Ahmad Petitioner Versus Federation of Pakistan Respondent For petitioner Mr.M.D. Tahir, Advocate. Mr.Iftikhar Hussain Ch.Advocate For respondents for Federal Govt. Hafiz S.A.Rahman, Advocate for ADBP Date of institution 16-6-1991 Date of admission 16-6-1991 Date of hearing 3-7-1991

68.

69. SHARIAT PETITION No.44/1 OF 1991.

(Re:The Agriculture Development Bank of Pakistan, Rules, 1961)

Mazhar Jan

Petitioner

Versus

Federal Government of Pakistan etc.

Respondents

Petitioner

In person

For respondents

....

Mr.Iftikhar Hussain Ch. Standing Counsel for Federal Government.

Hafiz S.A.RAhman, Advocate for

ADBP

17-6-1991

Date of institution

Date of admission

19-6-1991

Date of hearing

2-7-1991

70. SHARIAT PETITION No.60/L OF 1991.
(Re:The Agriculture Development Bank of Pakistan, Rules, 1961).

Muhammad Akram

Petitioner

Versus

Federal Government of Pakistan

Respondent

For petitioner

Petitioner in person

For respondent

Hafiz S.A. Rahman, Advocate for

ADBP and Federal Government.

Date of institution

18-6-1991

Date of admission

4-7-1991

Date of hearing

14-10-1991

SHARIAT PETITION No.46/I OF 1991. (Re:The Agriculture Development Bank of Pakistan, Rules, 1961).

Nadeem-ul-Islam

Petitioner

Versus

Federation of Pakistan & another

Respondents

70

For petitioner

73.

Hafiz S.A.Rahman, Advocate for Federal Government. For respondent 20-6-1991 Date of institution 4-7-1991 Date of admission 14-10-1991 Date of hearing . SHARIAT PETITION No.46/L OF 1991.
(Re: The Agriculture Development Bank of Pakistan, Rules, 1961). Petitioner Fateh Muhammad Versus Respondent Government of Pakistan Petitioner in person For petitioner Hafiz S.A.Rahman, Advocate for ADBP and Federal Government. For respondent 20-6-1991 Date of institution 18-8-1991 Date of admission 14-10-1991 Date of hearing SHARIAT PETITION No.47/I OF 1991. (Re: (The Agriculture Development Bank of Pakistan, Rules, 1961). Petitioner Farman Ali Shah Versus Respondents Agricultural Development Bank of Pakistan and another Petitioner in person. For petitioner Hafiz S.A.Rahman, Advocate for ADBP and Federal Government. For respondents 29-6-1991 Date of institution 4-7-1991 Date of admission 14-10-1991 Date of hearing

Mr. Anwar-ul-Haq, Advocate.

SHARIAT PETITION No. 48/I OF 1991. (Re:The Agriculture Development Bank of Pakistan, Rules, 1961)

Zafar Hayat Khan

Petitioner

Versus

Federal Government & another

Respondents

Petitioner

In person

For respondent

Hafiz S.A.Rahman, Advocate for ADBP and Federal Government. Mr. S.M.Zafar with Mr Ali Zafar, Advocates for other respondents.

Date of institution

30-6-1991

Date of admission

18-8-1991

Date of hearing

14-10-1991

75. SHARIAT PETITION No.62/L OF 1991. (Re:The Agriculture Development Bank of Pakistan, Rules, 1961)

Nawab Masroor Ali Khan

Petitioner

Versus

Agricultural Development Bank of Pakistan & others Respondents

For petitioner

Mian Ghulam Hussain,

Advocate.

For respondents

Hafiz S.A.Rahman, Advocate for ADBP and Federal Government Mr. S.M.Zafar with Mr Ali Zafar, Advocates

for other respondents.

Date of institution

11-7-1991

Date of admission

18-8-1991

Date of hearing

14-10-1991

76. (Re: The Agriculture Development Bank of Pakistan, Rules, 1961)

Ghous Bukhsh

Petitioner

Versus

Federal Government of Pakistan etc.

Respondents

Petitioner

In person

Hafiz S.A.Rahman, Advocate for For respondents ADBP and Federal Government 21-7-1991 Date of institution 18-8-1991 Date of admission 14-10-1991 Date of hearing SHARIAT PETITION No.79/L OF 1991. (Re: The Agriculture Development Bank of Pakistan, Rules, 1961). Petitioner Muhammad Anees Versus Federation of Pakistan Respondent through Secretary, Ministry of Finance. For petitioner Petitioner in person Hafiz S.A.Rahman, Advocate for ADBP and Federal Government For respondent 27-8-1991 Date of institution 1-9-1991 Date of admission 14-10-1991 Date of hearing SHARIAT PETITION No.69/I OF 1991. (ReiThe Agriculture Development Bank of Pakistan, Rules, 1961) Muhammad Arshad Khan Petitioner Versus Government of Pakistan Respondent through Secretary, Ministry of Finance, Islamabad. Nemo For petitioner Hafiz S.A.Rahman, Advocate for ADBP and Federal Government For respondent 2-9-1991 Date of institution 25-9-1991 Date of admission

14-10-1991

Date of hearing

(Re(The Agriculture Development Bank of Pakistan, Rules, 1961).

Malik Bashir Ahmad Awan

Petitioner

Versus

Chairman, Agricultural Development Bank of Pakistan and Federal Government through Secretary, Finance Division, Islamabad. Respondents

For petitioner

Nemo

For respondent

Mr. Iftikhar Hussain Ch.

Advocate for Federal Government.

Date of institution

25-9-1991

Date of admission

25-9-1991

Date of hearing

13-10-1991

80. SHARIAT PETITION No.33/L OF 1990. (Re: The Agriculture Development Bank of Pakistan, Rules, 1961)

Farooq Ahmad Maneka

Petitioner

Versus

President of Pakistan and Federal Government of Pakistan through Finance Secretary, Islamabad.

Respondents

For petitioner

Syed Afzal Haider,

Advocate

For respondents

Mr.Iftikhar Hussan Ch.

Advocate, for Federal

Government. Hafiz S.A.RAhman, Advocate for

ADBP

Date of institution

9-12-1990

Date of admission

3-2-1991

Date of hearing

29-5-1991

SHARIAT PETITION No.102/L OF 1991 (Re: The Agriculture Development Bank of Pakistan, Rules, 1961)

Muhammad Anwar

Petitioner

Versus

Federation of Pakistan through Secretary, M/o Finance and Commerce Division, Islamabad.

Respondent

For petitioner

Mr. Muhammad Rashid Akhtar, Advocate.

For respondent

Mr, Iftikhar Hussain Chaudhary, Advocate, and Hafiz S.A. Rahman, Advocate for

Federal Government.

Date of institution

2-10-1991

Date of admission

16-10-1991

Date of hearing

24-10-1991

SHARIAT PETITION No.18/I OF 1990 (Re: The Banking Companies Ordinance, 1962)

Dr.Mahmood-ur-Rahman

Petitioner

Versus

Secretary, Ministry of Law, Justice & Parliamentary Affairs, Government of Pakistan, Islamabad, etc.

Respondents

Petitioner

In person

For respondents

Hafiz S.A.Rahman & Mr.Iftikhar Hussain Ch. Advocates, for

Federal Government.

Mr.S.M. Zafar with Mr. Ali Zafar, Advocate, for other respondents

Date of institution

25-6-1990

Date of admission

11-12-1990

30-5-1991 9-6-1991

Date of hearing

83. SHARIAT PETITION No.21/I OF 1990. (Re: The Banking Companies Rules, 1963).

Dr.Mahmood-ur-Rahman Faisal

Petitioner

Versus

Secretary, Ministry of Law, Justice & Parliamentary Affairs, Government of. Pakistan, Islamabad, etc.

Respondents

Petitioner

In person

For respondents

Hafiz S.A.Rahman & Mr.Iftikhar Hussain Ch. Advocates for Federal Government.

Mr.S.M.Zafar with Mr.Ali Zafar, Advocate, for other respondents

Date of institution

25-6-1990

Date of admission

11-12-1990

30-5-1991

Date of hearing

9-6-1991

24. SHARIAT PETITION No.20/I OF 1990 (Re: The Banks (Payment of compension) Rules, 1974)

Dr.Mahmood-ur-Rahman

Petitioner

Versus

Secretary, Ministry of Law, --Justice & Parliamentary
Affairs, Government of Pakistan,
Islamabad, etc.

Respondents

Petitioner

In person

For respondents

Hafiz S.A.Rahman & Mr.Iftikhar Hussain Ch.Advocates for

Federal Government.

Mr.S.M.Zafar with Mr.Ali Zafar, Advocate, for other respondents.

Date of institution

25-6-1990

Date of admission

11-12-1990

Date of hearing

30-5-1991 9-6-1991

85. SHARIAT PETITION No.12/L OF 1990.

(Re: The Banking Companies (Recovery of Loans), Ordinance, 1979).

Karamat Ullah Sheikh

Petitioner

Versus

President of Pakistan and others

Respondents

For petitioner

Mr. Muhammad Ismail Qureshi,

. Advocate.

For respondents

Hafiz S.A.Rahman & Mr.Iftikhar

Hussain Ch. Advocates for

Federal Government.

Mr. S.M. Zafar with Mr. Ali Zafar

Advocates for other respondents

Date of institution

27-6-1990

Date of admission

12-12-1990

29-5-1991

Date of hearing

9-6-1991

86. SHARIAT PETITION No.21/L OF 1990.

(Re; The Banking Companies (Recovery of Loans) Ordinance, 1979).

Syed Mushraf Alam & others --

Petitioner

Versus

Habib Bank Limited

Respondent

For petitioner

Nemo

For respondents

Hafiz S.A.Rahman & Mr.Iftikhar Hussain Ch. Advocates for

Federal Government.

Mr. S.M. Zafar with Mr. Ali Zafar, Advocates for other respondents.

Date of institution

30-8-1990

Date of admission

6-1-1991

Date of hearing

9-6-1991

Mian Sohail Hussain

Petitioner

Versus

President of Pakistan Respondents & others For petitioner .In person. Mr. Nizam Ahmad, Deputy Attorney General for Federeral Covt. Hafiz S.A.Rahman & Mr.Iftikhar For respondents Hussain Ch. Advocates for Federal Government. Mr.S.M.Zafar with Mr.Ali Zafar, Advocates, for other respondents. Mr Mansoor Ahmad Khan, Advocate, (Amiscas Curiae) Date of institution 25-9-1990 Date of admission 11-12-1990 Dates of hearing 7-2-1991,26-2-1991 and 9-6-1991

SHARIAT PETITION No.27/L OF 1990. (ReThe Banking Companies (Recovery of Loans) Ordinance, 1979).

M/s S.M.A.Qureshi etc.

Petitioner

Versus

National Bank of -- Respondent Pakistan

For petitioner -- In person with Mr.Muhammad Amin Sheikh, Advocate.

For respondents -- Hafiz S.A.Rahman & Mr.Iftikhar Hussain Ch. Advocates for

Federal Government.

Mr.S.M.Zafar with Mr.Ali Zafar, Advocates for other respondents

Date of institution -- 6-11-1990

Date of admission -- 11-12-1990

Date of hearing -- 9-6-1991

(Re.The Banking Companies (Recovery of Loans) Ordinance, 1979).

Mian Saleem-ud-Din etc.

Petitioner

Versus

Respondents Federation of Pakistan etc. In person Petitioner Hafiz S.A.Rahman and Mr.Iftikhar Hussain Ch. For respondents Standing Counsel for Federation. Khawaja Muhammad Farooq, Advocate, for National Bank of Pakistan Mr. S.M. Zafar with Mr Ali Zafar, Advocates, for other respondents. Date of institution 31-12-1990 6-1-1991 Date of admission 1-7-1991, 2-7-1991 Dates of hearing

90. SHARIAT PETITION No.1/K OF 1991.

(Re: The Banking Companies (Recovery of Loans) Ordinance, 1979).

Niaz-ud-Din Per Bux

Petitioner

Versus

Federal Government of Pakistan & another		Respondents	
For petitioner		Mr.S.M.Saeed, Advocate for the petitioner.	
For respondents		Mr. Nizam Ahmad, Deputy Attorney General for Federal Govt. Hafiz S.A. Rahman & Mr. Iftikhar	
Date of institution	-	Hussain Ch, Advs. for respondents 3-2-1991	
Date of admission	(***)	24-2-1991	
Dates of hearing		26-2-1991,27-2-1991 & 3-7-1991	

7

91. SHARIAT PETITION No.17/L OF 1991. (Re: The Banking Companies (Recovery of Loans) Ordinance, 1979).

M/s Kamran Ice Factory

Petitioner

Versus

President of Pakistan

etc.

Respondents

For petitioner

Nemo

For respondents

Hafiz S.A.Rahman & Mr.Iftikhar Hussain Ch. Advocates for the

Federal Government.

Mr.S.M.Zafar with Mr.Ali Zafar, Advocate, for other respondents.

Date of institution

20-2-1991

Date of admission

3-6-1991

Date of hearing

9-6-1991

92. SHARIAT PETITION No.18/L OF 1991.

(Re: The Banking Companies (Recovery of Loans) Ordinance, 1979).

Muhammad Iqbal Zahid

Petitioner

Versus

President of Pakistan etc.

--

Respondents

For petitioner

Mr.Mukhtar Ahmad Farani,

Advocate.

For respondents

Mr. S.M.Zafar with Mr Ali Zafar Advocates for other respondents

Date of institution

-

20-2-1991

Date of admission

3-6-1991

Date of hearing

3-7-1991

SHARIAT PETITION No.26/L OF 1991. 93. (Re:The Banking Companies (Recovery of Loans) Ordinance, 1974).

Ijazul Haq

Petitioner

Versus

Respondent Federation of Pakistan Mr. Rashid Murtaza Qureshi, For petitioner Advocate. Mr. Iftikhar Hussain Ch. and Hafiz S.A.Rahman, Standing For respondents Counsel for Federal Government. Mr. S.M. Zafar with Mr Ali Zafar, Advocates, for other respondents. 19-3-1991 Date of institution 4-6-1991 Date of admission 10-6-1991 1-7-1991

Re:The Banking Companies (Recovery of Loans) Ordinance, 1979). 94.

Faiz Ahmad etc.

Date of hearing

Date of hearing

Petitioner

Versus

Respondents Habib Bank Limited etc. Mr. Rashid Ahmad, For petitioner Advocate. Hafiz S.A.Rahman & Mr.Iftikhar For respondents Hussain Ch. for the Rederal Government. Mr. S.M. Zafar, with Mr Ali Zafar, Advocates, for other respondents. 26-3-1991 Date of institution 4-6-1991 Date of admission 9-6-1991

95. SHARIAT PETITION No.30/L OF 1991. (Re:The Banking Companies (Recovery of Loans) Ordinance, 1979).

M/s Farooq Brothers etc.

Petitioner

Versus

United Bank Limited etc.

Respondents

For petitioner

Syed Samar Hussain Shah,

Advocate.

For respondents

Hafiz S.A.Rahman &Mr.Iftikhar

Hussain Ch. Advocate, for the Federation of Pakistan.

Date of institution

28-3-1991

Date of admission

4-6-1991

Date of hearing

9-6-1991

SHARIAT PETITION No.31/L OF 1991. (Re:The Banking Companies (Recovery of Loans) Ordinance, 1979).

Ch.Sharif Ahmad

Petitioner

Versus

The State etc.

Respondents

For petitioner

Mr. Zafar Iqbal,

Advocate.

For respondents

Hafiz S.A.Rahman & Mr.Iftikhar Hussain Ch. Advocates for the Federation of Pakistan.Mr. S. M. Zafar with Ali Zafar, Advocates

for other respondents.

Date of institution

1-4-1991

Date of admission

4-6-1991

Date of hearing

9-6-1991

SHARIAT PETITION No.32/L OF 1991.

(RecThe Banking Companies (Recovery of Loans) Ordinance, 1979).

Muhammad Amin Watto

Petitioner

Versus

United Bank etc.

Respondents

	Petitioner		In person	
Ē.	For petitioner	<u>**</u>	Mian Subah Sadiq, Advocate.	
	For respondents	111)	Hafiz S.A.Rahman & Mr.Iftikhar Hussain Ch. Advocates for Federal Government.	
	z.		Mr.S.M.Zafar with Mr.Ali Zafar, Advocate, for other respondents.	
	Date of institution		13-4-1991	
	Date of admission	·	28-5-1991	
	Date of hearing		9-6-1991	
98. SHARIAT PETITION No.26/I OF 1991. (Re: The Banking Companies (Recovery of Loans) Ordinance, 1979).				
	M/s Kashmir Fabrics	×	Petitioner	
		Versus		
	M/s Habib Bank Ltd.	-	Respondent	
	Petitioner	111	In person	
	For respondents	* ==	Mr.S.M.Zafar with Ali Zafar, Advocates for respondents.	
	Date of institution	-	2-6-1991	
	Date of admission	<u> </u>	2-6-1991	
	Date of hearing		3-7-1991	
99. (/Re	9. SHARIAT PETITION No.28/L OF 1991. (Re: The Banking Companies (Recovery of Loans) Ordinance, 1979).			
	Ch. Noor Ahmad & another		Petitioners	
		Versus		
	Federation of Pakistan etc.		Respondents	
	Petitioner		In person	
	For respondents	 7∮~	Mr. S.M. Zafar with Mr Ali Zafar, Advocates, for other respondents.	

Date of institution

Date of hearing

16-6-1991

19-6-1991 Date of admission 3-7-1991 Date of hearing SHARIAT PETITION No.43/I OF 1991. (Re:The Banking Companies (Recovery of Loans) Ordinance, 1979). Petitioner Bashir Ahmad Versus Respondents Government of Pakistan etc. Mr.Muhammad Arshad, For petitioner Advocate. Mr.Muhammad Azam Chatta, For respondents Advocate for Muslim Commercial Bank. Mr.Iftikhar Hussain Ch. Standing counsel for the Federal Government. 16-6-1991 Date of institution 19-6-1991 Date of admission 2-7-1991 Date of hearing . SHARIAT PETITION No.42/L OF 1991. (Re?The Banking Companies (Recovery of Loans) Ordinance, 1979). Petitioner Malik Munir Ahmad Versus Federation of Pakistan etc. Respondents In person Petitioner Mr.Iftikhar Hussain Ch. For respondents Advocate, for Federal Government. Mr. S.M. Zafar with Mr Ali Zafar, Advocates, for other respondents. Date of institution 16-6-1991 16-6-1991 Date of admission 2-7-1991

102. SHARIAT PETITION No.13/L OF 1990. · (Ret The Banking Companies (Recovery of Loans) Ordinance, 1979). Petitioner Patiala Metal Merchent, Gujranwala Versus Respondents Federation of Pakistan and others Mr.M.D. Tahir, Advocate. Petitioner Hafiz S.A.Rahman, Advocate For respondents for Federal Government
M/s. Ali Sibtain Fazli, & Tariq
Qazi, Advocates for respondents
16-6-1991 Date of institution 19-6-1991 Date of admission 15-10-1991 Date of hearing 103. SHARIAT PETITION No.21/L OF 1991. (Re: The Banking Companies (Recovery of Loans) Ordinance, 1979). Petitioner M/s Imtrak Traders, Gujranwala Versus Government of Pakistan Respondent Mr. Muhammad Sharif Khan, For petitioner Advocate. Hafiz S.A.Rahman, Advocate For respondent for Federal Government 19-6-1991 Date of institution 19-6-1991 Date of admission Date of hearing 15-10-1991

(RecThe Banking Companies (Recovery of Loans) Ordinance, 1979).

M/s Haji & sons

Petitioner

Versus

United Bank Ltd. and another

Respondents

For petitioner Mr.M.M.Salim Kureja, Advocate. For respondents Hafiz S.A.Rahman, Advocate for Federal Government Date of institution 2-7-1991 Date of admission 18-8-1991 Date of hearing 15-10-1991 SHARIAT PETITION No.67/L OF 1991. (Re: The Banking Companies (Recovery of Loans) Ordinance, 1979). Naveed Asif Petitioner Versus Allied Bank of Pakistan Respondents Limited and another Petitioner In person with Mr. Muhammad Amin Sheikh, Advocate. For respondent Hafiz S.A.Rahman, Advocate for Federal Government Mr.S. M.Zafar with Ali Zafar, Advocates for other respondents. Date of institution 9-7-1991 Date of admission 18-8-1991 Date of hearing 15-10-1991 . SHARIAT PETITION No.73/L OF of 1991. (Re: The Banking Companies (Recovery of Loans) Ordinance, 1979). M/s Alcos Petitioner Versus National Bank of Pakistan Respondents Limit ed and another Mr. Muhammad Amin Sheikh & For petitioner Mr. Naveed Asif, Advocates. For respondents Hafiz S.A.Rahman, Advocate for Federal Government Mr.S. M. Zafar, with Mr Ali Zafar, Advocates for other respondents. 9-7-1991 Date of institution Date of admission 18-8-1991 Date of hearing 15-10-1991

SHARIAT PETITION No.76/L OF 1991. 107. (Ref The Banking Companies (Recovery of Loans) Ordinance, 1979).

Abdur Rasheed etc.

Petitioner

Versus

United Bank Ltd.

and another

Respondents

For petitioner

Mr.Muhammad Amin Sheikh & Mr.Naveed Asif,

Advocates.

For respondents

Hafiz S.A.Rahman, Advocate

for Federal Government Mr. S.M. Zafar with Mr Ali Zafar Advocates for other respondents 9-7-1991

Date of institution

Date of admission

18-8-1991

Date of hearing

15-10-1991

SHARIAT PETITION No.50/I OF 1991. 108.

(Re(The Banking Companies (Recovery of Loans) Ordinance, 1979).

Karamat Ullah Sheikh

Petitioner

Versus

President of Pakistan

etc.

Respondents

For petitioner

Mr.Muhammad Ismail Qureshi, Advocate.

For respondent

Hafiz S.A.Rahman, Advocate for Federal Government

Date of institution

16-7-1991

Date of admission

18-8-1991

Date of hearing

15-10-1991

SHARIAT PETITION No.89/L OF 1991. (Rea The Banking Companies (Recovery of Loans) Ordinance, 1979).

Sh. Masood Elahi

Petitioner

Versus

Government of Pakistan etc.

Respondents

1

110.

Date of hearing

For petitioner Mr.Muhammad Iqbal, Advocate. Mr. S.M.Zafar and Hafiz S.A.Rahman, Advocates, For respondent for Federal Government. Sh.Muhammad Shafi, Advocate for other respondents. 18-8-1991 Date of institution Date of admission 25-8-1991 15-10-1991 Date of hearing SHARIAT PETITION No.90/L OF 1991. (Rei The Banking Companies (Recovery of Loans) Ordinance, 1979). Petitioner Mansoor Elahi etc. Versus Government of Pakistan etc. --Respondents Mr.Muhammad Iqbal, For petitioner Advocate. Mr.S.M.Zafar and Hafiz S.A. Rahman, Advocates for For respondents Federal Government. Sh. Muhammad Shafi, Advocate for other respondents 18-8-1991 Date of institution Date of admission 25-8-1991 Date of hearing 15-10-1991 SHARIAT PETITION No.66/L OF 1991. (Re: The Banking Companies (Recovery of Loans) Ordinance, 1979). Muhammad Akhtar Khan Petitioner Versus House Building Finance Respondents Corporation and others Mr. Aftab Ahmad Javed, For petitioner Advocate. Hafiz S.A. Rahman, Adveate for For respondent Federal Government Date of institution 20-8-1991 25-8-1991 Date of admission

15-10-1991

(Re: The Banking Companies (Recovery of Loans) Ordinance, 1979).

Muhammad Rafique

Petitioner

Versus

Federal Government of Pakistan

Respondent

For petitioner

Nemo

For respondent

Hafiz S.A.Rahman, Advocate

for Federal Government

Date of institution

25-8-1991

Date of admission

25-8-1991

Date of hearing

15-10-1991

(Re: The Banking Companies (Recovery of Loans) Ordinance, 1979).

Shaikh Mushtaq Ahmad

Petitioner

Versus

Federation of Pakistan

Respondents

For petitioner

etc.

Mr.Ghous Muhammad,

Advocate.

For respondents

Hafiz S.A.Rahman, Advocate for Federal Government, Mr. S.M.Zafar with Mr Ali Zafar, Advocates for other respondents 26-8-1991

Date of institution

--

Date of admission

28-8-1991

Date of hearing

15-10-1991

114. SHARIAT PETITION No.58/I OF 1991.

(Re:(The Banking Companies(Recovery of Loans)Ordinance, 1979).

M/s Saqib Brothers

Petitioners

Versus

Federation of Pakistan through Ministry of Finance.

Respondent

7

115.

116.

Date of institution

Date of admission

Date of hearing

Petitioner in person For petitioner Hafiz S.A.Rahman, Advocate For respondents for Federal Govt. M/s. S.M. Zafar with Ali Zafar, Advocates, for other respondents. 28-8-1991 Date of institution Date of admission 1-9-1991 Date of hearing 15-10-1991 SHARIAT PETITION NO.16-B/I OF 1991 (Re: The Banking Companies (Recovery of Loans) Ordinance, 1979). Petitioners Allied paper Industries and others. Versus Respondent National Bank of Pakistan Raja Muhammad Akram, Advocate. For petitioner Hafiz S.A.Rahman and Mr.Iftikhar For respondent Hussain Ch, Advocates for Federal Govt. Mr. Abdul Ghafoor Mangi, Addl. Advocate General Sind, Raja Muhammad Afasr, Advocate General Baluchsitan, Mr.Khalid M.Ishaq, Advocate for the respondents. 19-9-1991 Date of institution Date of admission 25-9-1991 13-10-1991, 15-10-1991. Date of hearing SHARIAT PETITION NO.17-B/I OF 1991 (Re: The Banking Companies (Recovery of Loans) Ordinance, 1979). Petitioners Allied paper Industries and others. Versus Respondent National Bank of Pakistan Raja Muhammad Akram, Advocate. For petitioner Hafiz S.A.Rahman & Mr.Iftikhar Hussain For respondent Ch. Advocates for Federal Govt. Mr. Abdul Ghafoor Manig, Addl. A. G. Sind, Raja Muhammad Afsar, A.G.Baluchistan, Mr. Khalid M. Ishaq Advocate for respondents.

11.

19-9-1991

25-9-1991

13-10-1991,15-10-1991.

117. SHARIAT PETITION No.101/L OF 1991

(Re: The Banking Companies (Recovery of Loans) Ordinance, 1979).

S.Muhammad Din & Sons, Aziz (Pvt.) Ltd.Shahdara Mills,Lahore, through S.A.Aziz, Chief Executive

Petitioner

Versus

The Federatiion of Pakistan through M/o Law, Justice & Parliamentary Affairs, Islamabad.

Respondent

For petitioner

Kh.Saeed-uz-Zafar,

Advocate.

For respondent

Mr. Iftikhar Hussain Chaudhry, Advocate for Federal Govt.

Date of institution

28-8-1991

Date of admission

16-10-1991

Date of hearing

24-10-1991

118. SHARIAT PETITION No.73/I OF 1991

(Re: The Banking Companies (Recovery of Loans) Ordinance, 1979).

Abdul Qayyum Qureshi

Petitioner

Versus

Federation of Pakistan

Respondent

For petitioner

Mr. Shaukat Ali Khan,

Advocate.

For respondent

Mr. Iftikhar Hussain Chaudhary,

Advocate, for Federal Government.

Date of institution

19-10-1991

Date of admission

20-10-1991

Date of hearing

24-10-1991

بسم اللة الرحمن الرحيم

تحمسدة ونصلى علىي رسولة الكريم

اللهم ارنا الحق حقـا وارزقنااتباعة

JUDGMENT

DR. TANZIL-UR-RAHMAN, CHIEF JUSTICE. - These are 115

Shariat Petitions and three Suo Moto Shari'at Notice Cases challenging the various provisions of interest provided in several statutes, namely :-

- 1. The Interest Act, 1839.
- 2. The Government Savings Banks Act, 1873.
- 3. The Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881.
- 4. The Land Acquisition Act, 1894.
- 5. The Code of Civil Procedure, 1908.
- 6. The Co-perative Societies Act, 1925.
- 7. The Co-operative Societies Rules, 1927.
- 8. The Insurance Act, 1938.
- 9. The State Bank of Pakistan Act, 1956.
- 10. The West Pakistan Money Lenders Ordinance, 1960.
- 11. The West Pakistan Money Lenders Rules, 1965.
- 12. The Punjab Money Lenders Ordinance, 1960.
- 13. The Sind Money Lenders Ordinance, 1960.
- 14. The N.W.F.P., Money Lenders Ordinance, 1960.
- 15. The Baluchistan Money Lenders Ordinance, 1960.
- The Agricultural Development Bank of Pakistan, Rules, 1961.
- 17. The Banking Companies Ordinance, 1962.
- 18. The Banking Companies Rules, 1963.
- The Banks (Nationalization) (Payment of Compensation) Rules, 1974.
- The Banking Companies (Recovery of Loans), Ordinance, 1979.

- By Shariat Petitions Nos.30/I,21/L,27/L,8/K of 1990, 1/K,4/K,
 32/I,48/L,68/L,71/L,56/I,16-C/I,17-C/I of 1991, the Interest Act,1839, which contains only one section, has been challenged.
- 3. By Shariat Petition No.31/I of 1990, section 8 of the Government Savings Banks Act, 1873, has been challenged.
- By Shariat Petitions Nos.21/L,27/L of 1990, 33/I,42/I,69/L,
 70/L,35/I,16-A/I,17-A/I of 1991 and S.S.M.No.2/I of 1991, sections 78,
 79 and 80 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881, have been challenged.
- 5. By Shariat Petition No.2/L of 1991, section 34 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, has been challenged.
- By Shariat Petitions Nos.21/L,27/L,8/K of 1990, 1/K,4/K, 24/L,25/L,16/I,17/I,31/I,45/I,72/L,74/L,57/I and S.S.M.No.3/I of 1991, sections 34,34-A and 34-B and rule 2(a) and (b) of Order XXXVII of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, have been challenged.
- 7. By Shariat Petitions No.27/I,28/I,1/L,85/L,30/I of 1991, section 59(2) of the Co-operative Societies Act, 1925, has been challenged.
- 8. By Shariat Petition No.16/I of 1990, section 3BB(1), clause (b) of sub-section (3) of section 27, sub-clause IV of clause (b) and sub-clause IV of clause (d) of sub-section 8 of section 29,47B and clause (d) of sub-section (2) of section 81, of the Insurance Act, 1938, have been challenged.

- By Shariat Petition No.17/I of 1990, section 22(1) of The
 State Bank of Pakistan, 1956, has been challenged.
- 10. By Shariat Petitions Nos.51/I,64/I,65/I,66/I,67/I of 1991, sections 2(k),2(1), 16 and 20 and Rule 27 of The West Pakistan Money Lenders Ordinance, 1960, have been challenged.
- 11. By Shariat Petitions Nos.14/I,19/I,17/L,33/L,68/I,72/I of 1990,

 13/L,27/L,34/L,36/L,39/L,22/L,39/I,33/L,41/L,44/I,60/L,46/I,47/I,46/L,48/I,

 54/I,62/L,79/L,69/I,68/I of 1991, rule 17(1)(2) of The Agricultural

 Development Bank of Pakistan Rules, 1961, has been challenged.
- 12. By Shariat Petition No.18/I of 1990, section 25(2) of The Banking Companies Ordinance, 1962, has been challenged.
- 13. By Shariat Petition No.21/I of 1990, rule 9(2) and (3) of The Banking Companies Rules, 1963, has been challenged.
- 14. By Shariat Petition No.20/I of 1990, rule 9 of The Banks Nationalization (Payment of Compensation) Rules, 1974, has been challenged.
- 15. By Shariat Petitions Nos.12/L,21/L,7/K,27/L,73/I,13/L of 1990,

 1/K,17/L,18/L,26/L,29/L,30/L,31/L,32/L,26/I,28/L,43/I,42/L,21/L,49/L,

 50/I,67/L,73/L,76/L,66/L,89/L,90/L,91/L,93/L,58/I,16-B/I,17-B/I of 1991,

 sections 8(1), 8(2)a, 2(d),7(2),13, 25(2), 26-A, of The Banking

 Companies (Recovery of Loans) Ordinance, 1979 have been challenged.

 Since a common question relating to 'Interest' is involved in all these

 matters: we intend to decide them all by this judgment.

- 16. When the jurisdiction of this Court got restored to examine the fiscal laws since June 26,1990, a number of Shariat Petitions were filed in this Court, challenging various fiscal laws containing provisions regarding interest therein.
- This Court on 11th December, 1990, 6th January, 1991, 13th

 January, 1991, 23rd January, 1991 and 24th February, 1991, admitted to

 regular hearing the several Shariat Petitions, challenging a number of

 provisions of the fiscal laws relating to interest filed by that time. Further

 Shariat Petitions of similar nature continued being filed in this Court

 challenging the provisions of several laws relating to interest, which were

 admitted from time to time. Hearing of the last petition on interest concluded on 24-10-1991.
- 18. In order to decide these Shariat Petitions, the Court prepared a questionnaire relating to the impugned fiscal laws and sent it to distinguished 'ulama, scholars, economists and bankers of the country and abroad for their opinions to the said questions. The questionnaire reads as under:-
 - 1. What is the definition of Riba (() according to the Holy Qur'an and Sunnah of the Holy Prophet (PBUH). Does it cover the simple and compound interest existing in the present day financial transactions?
 - 2. If banking is based on interest-free transactions, what would be its basic practical shape in conformity with the Injunctions of Islam?
 - 3. (i) Does the interest on loans floated by the Government to meet national requirements come under Riba (し,)?
 (ii) What alternatives can be suggested for the banks in case they grant loans without interest for various requirements?
 - 4. Can, in the light of the Injunctions of Islam, any differentiation be made between private and public banking in respect of charging of interest on banking facilities or services rendered?
 - 5. (i) Can the capital, according to the Injunctions of Islam, be regarded as an agent of production thus requiring remuneration for its use?
 - (ii) Does devaluation of the currency affect the payment of loans taken before such devaluation?
 - (iii) Can inflation causing rise in the cost/value of gold and consumer goods in term of currency have any effect on the sum borrowed?

- 6. What would be the alternatives in the context of present day economic conditions to carry on domestic and foreign trade efficiently without availing of banking facilities based on interest?
- 7. Is interest permissible or otherwise on the transanctions between two Muslim States or a Muslim and non-Muslim State?
- 8. Is it possible to carry on insurance business otherwise that on the basis of interest?
- 9. Does interest accruing the Provident Fund come under Riba (ربا)?
- 10. Can the payment of prize money on Prize Bond or Saving Bank Account or other similar Schemes be regarded as Riba (ربا)?
- 11. Would it be lawful under Islamic Law to differentiate between business loans on which interest may be charged and consumption loans which should be free of interest?
- 12. If interest is fully abolished, what would be the inducements in an Islamic Economic System to provide incentives for saving and for economising the use of capital?
- 13. Can an Islamic State impose any tax on its subject other than Zakat and Ushr?
- 19. The following scholars, economists, 'ulama and bankers sent

their written answers to the above questionnaire issued by this Court:-

- Dr.S.M. Hasanuzzaman, Chief, Islamic Banking Division, State Bank of Pakistan, Karachi.
- Dr.Ramzan Akhtar,
 Assistant Professor,
 International Institute of Islamic
 Economics,
 International Islamic University,
 Islamabad.
- Mr.Zia-ul-Haq, Chief of Research, Pakistan Institute of Development Economics, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad.
- Dr. Saeedullah Qazi, Director, Shaikh Za'id Islamic Center, University of Peshawar, Peshawar.
- Mr.Arshad Javid,
 Vice President,
 Non-interest Banking Department,
 Habib Bank Limited,
 Head Office 16-Habib Bank Plaza,
 Karachi-Pakistan.
- 6. Prof. Dr. Sayyid Tahir,
 International Institute of Islamic Economics,
 International Islamic University,
 Islamabad.

- Mr. Nawazish Ali Zaidi, Consultant on Islamic Banking, International Institute of Islamic Economic, International Islamic University, Islamabad.
- Maulana Gohar Rahman, Shaikhul Hadith/Muhtamim, Darul Ulum Tafhimul Qur'an, Malakand Road, Mardan.
- Maulana Muhammad Rafi Usmani, Shaikhul Qur'an wal Hadith and Muhtamim, Darul Ulum, Karachi-14.
- 10. Syed Maroof Shah Shirazi, Advocate, Village and Post Office Chinar Kot, Mansehra.
- 110. Prof. Dr. Ala'eddin Kharofa, International Islamic University, Selangore, Malaysia.
- Prof.Dr.Najatullah Siddiqui, International Islamic Economics Centre, Malik Abdul Aziz University, Jeddah.
- 20. A consolidated statement of their question-wise opinions has been prepared in this Court and is appended to this judgment as appendix 'A'. It may be read as further reference material to the issues under examination by this Court. This Appendix has been compiled by our Research Section.
- 21. The following scholars and economists/bankers, on request of this Court appeared and made their submissions:-
 - Mr. Mansoor Ahmad Khan, Advocate, Karachi.
 - Mr.Khadim Hussain Siddiqui, Former President, Allied Bank Limited, Karachi.
 - Dr. Hasanuzzaman, Chief of Islamic Banking Division, State Bank of Pakistan, Karachi.
 - 4.Dr.Muhammad Uzair, Economic Adviser, National Development Finance Corporation of Pakistan, Karachi.
 - Dr. Muhammad Hussain, Director, International Islamic University, Islamabad.

Dr. Faiz Muhammad, Director General, International Institute of Islamic Economics, International Islamic University, Islamabad.

- The Court during its sitting at Karachi heard Mr.Mansoor Ahmad Khan, a well-known Advocate, as amicus-curiae, who happended to be a member of the Banking Delegation, sent abroad by the Government in 1987. He stated that bank interest is banned in Islam. The banks may, however, run their business on profit/loss sharing or Mudarabah system. He proposed that merchant banking system may be established in Pakistan and the banks may enter into business and earn profit on the money deposited with them and share it with the depositers.
- 23. He submitted a copy of the Report of the Pakistan Banking Delegation, which was sent to certain Muslim countries to examine their banking system and other modes of financing. Being a member of that delegation he stated that almost all the Muslim economists and scholars whom the delegation met were of the view that "time-related fixed monetary return on a loan, however, conceived or planned, falls to be considered as riba prohibited in Islam." They unanimously proposed that banking system must be based on profit/loss sharing. Relevant extracts from his Report as referred to by the learned counsel have been incorporated in appendix 'A'.

^{*} Other Members included Mr.S.Nasim Ahmad, Director National Bank of Pakistan as Covener and Co-ordinater, Dr.Syed Riaz-ul-Hassan Gillani, Deputy Atorney General, Government of Pakistan, Mr.Abdul Latif, Joint Secretary, Company Law, Government of Pakistan and Mr.S.Safwan Ullah Senior Executive Vice President, Bankers Equity Limited.

- The Court also heard a highly experienced banker, Mr. Khadim 24. Hussain Siddiqui of Karachi, who has been President of the Allied Bank Limited. He was also member of the Panel of Experts formed by the Council of Islamic Ideology, in 1978 for eradication of interest from the country's economy. He categorically stated that bank interest comes within the definition of Riba and is banned in Islam in whatever form or for whatever purpose it may be. There is no difference between the consumption loans and productive loans so far as the prohibition of interest in Islam is concerned. He suggested that Merchant banking is the alternate for the interest-free banking system. He further submitted that Musharakah and Mudarabah are workable systems for interest-free banking. He was of the firm view that the Interest should be abolished in one-go, not only from the Banks and other financial institutions but the Government also. No difference should be made between private Banking and the Government Banking. Partial or half-hearted measures for abolition of interest will prove abortive as is evident from the experience of the history of past ten years. He suggested that the Banks have to act as future 'Holding Companies' and for that purpose structural changes will have to be made in the present banking system.
- 25. Regarding effect of inflation resulting decrease in the value of money, he submitted that it should have no effect on repayment of the loan. He also referred to the Report of the Council of Islamic Ideology in this respect. He negated the impression that abolition of interest

will adversely affect the savings. In his view savings have always been income-related and will have no adverse effect, if the interest is abolished, and interest-free alternatives are made available to the public.

- The Court also heard Dr.Hasanuzzaman, Chief of Islamic Banking Division, State Bank of Pakistan, Karachi. He submitted that <u>riba</u>

 (interest) is prohibited in Islam in all its forms or purposes.Interest-free banking can be established on the basis of <u>Musharakah</u>, and <u>Mudarabah</u>. He further stated that depreciation in the value of the currency should not affect the repayment of the loans. He further stated that <u>riba</u>

 (interest) is prohibited between a Muslim and non-Muslim even in a Non-Islamic country. He stated that the eradication of <u>riba</u> (interest) will not affect the motives of saving among the people.
- 27. Dr. Hasanuzzaman, stressed on the fact that the present structure of banking cannot bring any ideological change. The whole structure is to be changed and reconstructed according to Islamic concepts. His views in some detail have also been incorporated in the consolidated statement of opinions vide appendix 'A'.
- The Court also heard Dr.Muhammad Uzair, Economic Adivser,
 National Development Finance Corporation of Pakistan. He clearly stated
 that the interest whether simple or compound is unlawful (harām). There
 is Ijma' (consensus of the opinion) of the Ummah. In his view, an
 attempt to make difference between usury and interest is the result of
 the misgivings created by western scholars. As an alternate to the

present banking system he expressed his view that Mudarabah and Musharakah are the two alternate modes of the present banking. There will be a partnership between the bank and the depositors on the one hand and the bank and its customers on the other, who would like to transact business with the banks. He stated that there may be, for example, an arrangement that the entrepreneur (or the borrower in the present-day banking system) and the bank would share the profit in a ratio of 50 percent each, or 60 percent for the entrepreneur and 40 percent for the bank, or any such ratio which may be agreed upon between themselves. It may be regulated by the Government or the Central Bank which in the case of Pakistan will be the State Bank. Similarly, there will be an arrangement between the bank and the supplier of capital (depositors in the present banking system) for sharing the profit in the ratio of 50 percent each or 60 percent for the bank and 40 percent for the supplier of capital funds or the depositors. This may seem at first sight to be a complex arrangement, but once the system is introduced and begins to operate in our economy it will become as mechanical and routine as the present-day system wherein banks pay a higher rate of interest on certain categories of deposits while paying nothing to some types of depositors, e.g. Current-Account Holders. The source of profit for the bank is the difference between the interest it receives and the interest it has to pay to the depositors. Similarly, in the changed framework required for interest-free banking, the entrepreneurs and the bank would share the profit on an agreed percentage or ratio, a higher

proprotion going to the entrepreneur vis-a-vis the banks; and the depositors would share a smaller proportion of what comes to the bank.

Variation in ratios may reflect different tiers of the system.

- The percentage or the ratio for sharing the profit between the entrepreneurs (borrowers) and the banks on the one hand, and that between the banks and the depositors on the other, should be determined in the normal course of business activities and bargaining or should be regulated by the government or the State Bank as a policy variable or a political decision by the government, either arrangement would serve the purpose as far as the conceptual framework is concerned. Thus, contract of Mudarbah will be two folded: One between the depositor and the Bank, and the other between Bank and the entrepreneur and the customer and bank will share the profit/loss on the ratio agreed in the contract. He was of the view that interst is prohibited whether it is transacted by the Government or the bank or individuals. In his view, indexation caused due to inflation in the cost/value of some goods cannot be adopted as an alternate to interest for ideological as well as practical reasons. The abolition of interest in his view will have little bearing on saving as the saving has always been income-related.
- 30. He further submitted that devaluation in the currency shall not affect the return of loan taken before such devaluation and the loans shall be re-payable in such quantity in which they have been taken irrespective of the value of the currency in the market. He also

contended that Prize Bond and other saving schemes come under riba
and are thus prohibited. Regarding insurance he contended that it
can be based on non-interest system as has been in practice in some
Muslim countries like Malaysia and Sudan.

- 21 The Court during its sitting at Islamabad heard Dr. Muhammad Hussain, Director, International Institute of Islamic Economics, International Islamic University, Islamabad. He submitted that riba (interest) is prohibited in Islam in all its forms. He explained the definition of riba (interest) and relied upon the definition of a Hanafi jurist, Abu Bakar Al-Jassas who defines riba as "time-related fixed monetary return on a loan." He thus submitted that bank interest comes under the prohibited He stated that banking system in an Islamic economy can be based on Musharakah and Mudarabah. He referred to the Report of the Council of Islamic Ideology on the elimination of interest and of other Seminars arranged by their Institute on the elimination of interest and indexation and pointed out that there are alternates available in the field of interestfree banking, if the Government really intends to eliminate riba(interest). He further submitted that depreciation in the value of the currency shall not affect the recovery of loan taken before such depreciation. explained that all Bond Schemes come under the category of the prohibited riba.
- 32. The Court also heard Dr.Faiz Muhammad, Director General,
 International Institute of Islamic Economics, International Islamic University,

Islamabad. He submitted that interest is prohibited in all its forms and that usury and interest are one and the same thing. He related the history of Jew merchants who first started charging interest in the western countries in sixteenth century and developed the modern interestbearing capitalistic system. He further enunciated that riba, in any form it may be, is prohibited and all Bond Schemes come under riba. however, submitted that some 'ulama' of Pakistan were in favour of Prize Bond Scheme but when their function was explained to them, they retracted from their earlier view. He also referred to the Seminars arranged by the International Institute of Islamic Economics, International Islamic University, Islamabad, on the elimination of interest and stated that all the participants of the Seminars were unanimous that bank interest comes under the category of the riba prohibited in Islam and that any form of profit-loss sharing like Mudarabah and Musharakah be established in banking system and interest should be eliminated.

33. The Court heard the petitioners who were not represented by their counsel. All the petitioners and their Advocates contended that bank interest is prohibited in Islam. Most of them relied upon

Verses 2:275 - 278 of the Holy Qur'an and three judgments of one of us, Dr.Tanzil-ur-Rahman, Judge, High Court of Sindh (as he then was)

reported as Messrs Bank of Oman Limited vs. Messrs East Trading

Company Limited and others (PLD .1987 Karachi .404). Irshad.

H. Khan Verses Parveen Ajaz (PLD 1987 Karachi .404). Irshad.

Habib Bank Limited Vs. Muhammad Hussain and others(PLD 1987 Karachi .466) and

wherein Bank interest and the interest contracted on Promissory Note was refused to be decreed and the provisions containing in a number of enactments relating to interest were held as repugnant to the Injunctions of Islam as laid down in the Holy Qur'an and Sunnah of the Holy Prophet (صلى الله علية وسلم). Some of the counsel also referred to a judgment of Mr.Justice Khalilur Rahman, of the Lahore High Court, reported as Shahbazud Din Chaudhary and 27 others Vs. Messrs Services Industries Textiles Limited and 4 others (PLD 1988 Lahore 1), wherein the learned Judge, inter-alia, observed that in view of the clear and unequivocal Injunctions of the Holy Qur'an and Sunnah of the Holy Prophet (صلى الله علية وسلم), the respondent company is required to take corrective measures and the mode of investment will have to be changed, whereas some others referred to two judgments of Mr. Justice Wajihuddin Ahmad, reported as Aijaz Haroon Vs. Inam Durrani (PLD 1989 Karachi 304) wherein the learned Judge in view of the Constitutional provisions read with Objective Resolution came to the conclusion that the interest as such was prohibited, but the creditor can be compensated on the basis of indexation due to inflation and Tyeb Vs. Messrs Alpha Insurance Co. Limited and other (1990 CLC 428) wherein the above view was adopted by the learned Judge.

34. Mr.Rashid Murtaza Qureshi, Advocate, referred to the Jewish Encyclopaedia Britannica and a book titled "Pawns in the Game" by Willium Guy and submitted that Jews had dominated the Western world by

accumulation of wealth through charging interest on loans and other financial transactions.

- 35. Malik Allah Yar, Advocate, referring to several Verses of the Holy Qur'an on interest, submitted photostat copies of a number of commentaries (تفاسير) on the Holy Qur'an and several books on Ahadith.
- 36. Syed Afzal Haider, Advocate, appearing for one of the petitioners relying on Karachi judgment reported as Messrs Bank of Oman Limited Vs. Messrs East Trading Company Limited and others (PLD 1987 Karachi 404) on the question of riba, referred to the concept of Sunnah.
- 37. Mr.Muhammad Ismail Qureshi, Advocate, appearing for one of the petitioners referred to two Ahadith from Mu'tta Imam

 Malik and Bukhari, on the question of riba, besides referring to the Qur'anic Verses.
- 38. Mr.Khalid M.Ishaque, Advocate, who appeared on 10-6-1991 on behalf of National Bank of Pakistan and State Life Insurance Corporation, filed interim written reply on behalf of his clients and raised the following pleas:
 - i) The Banks in Pakistan are working within the framework of Banking instruments prescribed by the State Bank, with the approval of Council of Islamic Ideology, as valid Islamic instruments.
 - ii) There is a considerable juristic opinion available to

the fact that an increase to offset the inflation would have legal justification and would not be counted as riba; and

- iii) There is juristic opinion available to the fact that Bank interest does not fall in the category of prohibited <u>riba</u> (interest). According to his opinion, Banks participate in the productive processes of the Society/Community, make productive labour possible, increase social wealth, and take only a fraction of the profit that accrues to them which is not riba.
- As regards the first plea that the Banks in Pakistan are 39. working within the framework of Banking instruments prescribed by the State Bank, with the approval of the Council of Islamic Ideology, the learned counsel referred to page 67 and onwards of the Council's Report on "Consolidated Recommendations on the Islamic Economic System" which, in fact, was the comment of the Ministry of Finance on interest-free Banking system. He was, therefore, pointed out that it was the stand of the Government. For the Council's view, his attention was invited by the Court to page 73 onwards, wherein the reply appears to have been given by the Council of Islamic Ideology to the said Ministry, which he regretted to refer and stated that he did not have the complete report with him. The learned counsel did not pursue the matter any further giving an impression to this Court that he did not want to press the same before us. In any case, the learned counsel failed to substantiate his plea. However, we have dealt with the point, but in another context, which will falsify the plea of the learned counsel.

40. So far as the other two pleas are concerned, the learned counsel referred to an article written by Mr.Justice (Retd.) Qadeeruddin Ahmad, former Chief Justice of the erstwhile High Court of the West Pakistan published in two instalments in the issues of 28-11-1978 and 29-11-1978 of Daily Jang Karachi, under the Heading:

"ربواً قطعی حبرام ھے۔ ۔ تاھم علماء کرام نے بعض حالات میںاس کو روا قرار دیا ھے "۔

Learned counsel's attention was drawn to the reply written by one of us (Dr.Tanzil-ur-Rahman, Advocate and Honorary Law Adviser to the Islamic Research Institute, Islamabad, as he then was) and published in four instalments in the issues of 9-12-1978, 10-12-1978, 13-12-1978 and 16-12-1978 of Daily Jang Karachi, under the Heading:

"ربو قطعی حرام هے اس میں رخصت (اجازت) کی کوئی گنجائشنہیں ۔ حالات خودساختة هیں۔ شریعت کینفِادمیں تعداون کیجئے "

Since the arguments of Mr.Justice(Retd.) Qadeeruddin Ahmad were all repelled in the reply given by Dr.Tanzil-ur-Rahman, we do not feel inclined to encumber this judgment by repeating the same arguments and counter arguments. (Also published in "قرآن حكيم اورهماري زندگي"

Verses or Ahadith or juristic views on the two pleas for which he requested us to grant time, as he was not ready with the material.

The said petitions were, therefore, adjourned to 1-7-1991, as requested.

On that day, the counsel did not appear but sent a lengthy Note through his client with a request for a long adjournment after Summer Vacations which we accordingly granted.

42. In this Note, we found that about 68 pages were devoted to the concept of private property which has no relevance to the plea under consideration. In the latter part of the Note, in support of the proposition with regard to offset the inflation and thus giving legal justification for interest he has failed to quote any Hadith of the Holy Prophet (صلى الله علية وسلم) or Athar (اثر) of any of the Companions of the Holy Prophet (صلى الله علية وسلم) or any single opinion of the jurist, worth the name, of the past or present. He has, however, relied on a part of Verse No.279 of Surah Al-Baqarah " لاتظلمون ولاتظ المون " (Deal not unjustly and ye shall not be dealt with unjustly). It appears that the learned counsel has not understood the underlying philosophy of 'Zulm' (طلتم) in the context By the word 'ظلم' here it means to take anything in of Riba. excess or give less than the capital (with reference to the context of the three Verses on the subject of Riba taken together). This injustice which is prohibited. The Holy Qur'an permits receiving back of the capital sums but forbids any addition or do not denote their "روس اموالكم " do not denote their purchasing power but their actual quantity if they are in circulation. So far as the addition on the amount borrowed in case of inflation or deduction from the same, in case of deflation, is concerned the lenders or the borrowers, as the case may be, can in no way be held responsible for the same because the conditions related to that situation are

Jun.

beyond their control and in itself will amount to injustice 'Lb' if they are penalized for the same. That is why no Commentator of the Holy Qur'an or Hadith or a Jurist worth the name has ever approved it, inspite of the element of price variation in their times which has been the constant phenomenon. For example, as pointed out by Dr.Muhammad Hussain, Director, International Institute of Islamic Economics, International Islamic University, Islamabad, the inflation rate during the days of Imam Abu Yusuf, as compared to the period of Khulafa-e-Rashideen, had increased by fifteen per cent. We will be dealing further with this issue in some detail at an appropriate place.

- ounsel submitted another written Note in support of the plea that the Bank interest does not come within the definition of Riba. By a cursory glance it appeard that he had referred to the names of original authors but had used only the secondary source in the said Note. As he had not brought the original source material, he was directed to send photostat copies of the original texts of the material written by the several authors which have been named in the said Note. On 23-10-1991 photostat copies of some of them were received in the office. They are as under:
 - i) Three pages including title page of the book titled "Unlawful gain and legitimate Profit in Islamic law" by Nabil A.Saleh.

- ii) Seven pages including title page of the book titled " الفقة الاسلامي و ادلته " volume-IV
 by " الدكتوروهبة الزحيلي ".
- iii) Four pages including title page of book titled " ابوالكلام احمد " by " ترجمان القران " Lahore.
- iv) Five pages including title page of book titled
 " سود " by Syed Abul Aala Maudoodi Lahore.
- v) Four pages including title of " " ضميمة نمبر ا appended " سود (ibid).
- vi) Seven pages including title page of " مسلةُسود by Hazrat Maulana Mufti Mohammad Shafi Mufti-e-Azam Pakistan, Karachi.
- vii) Three pages including title 'The Holy Qur'an by Abdullah Yusuf Ali, Lebanon.
- We have gone through the aforesaid Note wherein the opinions of Ibnal-Qayyim, Mohammad Abduhu, Rashid Raza, Sanhuri, Daoualibi, Shaikh Draz, Maulana Abul Kalam Azad, Maulana Abul Aala Maudoodi, Maulana Mufti Mohammad Shafi and Dr.Wahba Al-Zuhaili are alleged to be in favour of the plea about Bank interet, as raised by the counsel. In so far as Ibn Qayyim is concerned although the learned counsel has referred to his book I'lam al-muwaqqin, vol.2 page 135 but he has not taken the trouble of sending the original text. He simply referred to a secondary source as quoted by one Nabil in his book at page 27. He has also not supplied the extract of the Arabic text of Ibn Qayyim's book, though named in the very first line after citing authority of Ibn Qayyim. Same is the case with Sanhuri, Daoualibi, Sheikh Daraz, Shaikh Abduhu and Rashid Raza. No texts were sent. He has not even referred to the names of the books of these learned authors. In fact, he has taken

down most of the Notes from Nabil's book listed at number one above.

The credentials of Mr. Nabil are not known, as the learned counsel has not taken care to send the said book, as the same, he was told, is not available in our library. Therefore, unless and until the exact writings of the great Imams or jurists are laid before us by the counsel we are unable to place any reliance on the secondary source of the said Nabil.

- As regards Maulana Abul Kalam Azad the pages sent by him, we regret, did neither refer to the point raised by the learned counsel nor support the view that interest on loan taken for commercial purposes is justified by Maulana Abul Kalam Azad. So far as the views of Mohammad Abduhu and Rashid Raza, as referred to by the learned counsel in his Note, are concerned they are not supported by their texts. The lecture of Daoualibi as referred to by him has also not been supplied. In any case we do not subscribe to the view of Daoualibi said to have been stated by him in a lecture, alleged to have been delivered by him in 1951, as stated by Nabil.
- 46. Dr. Asad Gillani, a petitioner, appeared in Court and submitted his written arguments which were endorsed by 57 Ulama, most of them were also present in Court. In his written arguments Dr. Asad Gillani, interalia, stated that :-

" سود کے حرام هونے میں کوئی شبۃ نہیں عالم اسلام کا ایک بھی مستند معتمد علیۃ عالم دین ایسا نہیں ھے جسنے مروجۃ" سودی نظام" کوحرام

نة سمجها هو۔ دنیا بھر کے عامة المسلمین بھی اسے حرام سمجھتے ھیں اس کی حرمت واضح هے اور " الحرام بیّن " کا مصداق هیے۔ پاک وهند کیے اهل فتوی اور عالم اسلام کے اصحاب افتاء نے تو شروع سے اسے حرام قرار دیاھواھے۔ اور اسکے تمام پہلوڑی کے بارے میں بے شمارلٹریچر وجود میں آچکا ھے۔ لیکن اس سے ایک قدم آگے بڑ ھ کر ھم عرض کریں گے کہ ۱۹۲۳ء سے لیکر ۱۹۸۳ءتک اسلامی نظریاتی کونسل نے اس ملک کے جید اور معتمد علیة علما ؟، ماهـــرین اقتصادیات بنکنگ کونسل اور ورزات خزانه کے نمائیدوں کے ساتھ مداکرات مباحثوں اور عملی تحقیقات کے نتیجے میں "سود" بینک کاری اور مالیاتی قوانین کے بارے میں جائزۃ مکمل کر لیا ھے کہ ان میں سے کون سی شکل"رہا" کے زمرہ میں آتی ھیں اور کونسی نہیں دسمبر ۱۹۲۳ء کو وزارت فزانة حکومت پاکستان کے ایک استفسار کے جو اب میں موجودہ بینک کاری نظام کے تحت افراد، اداروں یا حکومت کے درمیان تجارت یا قرضوں کے لین دین میں اصلی سرمائے پر جو زائدرقم وصول یا ادا کی جاتی هے اس کے متعلق قرار دیا کہ وہ ربا میں شامل هیں اسی طرح درج دیل صورتوں کو بھی رہاء میں شامل قراردیا۔ ۲۔ مختصرمدت کیلئــــے جاریکی گئی مالیاتی هندیوں پر جودسکاونٹ ادا کیا جاتاهے ٣ سیونگ سرٹیفیکیٹس پرجو قرضے دیئے جاتے ھیں ان پر ادا کیا جانے والا سود ۳۔ پرائز بانڈز پر دیئے جانیو الے انعامات ۵۔ پرواویڈنٹ فنڈاور پوسٹل لائف انشورنس " میں جمع کی جانے والى رقموں پر ادا كيا جانيوالا سود ـ ٣ صوبوں ، مقامي هيت هائے مقتدرة اور سرکاری ملازمین کو دیئے جانیوالے قرضوں پر وصول کئے جانے والا سود<u>'</u>اور ۱۹۷۷ء سے جنرل محمد شیاء الحق کی دعوت اور ترغیب پرسودی نظام کے بدل کے طور پر شرکت و مضاربت کے اصولوں پر تفصیلی نظا مبداکر پیش کیا اور پھر مسلسل اس کے نفاد کی سفارشات پیشکرتی رہی ان مساعی میں چیف جسٹس ڈاکٹر تنزیل الرحمن کا بڑاحصۃ ھے۔ ان سفارشات کے چند اقتباسات پیش محدمت ھیں ـ

پاکستانی بنکوں کے اندرونی لین دین سے سودی عناصر کابالکلیة ہے۔ استیمال کر دینے کیلئے درکار جراتمنداند اقدام جو آج سے بہت پہلے کیا جاناچاھیے تھا اب اس میں مزید تاخیر نہ ھونی چاھئے"(مجموعی سفارشات اسلامی نظام معیشت ص ۱۱۵ مطبوعہ ۱۹۸۳ء)۔

"وزارت خزانه حکومت پاکستان کا استفسار بابت "وبا" کے جواب میں ملک کے اندرونی لین دین سے سود کا عنصر بالکل محتم کردینے کیلئے جو جراُت مندانه اقدام آج سے پہلے اٹھایا جاناچاھیے تھا۔ اس میں ابغزید تاخیر کرنامناسب نہیں ھوگا"(ایشا ص ۱۳۵)

مندرجة بالاحقائق کے پیشنظرکونسل اپنے آپکو اس امر کیلئے مجبور مصوس کرتی ھے کہ وہ پورج زور کے ساتھ اس امر کی سفارشکرے کہ زیادہ سے زیادہ یکم جولائی ۱۹۸۳ء تک ھر قسم کا سودی لین دین قطعی طور پر ممنوع قرار دے دیا جائے اس مقصد کیلئے ایک آرڈینینسکا مسودہ ارسال خدمت ھے۔ جنابصدر مملکت کی دات گر امی سے امید کی جاتی ھے وہ ان کے نفاذ کو عمل میں لاکرعنداللہ ماجور ھونگے کونسل اپنی اس تجویز کے ساتھ کہ زیر نظر آرڈینینسکا نفادعمل میں آنا چاھئے یہ سفارشکرنا بھی ضروری سمجھتی ھے کہ بنکوں اور دیگر اداروں کو اس امر کی ھدایت کی جائے کہ تیس جون ۱۹۸۳ء تک جو مہلت انہیں دی گئی ھے اس میں وہ اپنے گاھکوں سے اسلامی خطوط پر لین دین کی بات چیت مکمل کر لیں تاکہ جبیہ آرڈینینس عملاً نافذ ھو تو اس وقت سود کا لین دین کسی صورت میں باقی نہ رھے اور بنکوں کی جانب سے سرمایہ کاری کا عمل شریعت اسلامیہ کی حدود کے اندر متبادل بنیادوں پر بروٹے کار لایا جاسکے(ص ۱۳۱)

محیرممالک سے بنکوں کا سودی لین دین :۔

کونسل نے اس مسئلے پر دوبارۃ غور کیاھے اور سمجھتی ھے کہ پاکستانی بنکوں کی غیر ممالک میں قائم شاخوں کو چاھئے کہ وہ بھی سودی بنیادوں پر ھر قسم کا لین دین بالکل ٹرک کردیں اسی طرح پاکستانی بنکوں میں جو رقمیں غیرملکیکرنسی کی صورت میں جمع ہوں انہیں بھی سود سے پاک در ائع سے کاروبار میں لگایا جاتا چاہئے کونسل کی رائے ہے کہ جہاں تک پاکستانی بنکوں کی شاخوں کاتعلق ہے جو مسلم ممالک میں قائم ہیں انکی حدتک اس سفارش پر عمل در آمد میں کوئی مشکل محسوس نہ کی جانئی چاہئے البتہ نمیرمسلم ممالک کے معاملے میں وہاں کے بنکاروں اور متعلقہ حکام سے سرکاری سطح پر بات چیت کے دریعے لین دین کے نمیرسودی در ائع تلاش کرکے انہیں زیر استعمال لایا جانا چاہئے نمیرممالک کے اسلامی بینک جو اپنے اپنے ملکوں میں اپنی کاروباری صلاحیت شابت کر کے فروری اعتماد واعتبارقائم کرچکے ہیں انکی حوصلہ افزائی کی جانئی چاہئے تاکہ وہ پاکستان میں بھی اپنی شاخیں قائم کریں سودی کاروبار کرنیوالے نمیرملکی بنکوں کو اس امر کی کھلی چھٹی دینا کہ وہ پاکستان میں اپنی شاخیں جبچاہیں قائم کریں لیکن سود سے پاک لین دین کرنیوالے اسلامی بنکوں کو اپنی شاخیں کھولنے سے لیکن سود سے پاک لین دین کرنیوالے اسلامی بنکوں کو اپنی شاخیں کھولنے سے روکنا ایک ایسا امتیازی طرز عمل ہے جو ہماری اعلان کردہ پالیسی سے قطعا کوئی مطابقت نہیں رکھتا کہ ہم اپنا مالی نظام اسلام کے طے کردہ اصول وخطوط پر

۔۔۔ چونکہ اسلام کی رو سے رہاء کالینا اور دینادونوں حرام هیں لہدا هماری حکومتوں اور مالی هماری حکومت کو چاهشے کہ وہ مدهبی بنیادوں پر غیر ملکی حکومتوں اور مالی اداروں کے سربراهوں کو اس امر کا قائل کرے کہ وہ پاکستان کے ساتھ لین دین ایسے طریقوں اور ایسی بنیادوں پر کریں جو احکام شریعت سے هم آهنگ هوں ۔۔ (مجموعی سفارشات اسلامی نظام معیشت دسمبر ۱۹۸۳ء ص ۱۰۳)

اسلامی نظریاتی کونسل نے اپنے بیسویں اجلاس منعقدہ کر اچی مورخه ۲۳ دسمبر ۱۹۸۳ء تا ۲ جنوری ۱۹۸۳ء بصدارت چئرمین کونسل جسٹس ڈاکٹر تنزیل الرحمن اس پیش رفت کا جائزہ لیا جو ملک میں سود سے پاک بینک کاری کے میدان میں جو ۱۹۸۰ء میں خاتمہ سود کے موقوع پر کونسل کی رپورٹ پیش ھونیکے بعد عمل میں آیاھے کونسل نے یاد دلایا کہ مذکورہ بالارپورٹ میں متعدد سفارشات کا مقصد یہ تھا کہ سودی

بنیاد پر قائم پاکستانی معیشت کو بندریج ترک کر کے سود سے پاک نظام کےقیام میں سہولت اور آسانی پیدا کی جائے اسی مقصد کیلئے کونسل نے ایک عملی نقشة کارتجویز کرتے ہوئےطےکیاکةدسمبر ۱۹۸۱ کے آخرتک چند واقح مرحلوں میں سود کو اس کی تمام اقسام اور صورتوں کے ساتھ کلیۃ محتم کردیا جائے (ص ۱۰۰۰)

فتح مکة کے موقع پر نبی ملی اللة علیة وسلم نے سود کالین دین اس کی هر صورت میں ختم کر دیا تھا نجر ان کے عیسائیوں سے حفور صلی الله علیه وسلم کا جو معاهدة هو ا اس میں بھی یہ شرط و اضح طور پر شامل کی گئی تھی که اگر معاهدے میں عیسائیوں نے کسی صورت میں سود کالین دین کیا توبه معاهده کالعدم هو جائیگا اور مسلمان انکے خلاف هتھیار اٹھالیں گے عرب کے قبیلة بنوم غیرة کے لوگ سود پر رقمیں قرض دینے کیلئے مشہور تھے چنا نجة فتح مکة کے بعد حفور نبی کریم صلی الله علیه وسلم نے انکا پور اسود منسو نے کردیا اور مکة میں اپنے عامل کو یہ ھدایت کیا گی کہ اگر یہ لوگ سودی لین دین سے بازنه آئیں تو ان کے خلاف جنگ کر کے انہیں اس فعل شنیع سے وک دیا جائے۔ خود حضور صلی الله علیه وسلم کے چا حضرت عباس ، دور جاهلیت کے برٹے مہاجن تھے جو سود لیکر لوگوں کو قرضے دیا کرتے تھے ، ان کے متعلق حضور صلی الله علیه وسلم نے حجة الود اع کے موقع پر صاف صاف اعلان فر مایا که دور جاهلیت کا پور اسود کالعدم هوگیا هے اور سب سے پہلے هیں اس سود کو منسوخ شہر اتنا هوں جو میر بے چا عباس بن عبد المطلب کا لوگوں کی طرف نکلت اهر۔

ان گزارشات کی روشنی میں هم درخواست کرتے هیں که حــکومت اولا کسی مہلت کی مستحق نہیں هے۔ لیکن انتظامی لحاظ سے اگربعض معاملات کیلئے ضروری هوجاشے تو وہ مہلت دیجاچکی۔ جنرل محمد ضیاء الحق صاحب نے ۱۹۷۹ء میں تین سال کی قطعی مدت کا وعدہ کیاتھا"۔

47. Maulana Gauhar Rahman, also appeared in the said petition as jurisconsult for the petitioner and made oral arguments in support of the petition. He, in fact, referred to certain passages of his Reply submitted by him to the Questionnaire issued by this Court, which forms part of the Appendix'A'.

Mr.S.M.Zafar, Advocate, who appeared on 9-6-1991 with 48. Hafiz S.A.Rahman and Ali Zafar, on behalf of the Federation of Pakistan and Banking Council in 16 Shariat Petitions, submitted that the stand of the Federation of Pakistan is that riba (interest) as such is prohibited in Islam and that it is the duty of the State of Pakistan to eliminate riba (interest) in all its forms from the financial institutions and the fiscal system of Pakistan. He referred to section 8 of the Shariat Act, 1991, which pertains to Islamization of Economy and the taking of steps to ensure that the economic system of Pakistan is reconstructed on the basis of Islamic economic objectives, principles and priorities, and submitted that it would require some time. He thus, prayed that the Government of Pakistan be given due time to fulfil the objectives. Subsequently on 15-10-1991, he filed his power in 27 other Shariat Petitions on behalf of the Federation and while adopting his earlier arguments, raised the following issues. In his own words:-

- 1. Some modern scholars opine that interest chargeable on productive loans does not fall in the category of riba prohibited in Islam. It is only the interest charged on consumptional loan which is prohibited in Islam because it does not bring any increase in the loaned money.
- Some scholars link interest with inflation meaning thereby that depreciation in the value of currency should be compensated in deferred payments even in loans.
- 3. The previous contracts, under which the loans have been taken on interest and the borrowers have taken benefits from these loans, are liable to be continued.

- 4. As the universal economic system is based on interest, any departure from it would amount to economic collapse.
- 5. The Federation, as provided in Shariat Bill, has formed a Commission to suggest means and ways for the elimination of interest. This Commission is hopefully to discharge its duties, and its findings and recommendations should be awaited.

He, however, requested for some days' time to send some articles and books in support of his submissions.

- 49. The learned Counsel on 23-10-1991 sent photostat copies of some articles and extracts from some books alongwith a written Note which were received at the principal seat on 29-10-1991.
- Learned counsel, in the said Note, reiterated the earlier stand taken by the Federation vide its preliminary written statement filed by him on 9-6-1991 that "the Federation considered <u>riba</u> as prohibited in Islam and it is the duty of the State of Pakistan to eliminate <u>riba</u> from the Financial and fiscal system of Pakistan", as already referred to by us in the preceding paragrph No. 48. The learned counsel, however, reformulated the main issues, with some modifications, which were raised by him earlier on 15-10-1991. They are reproduced as under:
 - i) Whether productive loans fall within the definition of the word 'Riba' because at the time when prohibition was ordained there was no concept of productive loan and it was merely loan lent for consumptive purposes.
 - ii) That as the word 'Riba' has not been defined either in Qur'an or <u>Hadith</u> would it not fall within the area

of 'Mutashabahat' and, therefore, the present system can be allowed to function till a properly considered substituted system is announced by the Commission appointed under the Shari'at Act.

- iii) That based on the 'Maslahah' of the Ummat a silent consensus on Bank interest was adopted in the Conference of Nahgatul Ulama in East Jawa, Indonesia which classified the Bank interest under the category of 'Mutashabahat'.
- iv) That those contracts which have been entered into and under which benefits have been received by various applicants need to be enforced on ground of equity and at least to the extent that any benefit has been received by the applicants they should be made to return it and/or the depreciation of money suffered by various banks on account of the inflation be compensated for.
- v) That the Federation recommends that till the

 Commission appointed under the Shariat Act has

 completed its study and given its final decision the

 issue of interest be deferred as it has very close

 and deep links with the total economic system. The

 entire fiscal system of Pakistan is so integrated

 that taking a decision only about the system without

 co-relating the other issues like inflation, paper

 currency, concept of banking, advancing loans

 voluntarily, it shall be liable to create problems

 and chaos, resulting in more disadvantage than benefit

 to the Nation.
- 51. The learned counsel in support of the above points or issues, annexed with his note the following material:
 - i) A photostat copy of an article titled as "A Study of

Commercial Interest in Islam" by Fazlur-Rahman, M.A.,
Institute of Islamic Studies, Muslim University, Aligarh 22 pages.

- ii) A photostat copy of a printed article titled as "What is Riba exactly?" by Bro Jamari Mohtar - 4 pages.
- iii) Typed article titled as "Ijmak Sukuti on Bank Interest"(Silent Consensus on Bank Interest) Translation 6 pages.
- iv) Photostat copy of an article titled as "Reflections on the Concept and the Law of Riba" by Syed Ahmad, Professor of Economics McMaster University Hamilton, Ont., Canada-11 pages.
- Photostat copy of an article titled as "Islamic Banking and Finance" Prospects for the 1990s, by Andrew
 Cunningham, Middle East Economic Digest, 21 John Street,
 London, WCIN 2BP, England 3 pages.
- vi) Photostat copy of an article titled as "The case for Ijtehad in respect of Interest on Productive Loans", by N.A.Jafarey - 3 sheets.
- vii) Photostat copy of an article titled as "Islam and Productive Credit" by Sayed Yaqub Shah 4 pages.
- 52.(i). The first article "A Study of Commercial Interest in Islam" by Fazlur-Rahman, Muslim University, Aligarh is, in fact, against the proposition or the issue raised by Mr.S.M.Zafar. The learned author has categorically stated that interest on commercial loans falls within the ambit of <u>riba</u> prohibited in Islam. The learned author has in detail opposed the view of Sir Syed Ahmad Khan and others belonging to his school of thought like Nazir Ahmed, Syed Tufail Ahmed Manghori etc. who had earlier opined that interest on commercial loans is not <u>riba</u>

as prohibited in Islam. In fact, the learned author has written a book in Urdu titled as "تجارتى ســود ـ تاريخــى اورفقهى نقطة نظر سح" wherein he has elaborated his arguments in support of the opinion that interest on commercial loans is <u>riba</u>. We will be referring to the said book in the forthcoming pages.

- (ii) In the second article by Bro Jamari Mohtar the learned author appears to have discussed the arguments of both sides, viz
 - i) Interest on commercial loans falls under riba; and
 - ii) Interest on commercial loans does not come under riba.

A perusal of the article will, however, show that he has not preferred any of these opinions. He has just narrated views of both the sides.

(iii) The third article, perhaps in Indonesian language, translated into English as "Silent Concensus on the permissibility of Bank Interest" appears to have referred to an opinion by Nahgatul Ulama, East Jawa, Indonesia, wherein the Ulama of the Advisory Council have been stated to have verdict in favour of the permissibility of bank interest on the basis of Maslahah. But the opinion or verdict lacks in giving arguments based on the Holy Qur'an and the Sunnah of the Holy Prophet

(ملى الله عليه وسلم) in its support. Moreover, the alleged Ijmā' falls short of its requirements in Shari'ah.(See Al-Mustasfa by Imam Ghizali, vol. 1 PP 173-81).

As regards the concept of Maslahah for giving permissibility to bank interest, we will deal it separately at an appropriate place.

- (iv) The fourth article is "Reflections on the Concept and the Law of riba by Syed Ahmad, Professor of Economics McMaster University,

 Hamilton, Canada. In this article the learned writer has discussed whether riba is identical to interest and has tried to exclude some forms of modern interest from the ambit of riba, but he has not given any argument based on the Holy Qur'an and the Sunnah of the Holy Prophet (ماني الله علية وسلم).
- (v). It contains a <u>fatwa</u> of Shaikh Tantawi of Egypt issued on September, 1989 saying that interest on Government saving certificates is permissible in Islam. But in the same document it has been written that in 1990 most of the Egyptian Ulama have opposed this <u>fatwa</u> so there remains solitary opinion of Shaikh Tantawi of Egypt.
- (vi) Another article titled as "the case for <u>Ijtehad</u> in respect of interest on Productive Loans" by N.A.Jafarey, advocates for making <u>Ijtihad</u> relating to matters such as <u>Riba</u>. His view and argument is largely misconceived. <u>Ijtihad</u> is to be exercised in those matters about which the Holy Qur'an and Sunnah of the Holy Prophet(صلى) do not ordain any specific Injunctions and the matter falls within the domain of juristic analogy(قياس). He has, however, at the end of his paper, left the matter open to the conscience of the <u>Ummah</u>, which has hitherto been against the validity of Bank interest.
- (vii) The last document is the Article written by Sayed Yaqub
 Shah, titled as "Islam and Productive Credit", in which he has tried

to prove that interest on commercial loans was not in vogue in the period of Holy Prophet (صلى الله علية وسلم). This opinion has been seriously questioned by Mr.Fazlur-Rahman of Muslim University, Aligarh, in his book "تجارتيسود" and the Article produced by Mr.Zafar himself.

- material submitted by Mr.S.M.Zafar except the article listed at No.1, which straightaway goes against his proposition, to say the least, is scanty, inconvincing and rudimentary in substance and carries no weight in the face of the Injunctions of the Holy Qur'an and the Sunnah of the Holy Prophet (صلى الله عليه وسلم) and the consensus (اجماع) of Ummah in so far as the Riba al-Nasiyah is concerned.
- These are our short comments on the material submitted by Mr.S.M.Zafar. We would, however, deal in detail, with the points raised by Mr.Khalid M.Ishaque and Mr. S.M.Zafar, Advocates, two of them being similar in some respect in the forthcoming pages of this judgment, but before embarking on them, we feel it pertinent to give some historical background of the question of Riba (interest) with reference to the Constitutions of Pakistan and steps taken by the Government during last so many years with particular reference to the period of General Muhammad Ziaul Haq (late), the then

- As to interest, Pakistan's Constitution 1956 provides that the State shall endeavour to eliminate Riba as early as possible (Art.28-f), but no effort was made to realize that objective. In 1962 Constitution, it was, again, provided in the principles of policy (No.18) that Riba (usury) should be eliminated. Similar provision was again made in the Constitution of 1973, (Art. 38-f).
- 56. The Council of Islamic Ideology established for the first time in 1962, in order to make, inter alia, recommendations as to the measures for enabling Muslims to order their lives in accordance with the teachings of Islam, formulated its opinion as long back as in 1964 1966, that 'Riba is forbidden' and the present banking system is fundamentally based on 'Riba', and lastly, in its meeting held on 3rd December, 1969, it was unanimously resolved that:-

"اسلامی مشاورتی کونسل اس امر پر متفق هے که ربوا اپنی هر صورت میں حرام هے اور شرح سود کی بیشی اور کمی سود کی حرمت پر اثرانداز شہیں هوتی ۔ افراد اور اداروں کے لین دین کی مندرحة ڈیل صورتوں پر کامل محور وفکر کرنے کے بعد کونسل اس نتیجة پر پہنچی هے کة:

- (الف) موجودة بنكاری نظام كے تحت افراد اداروں اورحكومتوں كے درميان كاروباری لین دین اور قرصة جات میں اصل رقم پرجو بڑھوتری لی یا دی جاتی ھے وہ داخل ربوا ھے۔
- (ب) خزانے کی طرف سے تھوڑی مدت کے قرضة پر جو چھوٹ دی جاتی
 ھے وہ بھی داخل رہوا ھے۔
- (ج) سیونگ سرٹیفیکیٹ پر جو سود دیا جاتاھے ۔ وہ ربوا میں شامل ھ۔۔

- (د) انعامی باندر پرجوانعام دیا جاتاهیے ۔ وہ ربوا میں شامل هیے۔
 - (ه) پروویڈنٹفنڈ اور پوسٹل بیمہ زندگی وغیرہ میں جو سود دیا جاتا ھے۔ وہ بھی ربوا میں شامل ھے۔
- (و) صوبوں ، مقامی اداروں اور سرکاریملازمین کو دیئے گئے قرضوں پر بڑھوتری ربوا میں شامل ھے۔

(Report on Consolidated Recommendations on the "Islamic Economic System", Council of Islamic Ideology, 1983, pp.9 and 10). But the Government turned a deaf year and gave no attention to these recommendations.

- The matter also engaged the attention of the Council during its subsequent meetings in the year 1970 and after prolonged discussions on its various aspects, a Blue-print of Islamic Social Order was finally approved. It was sent to the Government in 1971, (p.10 of the Report op. cit.) but no Legislative measures were taken for elimination of interest from the Country's economy, as provided in the Constitution. (See Art. 230 of 1973 Constitution).
- 18. In July, 1977, after General Muhammad Zia-ul-Haq took reins of power in his hands as Chief Martial Law Administrator, addressing the Council of Islamic Ideology, reconstituted by him, on 29th September, 1977, asked the Council to give its best thought to it and submit its detailed report on the subject, as to how the curse (العنت), of interest could be eradicated. The Council of

Islamic Ideology appointed a panel of Economists and Bankers. An interim report was submitted by the council to General Muhammad Zia-ul-Haq, who, in the meantime, had also assumed the office of President to himself.

In the light of that report some interim measures were taken by the President for the abolition of interest, whereby the House Building Finance Corporation, National Investment Trust (NIT) and Investment Corporation of Pakistan (ICP) were made to run on Interest-free basis on profit and loss sharing. On 12th Rabi al-Awwal, 1399 A.H. = 10th February, 1979, as announced by the President, a time-limit of three years was set in by him for the complete abolition of interest from the country's economy. The

^{* 1.}Dr.Ehsan Rashid-Chairman, Professor of Economics and Director, Applied Economics Research Centre, University of Karachi, Karachi, Ahmad, Pro-Vice Chancellor, University of the Punjab, Lahore, 3.Sheikh Mahmood Ahmad, Lahore, 4. Mr. Abdul Jabbar Khan, President, Habib Bank Limited, Karachi, 5.Dr.Nurul Islam Mian, Director, Institute of Economic Studies, University of Peshawar, 6.Dr. Syed Nawab Haider Naqvi, Director, Pakistan Institute of Development Economics, Islamabad, 7.Dr.Mian.Nazeer, Professor of Economics, University of Peshawar, Peshawar, 8.Mr.D.M.Qureshi, Managing Director, Bankers' Equity Ltd., Karachi, 9. Professor Shakrullah, Head of the Economics Department, Baluchistan University, 10.Dr.A.H. Siddiqui, Director of Studies, Administrative Staff College, Lahore, 11. Mr. Khadim Hosain Siddiqui, Member, Pakistan Banking Council, Karachi, 12.Mr.A.K.Sumar, Karachi, 13.Mr.Abdul Wasay, Bank of Credit and Commerce International, Karachi, 14.Dr.S.M.Hasan-uz-Zaman, Chief, Islamic Economics Division, Research Department, State Bank of Pakistan, Karachi-Members and 15. Dr. Ziauddin Ahmad, Deputy Governor, State Bank of Pakistan and Member, Council of Islamic Ideology, Convener.

^{**1.} Justice Dr. Tanzil-ur-Rahman-Chairman, 2. Mawalana Zafar Ahmad Ansari, 3. Mr. Khalid M. Ishaque, 4. Mufti Sayyahuddin Kakakhel, 5. Khawaja Qamruddin Siyalvi, 6. Mawlana Muhammad Taqi Usmani, 7. Mawlana Muhammad Hanif Nadvi, 8. Dr. Ziauddin Ahmad, 9. Allama Syed Muhammad Razi, 10. Mawlana Shamsul Haq Afghani, 11. Dr. Mrs. Khawar Khan Chishti—Members and (12) Mr. Fazlur Rahman Khan, Ex-officio Member (as on 15-6-1980).

Chairman submitted the same to the President on June 25, 1980 (p.42 op.cit), wherein a framework was provided so as to enable the Government to eliminate interest from the country's economy by the end of February,1982 (see Council's Report on 'Elimination of Interest from Country's Economy' annexed with the consolidated Report on recommendations of the Council of Islamic Ideology on 'Islamic Economic System' (اللامن نقام معيث),Part V, Appendix, pp. 1-114; also published separately). The said report came up for discussion at the International Seminar of Economists on "Fiscal System of Islam" held at Islamabad during March 7-11, 1981. The Seminar, in its Communique (اعلامية), at the close of Seminar paid its tribute to the Council for the said Report on interest in the following words:-

"The seminar complimented the Government of Pakistan and the Council of Islamic Ideology for the intensive work done to find ways and means of eliminating Riba. It regarded the Report of the Council of Islamic Ideology on the Elimination of Interest as a historic document and a poincering effort which would be of great use to other Muslim countries in their efforts to transform their banking system, in accordance with Islam. It was recommended that to ensure its widest possible readership it should be translated in Arabic and other languages."

(Council's Report on the Islamic Economic System, December 1983, p.45).

An Arabic translation of the said Report was published by Malik

Abdul Aziz University Jeddah, entitled as "تقرير مجلس الفكر الاسلامي بشان الغائدة من اقتصاد الباكستان "

A summary of conclusions and recommendations in the said Report of the Council (93-114) is appended herewith and marked as appendix 'B'. This summary is, however, to be read subject to the observations of this Court made herein this judgment.

- The Government of Pakistan on receipt of the 'Council's Final Report on elimination of interest took some further steps in the direction by first allowing the public the option of opening Profit and Loss Accounts in all the five nationalized Banks and, later on, reverting of interest-bearing Savings Accounts. After sometime, the Government also introduced Mudarabah and Musharakah, as a step towards right direction of Islamic Financing System, as alternate to the prevailing Banking System, but on a very limited and restricted scale. The option, however, remained open with the Banks and the public to either go in for Islamic System or stick to the non-Islamic system, hitherto maintained, based on interest.
- against the opening of separate Interest-free counters, but the Government in manifest opposition of the Council's recommendations opened simultaneously the "interest-free counters". Soonafter the Council, after re-constitution on 31-5-1981, again protested against it. Relevant extracts from the Council's consolidated Report on "Islamic Economic System" (اسلامي نظام معيشات) are reproduced as under:-

"کونسل نے ۸۱۔ ۱۹۸۰ء میں کئے جانے والے ان اقدامات کاجائزہ لیا جو حکومت نے اسلامینظام معیشت کے نفسالہ کے سلسلے میں انجامدیئے ھیں ۔۔۔ سیالا ان میں خاتمہ سود کیلئے کئے جانے والے اقدامات ، ان سفارشات کے۔الکل برعکس ھیں ، جوکونسل نے تجویز کئے تھے۔

کونسل نے اپنی رپورٹ میں سود کے خاتمے کے ھر ھر مرحلے کومنطقی ترتیب دیکروائح کردیاتھا اور ان محطرات سے آگاہ کردیاتھا جو استجربے کی ناکامی پر منتج ھوسکتے ھیں ۔

حکومت کی طرف سے اس وضاحت اور تنبیہ کومسترد کردیاگیا اوروہ طریقہ اختیار کیاگیا جو مقصد کو فوت کرنے کا باعث بن گیا۔

کونسل نے شراکت ومضاربت اور قرفی حسنة کو هی سودی نظام کا اصل اور حقیقی بدل قرار دیاتھا۔ البتة عبوری دور کیلئے اور ناگزیردالات میں بعض دیگر وزیقوں کی سفارش بھی کیتھی۔ حکومت نے اپنی سکیم میں مارک آپ اور مارک ڈاون کا جو طریقة اختیار کیاھے وہ سود کے سوا کچھ نہیں ۔

اسطرح هنڈیوں کی کٹوتی کے سلسلے میں حکومت نے سود کی اضافی
قیمت کے نام کو اور بعش جگہہ کمی قیمت کے نام کو استعمال کرکے سودکو
برقر از رکھا۔ کونسل کی طرف سے تعزیری سود کے طریقے کی مخالفت کے اوجود
است سے براقر از رکھا گیا۔ اس کے بجائے تعزیری جرمانہ عائد کیا جانا
چاھئیے جو متعلقہ حکومت کے خزانہ میں جمع ہو"۔(مجموعی سفارشات ،اسلامی
نظام معیشت ،اسلامی نظریاتی کونسل مفحات ۵۰۔۵۱ ،دسمبر ۱۹۸۳)

کونسل نے واقح الفاظ میں مثالی پینک کے قیام اور غیرسودی کاونگرز کھولنے کی شدت سے مخالفت کی تھی اور ماھرین معاشیات اور بینکاری کےقوی دلائل کے پیش نظر ایسے کسی بھی اقدام کوحصول مقصد کیلئے نقصان دہ قرار دیاتھا۔(پیر،ا آ ۱:۳۲ تا۱:۳۲)کونسل کو یہ دیکھ کر مایوسی ھوئی کہ حکومت نے وھی لائحہ عمل اختیار کیا جو کونسل کے نزدیک غیر سودی نظام کو ناکام بنانے اور جودی نظام کو ھمیشہ جاری وساری رکھنے میں معاون ھوسکتاھے۔

اس پر مستزادیهکه لائحه عمل میں بھی وہ طریق کار اختیار کیاگیا هےجس سے کونسل نے اپنی سفارشات میں جگہہ جگہہ محتاط رہنے یا ، سائپرہنے کی تاکید کی تھی۔ (مُفحہ مُن

اسلام اور غیر سودی نظام کے نام سے سود کی موجودگیاور اس پر اصر ار
نة صرف اللة تعالی کے نزدیک ناپسندیدة هے بلکة اس سے حکومت کی کوششوں پر
بھی حرف آتاهے پاکستان میں اسلام کے نام پر لائی جانے والی تبدیلیوں سے
دوسرے مسلم اور غیر مسلم ممالک کی بڑھتیھوئی دلچسپی کے پیش نظر یہ طریق کار
ھمارے خلاف الزام تر اشیوں اور بدگمانیوں کا مواد فراھم کرے گا اور اس سسسے
زیادہ خطرناک نتیجہ یہ نکلے گا کہ خاتمہ سود کے نام پر سود کو برقر اررکھنے
والوں کو پاکستان کی مثال ڈھال فراھم کرے گی ۔

کونسل شروع سے نمیر سودی کاونٹر کے خلاف رھی ھے ،لیکن موجودہ غیر سودی کاونٹر کی اسلامی اورنہ عملی ۔

سودی کاونٹر کی اسکیم مکمل طور پر نہ غیر سودی ھے نہ اسلامی اورنہ عملی ۔

یہ عین ممکن تھا کہ اگر یہ اسکیم واقعی غیر سودی اور اسلامی ھوتی توعوام میں آھستہ آھستہ اس سے دلچسپی بڑھتی لیکن سرکاری درائع ابلاغ کی کوشش کے باوجود ایسی کوئی صورت دیکھنے میں نہ آئی بلکہ جوں جوں وقت گڑرتا گیا لوگوں کی سرد مہری اور بے اعتدائی بڑھتی گئی ۔

"مدرمملکت کی دینداری اور ان کی طرف سے سرکاری طور پر اسلام کی
سرپرستی کے علیالرغم نمیر سودی کاونٹر کی اسکیم وھی تاثر پیدا کرتی ھے جو
کسی سیکولر حکومت میں اقلیتوں کو بعض نجی معاملات میں مذھبی آزادی، جس میں
حکومت خود کو مذھب سے بیے نیاز رکھتی ھے۔اسی طرح ھماری حکومت نے عوام کیے لئے
نمیر سودی کاونٹر کے نام سے ایک ادارہ قائم کرکے خود اپنے آپکوسود کےمعاملے
میں احکام شریعت سے بیے نیاز سمجھ لیاھےگویا سود کی حرمت کچھ افرادکا انفرادی
معاملہ ھے اور حکومت خود اس معاملے میں غیرجانبدار ھے ۔ حالانکہ واقعہ یہ ھے
کہ پاکستان اور اسلام لازم وملزوم ھیں اورحکومت اور اسکا کوئی ادارہ اس سے

مستثنی نہیں ھے حیانچہ حکومت کو اپنے عزم اورخلوص اور اسلام سے
وفاد اری کا مظاهرہ کرنے کے لئے پہلے اپنے معاملات سے سود کا خاتمہ کرنا
جاھئے تھا۔ حکومت کی اس بے نیازی نے عام آدمی میں کوئی جوش اورولولہ پیدا
نہ ھونے دیا۔(مجموعی سفارشات ،اسلامی نظام معیشت ،اسلامی نظریاتی کونسل،

"اسکیم شروع هوتے هی حکومت کی طرف سے اس کے حق میں درائع ابلاغ کے بھرپور استعمال کے باوجود اخبارات نے پہلے هفتة سے هی ان بلاسودی کاونٹرزکی افادیت اور صلاحیت پر کلام کرنا شروع کردیا ۔ بعض اخبارات نے سودی اور غیر سودی نظام کے ایک ساتھ قائم رهنے پر تبصرہ کرتے هوئے دوعملی کو ختم کرنے پر رود دیا اور بعض نے سود کے مکمل خاتمے کی کوشش کی تائید کی جب کہ بعض اخبارات اور رسائل نے اس ساری اسکیم کو بھی سودی نظام کی بدلی هوئی شکل قرار دیا۔"

(ملاحظة هوادارية برنس ريكاردر ، مورخة ٢٢جنوري١٩٨١ء وپاكستان اكانومست شمارة فروري ١٩٨١ء)

غیر سودی کاونٹر میں دلچسپی لینے سے پہلے عام آدمی حکومت میں اصلاح احوال یا الحلاجی کے دوسرے مشاهد میں بھی دیکھنا چاهتا ھے اور ان مشاهد کے پیش نظر حکومت کی پالیسی کے بارے میں رائے قائم کرتاھے ایک طرف غیرسودی کاونٹریز گا چرچا دوسری طرف شرح سود کو شرح منافع قرار دینا اور پھر شرح کو لوگوں کےلئے زیادہ سے زیادہ پرکشش بناکر درائع ابلاغ کے دریعے مسلسل ان کا پروپیگندہ کرنا لوگوں کو فکر اصلاح اور الحلاص کی تائید میں شہادت فراھم نہیں کرتا۔

شاید یہی وہ عوامل هیں جن کیوجہ سے نمیر سودی کاونٹرز جو پہلے پہل لوگوں کی بھر پوردلچسپی کا باعث بنے تھے ، د هیرے دهیرے نمیر مقبول هوتےگئے، تاآنکہ چھ ماہ گزرنے کے باوجود یہ کھاتے بینک کی جملہ امانات کا پانچ فیصد سے زیادہ نہ هوسکے ۔ اس ناکامی کو اسلام سے عناد رکھنے والے مبصرین عوامیں

سود کی مقبولیت اور اسلامی احکام کے عدم دلچسپی پر محمول کرتے ھیں ۔ (ملاحظة جو نوٹ لندن اکانومسٹ مورخة ٢٦مارچ ١٩٨١ء)

حکومت کی جاری کردہ مارک اب ، مارک داون اسکیم پر جو ردعمل
ملک میں ظاہر ہو اہے اس سے صدرمملکت کو وقت افوقت ا آگاہ کیا جاتارہ اہے ۔

توقع تھی کہ اس سال کے بجٹ کے موقع پر ضروری املاحات روبہ عمل لائی جائنگی
لیکن یہ دیکھ کر کونسل کے اراکین کو سخت مایوسی ہوئی کہ اس طرح کے کوئی
اقد امات نہیں کئے گئے بلکہ حکومت کی جاریکردہ اسکیم کو غیر سودی اقد ام
کہاگیا ہے، جو نہ صرف حقیقت کے بالکل خلاف ہے بلکہ اس سے اندرون ملک وبیرون
ملک یہ تاثر قائم ہوگا کہ پاکستان میں ایسی اسکیموں کو جو واضح طورپر سودی

ھیں غیر سودی کہہ کر لوگوں کو مقالطے میں مبتلا کیا جا رہا ہے ظاہر ہے کہ یہ
صورت حال ملک یا حکومت کے لئے انجام کاربدنامی ہی کا موجب ہوسکتی ہے جس سے
بہرصورت احتراز کیا جانا چاہئے ۔ نیز آخرت کے موافدہ سے بھی ٹارنے کی ضرورت

سود کے خاتمے کے سلسلے میں جو تجاویزگونسل کی رپورٹ میں شامل ہیں وہ
کونسل کی نظر میں پوری طرح قابل عمل ہیں ۔ کونسل کے نزدیک معیشت اوربینکاری
کے نظام کو اسلام کے مطابق ڈھالنے کا عملی خاکۃ نہ تو علماء تنہاء تیارکرسکتے
ھیں نہ نظری معاشیات کے ماہرین، نہ انتظامیۃ کے افسران اور نہ تنہاء بینکار
اس مقصد کیلئے ان تمام طبقات کی مشترکہ سعی ھی کارگرھوطکتی ھے۔ کونسل نےاسی
طریقہ کار کو اختیار کیاتھا اور کام کے مختلف مراحل میں علماء ، ماہرین
بینکاری ، ماہرین معاشیات اور کاروباری طبقوں کے نمائندوں نے کونسل کاھاتھ
بینکاری ، ماہرین معاشیات اور کاروباری طبقوں کے نمائندوں نے کونسل کاھاتھ
بینایاتھا،لیکن حیرت کی بات یہ ھے کہ حکومت نے ان تمام طبقات کی مشترکہ مساعی
براپنی انفرادی حکمت عملی کو ترجیح دی ھے۔ مفحہ ۵۵–۵۹)

^{61.} In this respect, we would also like to refer to the observations of a well-known Economist, Prof. Dr. Nijatullah Siddiqui of Malik Abdul Aziz

University, Jeddah. In his book "غيرسودي بينـكاري"(Islamic Publications,

"اسلام نے سود کو حرام قرار دیے کر انسانی زندگی سے ظلم اور بے انصافی کی ایک بہت بڑی شکل کو ختم کرنا چاھاھے اور عملی اعتبار سے دور جدید میں اسلامی زندگی کی تنظیم نو کے سلسلے میں یہ ایک بہت بڑا چیلنج ھے ۔ جدید معیشت میں سود اور سودی کاروبار کلیدی اھمیت کا حامل ھے ۔ بینکنگ کا پورا نظام سود پر قائم ھے ۔ معاشی زیدگی کی اسلامی تعمیر نو کیلئے ضروری ھے کہ سود کے بغیر بینکنگ کا نظام قائمکیا جائے۔

"اسلامی معاشیات کے موضوع پر لکھنے والے اسبات پر متفق ھیں کہ
سود کے بغیر بھی بینکنگ کاکام اس طرح چلایا جاسکتاھے کہ وہ اپنے معروف
وظائف انجام دے سکیں۔ یہ مفکرین اسباب پر بھی متفق ھیں کہ بینکنک کی
اسلامی تنظیم نو شرکت اور مضاربت کے شرعی اصولوں کی بنیاد پرکی جانی
چاھٹے۔" (ص 11)

"یہ واضح کردینابھی مناسبھوگا کہ ھم اسلام میں سود کی حرمت کو ایک مسلمہ امر تسلیم کرتے ہوئے گفتگو کررھے ھیں اور تجارتی سود یا بینک کے سود کو حرام سود کی تعریف میں داخل سمجھتے ھیں "

" اس کتاب میں صرف یہ واضح کیا جائے گا کے شرکت اور مضاربت کے اصولوں پر بینکوں کا قیام کسطرح عمل میں لایاجاسکتاھے، اور وہ اپنےمعروف وظائف کسطرح انجام دے سکتاھے۔ (ص ۱۲۔)

" نمیر سودی بنیکاری کا مطالعه کرتے وقت یه حقیقت بھی سامنے رهنی چاهئےکه﴿س نظام کو کامیابی کے ساتھ چلانے کیلئے ضروری هے که جس ملک میں اسے نافذ کیا جائے وهاں سود قانونا ممنوع هو، اور سودی لین دین کو قابل سزا جریم قرار دیا جائے۔ جہاں اس قانون کو سختی کے ساتھ نافذ نہیں کیا جائیگا

وهاں اسکا امکان باقی رهیگا ،کةبعض اصحاب سرمایة انفرادی اغرائی کے تحت اجتماعی مفاد کو نقصان پہنچائیں ۔ وهاں سودی لین دیسن کا چوربازادہؓ (Black Market) وجود میں آکر غیر سودی نظام کی کارکردگی کو متاثر کر سکتاهے۔ اسناگزیرشِط کے علاوۃ بعض ایسے حالات بھی هیں جن کو بیدا کئے بغیر اسبات کی توقع نہیں کی جاسکتی کہ غیر سودی معیشت میں توازن پیدا هوسکے،مثلاً حاجت مند صارفین کیلئے اجتماعی کفالت کا معقولاانتظام اور سرمایۃ کی دخیرہ اندوزی کی محاصل کے دریعۃ همتشکنی۔ یہ اور ان جیسے دوسرے ساز گار حالات ایک ایسے اسلامی نظام میں بدرجۂ اولی پیدا کئے جاسکیں گے جو سود کو قانونا ممنوع قرار دینے کے ساتھ دوسرے شرعی قوانین کو بھی نافذ کرے اور شرعا مطلوب مقاصد کو حاصل کرنے کاپورا

(Let it be noted that the Council in 1983 had also prepared a Draft Ordinance of Prohibition of Interest). (See p.117 Council's Report on Islamic Economic System, December, 1983).

Most probably, due to the rigors of Income-tax and Excise laws, and for other reasons, as the Council was already apprehensive, the practice of granting and receiving loans and other credit facilities on the basis of interest continued unhampered. Let us quote here from the Council's Report on 'Elimination of Interest from the Country's Economy'--

"The council wishes to stress that with a view to ensuring the success of the new system of banking, it is of paramount importance that the Government should carry out a thorough reappraisal of the tax system, focusing in particular on the need for greatly simplifying the system of Income Tax. The need for this measure was earlier underscored by the Council while submitting its Report on the introduction of Zakat and it was pointed

out in this context that proper collection of Zakat would be difficult to achieve so long as the Income Tax system was not simplified and made sufficiently easy for the assessees. Regretably, however, this recommendation of the Council has yet to be given effect. In submitting its present Report, the Council wishes to express its deep concern in this regard once again, particularly in view of the fact that a thorough-going reform of the Income-Tax system is a sine qua non for the success of an interest-free banking system. This is because of the fact that under the new system, the income of the bank would crucially depend upon the profits of the business firms which receive financial assistance from them. If the existing system of Income Tax remains as it is the business firms would continue the malpractice of concealing their profits and maintaining multiple sets of accounts which would deprive the banks from their rightful share in the profits of these concerns and would thus adversely affect the earnings of the banks, (Introduction p.4 para.4)."

Ga. It is also noticeable that the President, General Muhammad

Zia-ul-Haq, while constituting Federal Shari'at Court on 26th June, 1988, and
empowering the said Court to declare a 'law as void if found repugnant
to the Injunctions of Islam as laid down in the Holy Qur'an and Sunnah
of the Holy Prophet, excluded from the jurisdiction of the said Court the
consideration of all questions relating to financial matters, including Riba.

(Refer Chapter III-A Article 203-B(c) of the Constitution of 1973), until
the expiration of three years from the commencement of the said Chapter.

With the result that the question of interest was to remain outside the
jurisdiction of the Federal Shari'at Court upto 25th June, 1983 (See definition of the word 'law' which includes 'any custom or usage having the

force of law but does not include the Constitution, Muslim Personal Law, any law relating to the procedure of any Court or Tribunal or, until the expiration of three years from the commencement of this Chapter any fiscal law or any law relating to the levy and collection of taxes and fees or banking, insurance practice and procedure). It is also noticeable that the said period of 'three' years was substituted by 'four' for the word 'three' by the Constitution (Second Amendment) Order, 1983(P.O.No.7 of 1983) section 2, (with effect from May 19, 1983) which was again substituted by the Constitution (Second Amendment) Order, 1984(P.O.No.2 of 1984) section 2(with effect from April 26,1984) by the word 'five' which was again substituted by '10 years' by P.O.No.14 of 1985, Art.2 and Sch.item 42 (with effect from March 2, 1985), that is, the bar to continue till 25th June, 1990. The said period having expired the bar stood removed and the jurisdiction with regard to examining any provision of any fiscal law or any law relating to the levy and collection of taxes and fees or banking, insurance practice and procedure now vests in this Court.

It is further noticeable that despite repeated demands and recommendations for removing the said ban, made by almost all "the Ulama and Mashaikh Conferences" held during 1981-88, under the auspices of the Ministry of Religious Affairs and presided over by late General Zia-ul-Haq, the period of exclusion of jurisdiction of this Court to examine Fiscal Laws was extended from time to time, as stated above, even after the late President got a mandate for enforcement of Islam through the Referendum held in 1984, as is evident from the preceding paragraph.

- 65. Now, to deal with the subject of 'Interst' which is a common question in all these petitions it is intended to give first its literal meaning and then its Shari'ah meaning with illustrations from the Holy Qur'an and Sunnah of the Holy Prophet (صلى الله علية وسلم) and its prohibition in relation to the present day practice of our Banks and other financial institutions as raised in the petitions before this Court.
- 66. In the Qur'an the word riba literally means:
 - (i) to grow, e.g.

"And thou beholdest the earth barren, then when We send down water upon it, it quickens and grows....." (XXII:5);

(ii) to increase; to prosper;

"God destroys <u>riba</u>, but makes alms prosper" (II:276); وما آتيتم من ربا ليربوا في اموال الناس فلا يربوا عند الله ، (الروم : ۲۹)

"And whatever you invest in <u>riba</u> so that it may increase upon the people's wealth, it increases not with God;" (XXX:39);

(iii) to rise (for example of a hill), as

"And We gave them refuge upon a height....."(XXIII:50);

"As the likeness of a garden upon a hill....."(II:265);

(iv) to swell (for example, foam), as

"Then the torrent carried a swelling scum;" (XIII:17);

(v) to nurture; to raise (a child); as

ارحمهما کما ربیانی صغیرا ، (بنی اسرائیل ۲۳)

"My Lord, have mercy upon them (i.e.my parents) as they raised me up when I was little! (XVII:24);

الم نربك فينا وليد 1 ، (الشعراء : ١٨)

"Did we not raise thee amongst us as a child?" (XXVI:18);

(vi) augmentation, increase in power, etc., as

فاخدهم اخدة رابية ، (الحاقة : ١٠)

"He seized them with a surpassing grip....."(LXIX:10);

ان تكون امة هي اربلي من امة ٠ (النحل : ٩٢)

"That one nation be more powerful than another nation....."
(XVI:92).

of <u>riba</u> is, 'increase'. In Shari'ah it means "an addition, however slight, over and above the principal", and thus includes both usury and interest.

(See: Lanes's Arabic - English Lexicon). This finds support from the best authorities on Arabic language like Imam Raghib Isfahani (امامراغب اعنياني). Refer their Encyclopaedic works: Mufradat

al-Qur'an (مفردات القرآن) and Taj al-Arus (مفردات القرآن).

68. The word "interest", by and large, has now been accepted and is understood as Riba (ربا) (See Stiengass English - Arabic Dictionary, Lahore, 1979, the word "interest").

- 69. Riba (ربا) Usury, as defined in "A Dictionary of Islam" by Thomas Patrick Hughes, Lahore, 1964, page 544 means "A term in Muslim law defined as "an excess according to a legal standard of measurement or weight, in one or two homogeneous articles opposed to each other in a contract of exchange, and in which such excess is stipulated as an obligatory condition on one of the parties without any return."
- neshec, which included gain, whether from the loan of money, or goods, or property of any kind. In the Mosaic law, conditions of gain for the loan of money or goods were rigorously prohibited. See Exold. xxii.25; Lev. xxv.36 (USURI).
- 71. Therefore, Riba includes both 'usury' and 'interest' as known in English terminology. In legal sense, it is that excess amount which a "Creditor" settles to receive/or recover from his "Debtor" in consideration of giving time to the said debtor for re-payment of his loan. Imam Tabri (d.310 A.H.) in Tafseer Tabri (Vol.III, p.64) commenting on the Qur'anic verse (احل الله البيع وحرم الربا) writes that:-

" الربا ببعض الزيادة التي يزاد رب المال بسبب زيادة غريمة في الاجل وتاخير دينة عليه "

that is, Riba is that increase (financial) which an owner of valuable property (Mal) receives from his debtor for giving him time to repay his debt.

72. Ibn al-Athir, in his famous work Kitab al-Nihayah fi-Gharib al-Hadith wa 'l-Athar Cairo, 1322 A.H. Vol.II p.66 says:-

" الربوا الاصل فية الزيادة ، وفي الشرع الزيادة على اصل المال من غير عقد تبايع ، "

(The original meaning of <u>riba</u> is excess and in the terminology of the Shari'ah, it means increase in the principal without any contract of sale having taken place).

73. Ibn 'Arabi in his noted work, Ahkam al Qur'an, Cairo, 1957, Vol.1 p.242 has defined Riba, to be the name of every increase in lieu of which there is no consideration viz. property, (Mal):

" الربا فى اللغة هو الزيادة و المراد بة فى الاية كل زيادة لم يقابلها عوض · "

This is the definition which Allama Burhanuddin al-Marghinani (d.593 A.H.) has also stated in his famous book, Al-Hidayah (See Al-Hidayah, Qur'an Mahal, Karachi, Kitab-al-Buu' (کتاب البیوع) Sing. Bai (بیع) (Sale) Chapter on Riba (Vol.III, p.78), which reads as under:-

" الربا هو الفضل المستحق لاحد المتعاقدين في المعاوضة الخالي عن عوض شرط فية"

Riba, in law, signifies an excess (increase) in a (loan) contract in which such excess is stipulated as an obligatory condition on one of the parties, without any return, i.e. without any property (Mal), in exchange. (See Book XIV on Sale Chapter VIII on Riba or usury. Hidayah, English Translation by Hamilton, Lahore, page 289) Imam Fakhrud-Din Al-Razi (d.606 A.H.) in his well-known Tafsir al Kabir writes that the meaning of the word Riba is increase but it does not mean that to recover every kind of increase is Riba and is unlawful (حرام). The forbiddance of Riba relates to a special kind of contract which was known amongst the

Arabs as Riba al Nasiyah (ربا النسية) i.e. increase on debt. (The other kind of riba called "Riba al-Fadl" (ربا الفضل) is outside the scope of the present discussion).

74. Al-Jassas in Ahkam al-Qur'an (Istambol, 1335 A.H. vol.I, p.469) defines the term riba as follows:

" هو القرض النخروط فية الإجل و زيادة مال على المستقرض "

(Riba is the loan given for a specified period on condition that on the expiry of the period, the borrower will repay it with some excess.)

" پس سود کی تعریفیۃ قرار پائی کہ قرض میں دیے هوئے راس الصال پر جو زائد رقم مدت کے مقابلے میں شرط اور تعین کے ساتھ لی جائے وہ سود هے " (" سود " لاهور ۱۹۹۷ ، ص ۱۳۹) Even in Common Law parlance "Interest" has been held as

Mawlana Mawdudi has stated the definition of riba as follows:

- "The excess over the original advance is certainly the compensation which the creditor gets for lending his money for the particular period. The fact that it is not described in so many words as interest will not alter its character." (AIR 1944 Madras 243).
- 77. Halsbury's Laws of England, vol,23,S.253 defines interest as follows:-

"Interest when considered in relation to money denotes the return of consideration or compensation for the use of retention by one party of a sum of money or other property belonging to another."

It can thus be concluded that interest is not an increase simpliciter but in the Shari'ah it is a special kind of increase, otherwise

in Bai' (sale), there is an increase which is termed as profit, whereas Riba is the consideration or compensation for the period of re-payment of loan. Since this 'period' is not a valuable Property (Mal), its return has been declared as unlawful, whether it be money or any other thing. In other words, wherever there is money from the One Part and there is only grace period or deferment of the repayment of loan on the Other, and for that a 'return' is stipulated it is Riba. On a careful study of several forms of commercial activities and credit transactions, prevalent among Arabs during the period of Holy Prophet a transaction which contains excess or addition over and above the principal amount of loan, which is pre-determined in relation to time or period to be conditional on the payment of that pre-determined excess or addition, payable to the creditor (such a transaction containing the said elements) constitutes Riba and any sale, transaction or credit facility, in money or in kind, has been considered to be a transaction of Riba, which is unlawful, Haram (حسرام) in territory of Islam, (Dar al Islam (נוرוلا للم), in Muslim society. There is consensus (اجساع - [Ijma'- اجساع) of the Muslim Jurists on it.

The word Riba in its various linguistic forms has been used at about 20 places (See:S.II-265, 275, 276, 278, III: 130, IV: 161, XIII: 17, XVI, 92, XVII 24, XXII: 5, XXVI: 18, XXX: 39, XLI: 39, LXIX: 10) in the Holy Qur'an. The relevant for the pres

discussion are the following verses:-

" الذين ياكلون الربوا لا يقومون الاكما يقوم الذى يتخبطه الشيطان سن المس ذلك بانهم قالوا انما البيع مثل الربوا واحل الله البيع وحرم الربوا فمن جآه م موهظة من ربه فانتهى فله ما سلف وامره الى الله ومن عاد فاولك اصحاب النارهم فيها خالدون ٠

يحق الله الربوا ويربى الصدقات والله لا يحب كل كفار اثيم ، (البقرة ٢: ٥٠٠ _ ٢٧٦ _ ٢٧٦)

" يا ايها الذين آمنوا اتقوا الله و ذروا ما بقى من الربوا ان كتم مؤمنين · فان لم تفعلوا فأذنوا بحرب من الله ورسولــه وان تبتم فلكم روس اموالكم لا تظلمون ولا تظلمون .

وان كان ذوعسرة فنظرة الى ميسرة وان تصدقوا خيـرلكم ان كنتم تعلمون · واتقوا يوما ترجعون فيـم الى الله ثـم توفـى كل نفس ما كسبت وهـم لا يظلمون · (البقرة ٢: ٢٧٨ ـ ٢٨١)

"Those who live on usury will not rise (at Resurrection) but like a man possessed of the devil and demented. This because they say that trading is like usury. But trade has been sanctioned and usury forbidden by Allah. Those who are wanted by their Lord and desist will keep (what they have taken of interest) already and those who revert to it again are the residents of Hell where they will abide for ever.

80. Allah takes away (gain) from usury, but adds (profits) to charity: and God does not love the ungrateful and unjust.

O believers, fear Allah and forego the interest that is owing if you really believe.

If you do not, beware of war on the part of Allah and His Apostle.

But if you repent, you shall keep your principal.

Oppress none and no one will oppress you.

If a debtor is in want, give him time until his circumstances improve, but if you forego (the debt) as charity, that will be to your good, if you really understand.

Have fear of the day when you go back to Allah. Then each will be paid back in full his reward and no one will be wronged."

O you, who believe, do not practice usury, charging doubled and redoubled (interest): but have fear of Allah: you may well attain yourgoal. (Al-Imran 3:130)

روما اتيتم من ربا ليربوا في اموال الناس فلا يربوا عندالله وما (٣٩ : ٣٠) اتيتم من زكواة تريدون وجه الله فاولئك هم المضعفون و (الروم ٣٩ : ٣٠) What you invest at usury in order to increase your capital on other people's wealth, does not find increase with Allah; yet what you give in alms and charity, seeking Allah, will be doubled.

(Al-Rum 30:39)

* فبظلم من الذين هادوا حرمنا عليهم طيبات احلت لهم وبصدهم عن سبيل الله كتيــرا ه (النسآ ، ١٦٠) " واخذهم الربوا وقد نهو عنه واكلم اموال الناس بالباطل واعتد نا للكافرين منهم عذابا اليماه (النسآه ؟: ١٦١)

(Because of the wickedness of some among the Jews and because they obstructed people from the way of Allah, we forbade them many things which were lawful for them; And because they practised usury although it had been forbidden to them, and for usurping others' wealth unjustly. For those who are unbelievers among them we have reserved a painful punishment (Al-Nisa'.

4:160 -161) (Translation of the above verses has been reproduced from (Prof.) Ahmad Ali's "Al-Qur'an" a contemporary translation" (with the only substitution by Allah for God).

81. It is stated in Al-Muntakhab fi Tafseer Al- Qur'an Al
Karim (المنتخب في تفيرالقرآن الكرم) written by a Committee

of the Qur'an & Sunnah (الجنة القرآن و النه) of the Supreme

Council of Islamic Affairs (المجلس الاعلى للشئون الاسلامية),

Ministry of Auqaf, Arab Republic of Egypt, that:

" الربا المذكور في الآية هو ربا الجاهلية وهو الزيادة في الديون في نظير الاجل وهو حبرام في قليلة وكثيرة "

^{*(}P.66, footnote No.1 Surah II, verse 275),

Reference may also be made to Alkashshāf'An Haqa'iq Ghawamid

<u>al-Tanzil</u> (الكشاف عن حقائت غيوامض التنزيل) by Imam Jarullah

Mahmood bin Umar al-Zamkhshari(امام جابر الله محمود بن عمر الزمحشري)

(d.528 A.H.) Vol.1 pp.319 - 323).

- in his Tafsir Ayat al-Ahkam (تفسيرآيات الاحكام) Damascus,
 1397 A.H. 1977 A.C., Vol. 1 page 383 writes that the dictionary
 meaning of Riba is (الزيادة مطلقا) i.e. an absolute increase
 (without any attribute or qualification) and in Shariah it means
 (المقرض من المستقرض مقابل الاجل زيادة ياخذها) the increase or excess
 which the creditor takes from his debtor in lieu of the deferred
 period (for repayment of loan).
- 83. Syed Qutub Shaheed (may Allah have his infinite

 Mercy on him) of Egypt, in his notable work, Tafsir fi Zilal al
 Qur'an (verses 275-81, Surah Al-Baqarah), has dealt with the

 question of Riba elaborately. In short, as stated by him "interest

 and Islam cannot remain together in a (Muslim) Society". An

 extract from the translation of the above Tafsir reads:

"اولین حقیقت یة هے کة جسسماج میں سودی نظام کے زیر سایة اپنی زندگیاں گزار رهے هیں ۔ وهاں اسلام کا کوئی وجود قائم نہیں رة سکتا۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔۔کیونکة اسلام اپنی اساس هی کے لحاظ سے سودی نظام سے براة راست متصادم هے اور یة دونوں نظام ایک وقت میں اور ایک معاشرے میں نہیں رة سکتے۔ بلکة سود کے وسیع تراثرات اسلام کو لوگوں کی اخلاقی اور اعتقادی زندگی میں بھی

پنپنے نہیں دیتے اور دلوں کی گہرائیوں سے بھی اسلام کوکھرچکھرچ کرنکال دیتے ھیں ۔

دوسری حقیقت یه هے که سود انساینت کے انحلاق ، ایمان اورتصور حیات هی کے لئے مصیبت نہیں هے ، بلکه سود خالص اقتصادی اور عملی لحاظ سے بهی ایک زبردست لعنت هے ، سودی نظام انسانی سعادت پرداکه دالتا اور انسان کے معتدل نشوونما میں بگاڑ پیدا کرتاهے، حالانکه سودی نظام کی ظاهری چمک دمک سے ایسالگتا هے، جیسے یه انسانی نشوونما میں مدد دینے میں معاون اور مددگارهو۔

تیسری حقیقتیة هے که اسلام میں اخلاقی اورعملی نظام باهم ،مگـر مربوط اور پیوست هیں۔اسلام میں انسان کا هر عمل شرط خلافت کے ساتھ مشروط هے اور یوم آخرت میں هرعمل کی جوابدهی کرنا هے اور اسلام کااقتصادی نظام بغیر اخلاق کے قائم نہیں هوسکتا ۔

چوتھی حقیقت یہ ھے کہ سودی معاملات فرد کے ضمیر۔ اخلاق اور شعور کو تباہ اور جماعتی زندگی کوختم کرکے رکھ دیتے ھیں ۔ کیونکہ سودی کاروبار سے لوگوں کے دلوں میں طمع حسد، لالج،کینہ ،دھوکے بازی اورفریبکاری جیسےامرائی پیداھوجاتےھیں ۔ جو اجتماعی زندگی کو گھن کی طرح کھاجاتے ھیں صود سرمائے کو بہا کر ھر اس جگہہ لے جاتاھے ، جہاں سے سرمایہ دار کو زیادہ سے زیادہ فائدے کی امید ھو، اسی وجہ سے دورجدید میں سرمائے کا بہاؤعریاں فلموں،گندی محافت ، رقص گاھوں، کلبوں اور ان تمام چیزوں کی جانب ھوچکاھے جو انسانی اخلاق کے لئے تباہ کن ھیں ،کیونکہ سودی سرمایہ کاری کامقصد زیادہ نفع کماناھے۔ اس لئے وہ انہی کاموں میں کھپتاھے۔ جہاں انسان کی پست خواھشات بھڑکتی ھوںاور سفلی جزبات تسکین پاتے ھوں۔

پانچویں حقیقت یہ هے کہ اسلام ایک مکمل اور جامع نظام حیات هے جب سودی تعامل کو حرام قرار دیتا هے تو ایک ایسا اقتصادی نظام بھی پیشکرتاهے،

جس میں سرے سے سود کی کوئی ضرورت ھی پیش نہیں آتی، اسلام انسان کی اجتماعی زندگی کے مختلف پہلووں کی اس طرح تشکیل کرتا ھے کہ ان کا نشوونمابھـــی جاری رھے اور سود کابھی کہیں گزرنہ ھوسکے۔

چھٹی حقیقت یہ ھے کہ اگر کسی وقت اسلامی نظام زندگی عملی شکل میں برتاو میں برتاو میں دین کی بندش کے ساتھ جدید تہدیبی ،اقتصادی ادو اروں کو ختم نہیں کیا جائے گا۔ بلکہ انکی تطہیر کر کے انہیں اسلام۔۔۔۔ اصولوں کی روشنی میں از سر نومرتب کیا جائے گا۔

ساتویں حقیقت یہ ھے جو شخص بھی مسلمان ھے اور مسلمان رھناچاھتا هے اسے بہر حال یہ یقین کامل رکھنا چاھئے کہ یہ امر محال هے کہ اللہ سبحانة کسی ایسی شائے کو حرام قرار دیں ، جو حیات انسانی کی نشونما کے لئے صُروری ھو اور اسی طرح یہ بھی ممکن نہیں ھے کہکوئی بات برائی ھو اور اسکے باوجود بھی وہ انسانی زندگی کے لئے ناگزیرھو ۰۰۰۰ اللہ سبحانہ نے انسان کو پیداکیا اورزندگی کی تخلیق فرمائی ۔ انہوں ھی نے انسان کو زندگی کی نشوونما اورفروغ کا حکم دیا۔ اسلئے خدا کسی ایسی شئے کو حرام نہیں قرار دے سکتا جو انسانی زندگی کے تقدم اور نشوونما کے لئے ضروری هو اور نة هی به هوسکتاهے که کوئی ... خبیث اور بری شئے انسانی ڈندگی کے لئے ناگزیر بن جائے ۔ یہ تصور کہ سود اقتضادی نشوونما کے لئے ناگزیر ھے۔ ایک انتہائی علط اورخبیث پر اپیگنٹا کا نتیجہ ھے ۔ اس تصور کو ساری دنیا میں خوب خوب اچھالا گیاھے، اسے تعلیمی اور ثقافتی مراکز میں اجاگر کیاگیاھے اور سود خواروں کی کوششوں سے لوگوں کے ڈھنوں میں یہ بات اتاردی گئی هے که معاشی زندگی بغیر سود کے استوار نہیں هوسکتی اور اس تصور کے تمام دھنوں میں سرایت کر جانے کی دووجوھات ھیں ، ایک وجۃ تو لوگوں کے دلوں کا ایمان سے خالی هوناهے اور دوسری وجة ان کےدهنوں کا کسی غیر سودی نظام کے سوچنے سے عاجز ہوناہے۔ کیونکہ سود خواروں نے استصور کو خوب اچھی طرح پھیلا دیاھے اور وہ تمام دنیا کی حکومتوں اور ذرائع ابلاغ کے دروبست پر کلیتہ قابض هوچکے هیں ـ آشھویں حقیقت یہ ھے کہ اگر امت مسلمہ پاک و صاف اور سچے ارادے اور حقیقی عزم کے ساتھ اٹھ کھڑی ھو اور عالمی سود خواروں کی ٹولیوں سے آزادی حاصل کر لے تو وہ اب بھی اسلام کا غیر سودی اقتصادی نظام برپا کر سکتی ھے ، جو عملاً ایک عرصے تک قائم وہ چکا ھے اور جس کے تحت انسانیت نشوونما اور فروغ پا چکی ھے اور اب بھی انسانیت کی فلاح اسلامی نظام ھی میں مضمر ھے ، بشرطیکہ انسانیت اس حقیقت کو سمجھ لنسانیت کی فلاح اسلامی نظام ھی میں مضمر ھے ، بشرطیکہ انسانیت اس حقیقت کو سمجھ لے ۔ (تفسیر فی ظلال القرآن (اردو) پارہ ۳ ، اسلامی اکیدمی لاھور ،صفحہ کے لئے ۔ (تفسیر فی ظلال القرآن (اردو) پارہ ۳ ، اسلامی اکیدمی لاھور ،صفحہ کے لئے ۔ (تفسیر فی ظلال القرآن (اردو) پارہ ۳ ، اسلامی اکیدمی لاھور ،صفحہ کے بھی ، بھی ،

Islamic Publications, Lahore, 1961 pp.148-49 writes that -

" سود کے مسئلۃ میں ابتدائی حکم صرفیۃ تھا کۃ قرض کے معاملات میں جو سودی لین دین ہوتا ھے وہ قطعا حرام ھے لیکن بعدمیں انحضرت صلی اللۃ علیۃ وسلم نے اللہ تعالی کی اس حملٰی کے ارد گرد بندشیں لگانا ضروری سمجھا تاکۃ لوگ اس کے قریب بھی نہ پھٹک سکیں ۔ اس قبیل سے وہ فرمان نبوی ھے جس میں سود کھانے اور کھلانے کے بعد سود کی دستاویز لکھنے اور اس پر گواھی دینے کو بھی حرام کیا گیا ھے ، اور اس شہر قبل وہ احادیث ھیں جن میں ربوا الفضل کی تحریم کا حکم دیا گیا ھے "۔

Reference may also be made to late Syed Abul A'la Mawdudi's "Tafhim al-Qur'an Ur'an) Vol.1, pp.210-18, 287-88 and 422. Also see Ma'arif al Qur'an (معارف القرآن) by late Grand Mufti of Pakistan, Mufti Muhammad Shafi', Vol.1 pp.585-622.

- 85. There are also a number of traditions of the Holy Prophet الماء الم
- (i) Muwatta, Imam Malik, (موطا امام مالک) (Arabic-Urdu),

 Karkhana-i-Tijarat Kutub, Karachi, P.575. Trans. by Professor

 Rahimuddin, published by Sh.Muhammad Ashraf, Lahore, 1980, page 303

states the following Traditions:

عن زيد بن اسلم انة ، قال كان الربا فى الجاهلية ان يكون
 للرجل على الرجل الحق الى اجل فاذا حل الاجل قال اتقضى ام
 تربى فان قضى اخذ والازادة فى حقة واخر عنة فى الاجل . "

(Zaid b.Aslam reported that interest in pagan times was of this nature: When a person owed money to another man for a certain period and the period expired, the creditor would say: You pay me the amount or pay the interest. If he paid the amount, it was well and good, otherwise the creditor increased the loan amount and extended the period for payment again).

ii) Al-Tarteeb wal-Bayan by Muhammad Zaki Saleh, Vol.II

Egypt 1374 A.H. = 1957 A.C. P.234: states that:

" روى مسلم و ابوداود والترمذي عن جابر قال لعن رسول اللة صلى اللة علية وسلم اكل الربا و موكلة و كاتبة وشاهدية وقال هم سواءً"

(According to Jabir, the Holy Prophet cursed those who receive and pay interest and the scribe of the deed and those who bear witness to it and said they are equal).

(Muslim).

- iii) Muslim has also reported from Abdullah Ibn Masud that the Holy Prophet cursed the receiver of interest as well as its giver, the scribe of the interest deed and the witnesses to it: and further said that they all are equal (in the act of committing sin). (Muslim).
- 86. Al-Bayhaqi (d. 458 A.H.) in his Sunan has a chapter titled as:

" كل قرض جر منفعة فهو ربا "

(Every loan from which some profit accrues is <u>riba</u>). In this chapter there occurs the following hadith:

" عن فضالة بن عبيد صاحب النبى صلى اللة علية وسلم انة قال كل قرض جر منفعة فهو وجة من وجوة الربا _ (موقوف).

(A Companion of the Prophet, Fudalah b. Ubayd, said that every loan from which some profit accrues to the creditor is one of the forms of riba).

87. It is also stated by Al-Suyuti (d.911 A.H.) in his work al-Jami' al-Saghir, Cario, 1954, P.94, as:

فهو قرض جر منفعة فهو ربا .

(Every loan from which a profit accrues is <u>riba</u>). But this is not all).

(Traditionist) 'Ali al-Muttaqi of Burhanpur (d.975 A.H.) has quoted this very hadith in Kanz al-'Ummal of Vol.IV, P.665.

- 88. Abu Dawud in his Sunan narrates:
- " عن محارب قال سمعت جابر بن عبد الله يقول كان لى على النبى
 (٣١٩/٣ الله علية وسلم دين فقضا شيء وزادنى . "(مسند حمنبل)
 (Muharib reported that he heard Jabir b. 'Abd Allah saying that the Prophet owed him (Jabir) some money and at the time of the repayment of the loan the Prophet added (some money) in excess of the principal borrowed). This furnishes an example of an unstipulated and voluntary increase.
- 89. For further <u>Hadith</u> material on the prohibition of <u>riba</u>, see ' مسئله سود ' by late Mawlana Mufti Muhammad Shafi, Karachi, 1979, pp.68-99, wherein 47 <u>ahadith</u> have been stated).
- 90. Let us also refer to the last Sermon of the Holy Prophet

 (صلى الله عليه وسلم), in the presence of about a lac of his reverend

 Companions (صحابه كرام). The following words of the Holy Prophet

are very significant:
.....
* ألا كل شي* من امر الجاهلية تحت قدمي موضوع

..... ورسا الجاهلية موضوعو أول ربا اضعة ربا العباس

بن عبد المطلب فانه موضوع كله ، (تفسير الخازن ، الجز الاول ص ٣٠١)

(The Holy Messenger of Allah, on his last Pilgrimage and in his last address, declared the prohibition of interest in these words: "Every form of interest (Riba) is cancelled; capital indeed is yours which you shall have; wrong not and you shall not be wronged. Allah has given his Commandment totally prohibting interest (Riba). I start with the amount of interest which people owe to Abbas and declare it all cancelled. "He then, on behalf of his uncle, Abbas, cancelled the total amount of interest due on his loan capital from his debtor).

91. Dr. Hameedullah, based at Paris and widely respected for his piety and scholarship, in his book "Muhammad Rasulullah" (Salla - Ilahualaihi wa Sallam) Karachi, paragraph 34, tracing the history of Ka'ba () and writing about its repairs/construction/reconstruction at one point of time, somewhere in 605 A.C., when on a tempestuous day sparks of fire were thrown by the wind on the curtains of the Ka'ba, which caught fire and the building was burnt out, and tempest was followed by torrential rains, and these dealt the final blow, so that the building of the Ka'ba crumbled down in heaps, writes that "Contributions were asked from everybody in the town, and it was

solemnly announced that for the sacred building only honest money should be offered; prostitutes and usurious peoples were asked not to contribute anything" (underlining is mine). This implies that even among the pagans of Arabia, in those dark days of human civilization, usury (interest) was considered to be the money earned by dishonest means. We may also refer to paragraphs 144 and 226 of the same book. In a general meeting of all the population, both Muslim and non-Muslim, convened by the Holy Prophet, a Constitution for the State in Madina with Buffer states around, was reduced into writing, constituting the earliest written Constitution of a State, promulgated by its Head, in the world. The Christians of Najran who were highly organized religious people and were more fanatically attached to their faith, sent a delegation to Madina consisting, among others of a bishop and a vicar (second Priest). They voluntarily acceded to the said Muslim State of Madina, as non-Muslim subjects, and obtained a Charter which conferred on them autonomy, both religious and administrative. It was covenated that "they need no more pay the interest to their creditors, but only the capital of the loans. Naturally he (the Prophet) asked them not to take interest themselves either in future. All was reduced to writing, and the document has come down to us".

92. Analysing the material of the Holy Qur'an and Sunnah of the Holy Prophet, referred to above, forbidding Muslims to devour interest, it is noticed that as the interest-bearing transactions were

prevalent among the Arabs for a long time and it had taken roots in their economic and social life, the prohibition of interest came gradually. The injunction as contained in S.XXX: 39 of the Holy Qur'an is of an advisory nature, on moral plane, that interest, in reality, does not increase the wealth, but it is the charity, seeking Allah's pleasure, that increases manifold. The other injunction (S.III: 12 forbids Muslims to take compound interest (usury), in order to be dutiful to Allah the Almighty, so that real prosperity may come to them. Some people thought 'trade' and 'interest' alike. Then came the admonition that whoever devours interest will stand like the one whom Satan has bewildered and maddened by his touch. Then they were ordered to desist from taking interest and he who abstains from taking interest shall be pardoned for his past actions, but he who repeats the same shall go to Hell, where he shall abide for Then came an absolute injunction to Muslims to forego interest, if they really believe in Him and then came the warning, that if you do not forego interest, which has already accrued to you, and do not desist from taking it any further, beware of war on the part of Allah and His Apostle. This warning, in fact, implies that whoever wants to be in peace with Allah and his Apostle he is to desist from taking interest, otherwise state of war with Allah and His Prophet continues. came another injunction to be content with receiving back the principal amount followed by an advice that if the debtor is in difficulty, time be

given to him (S.II:277-80). At the end, (S.II:281), there is a reminder to have fear of Allah and that when they will go back to Allah, on the day of resurrection, each one will be paid back for what he has earned in this world.

93. Those who are of the view that it is the interest doubled and redoubled (اضعافا مضاعفة) only, which is prohibited (verse 130 of Surah-Al-i-Imran)fail to take into consideration the other verses on the subject. It is one of the accepted principles of interpretation of the Holy Qur'an that firstly the Holy Qur'an should be interpreted by the Holy Qur'an itself. Therefore, for the correct interpretation of a verse in the Holy Qur'an, the other verses of the Holy Qur'an, on the subject, must be looked into and taken together to find out the real intention of the Holy Qur'an and, then, the Sunnah of the Holy Prophet viz. his word, (قعل), act (فعل) and maintaining silence on one's words spoken or act done in his presence by the Holy Prophet (تقريس), be called in aid for its interpretation. Therefore, some of the writers on interest who have expressed their view that it is only the 'usury' (compound or excessive interest) which is prohibited or, in other words, it is the excessive rate of interest, which is prohibited and not a small percentage, to say the least, are entirely mistaken. We may here quote a passage from a notable work of late Dr. Fazl-ur-Rahman Ansari " The Qur'anic Foundations and Structure of Muslim Society", Begum Aisha Bawany

Wakf, Karachi Vol. II P.327, which reads that:-

"Because Riba is generally translated as usury, and because in modern parlance 'usury' signifies only an 'exhorbitant rate of interest' some people have fallen into the error that what the Holy Qur'an has really forbidden is an excessive rate of interest'. In truth, it is only a misinterpretation of the term Riba and a perversion of the Qur'anic teaching. That the Holy-Book does not distinguish between 'exorbitant' and 'reasonable' rates of interest is clear from the Qur'anic Verse 2:278-80"

94. If the meaning, extent and application of <u>riba</u> ordinance of the Holy Qur'an is restricted to its being doubled and redoubled (الفعانا مناعنة), it goes directly against the historical evidence existed throughout the Muslim civilization that the whole interest system was banned and declared unlawful. The illat al-hukm (علة الحكم), the cause of prohibition of

interest is not that of its being doubled and re-doubled, as some modernists contend, but exploitation of poor and needy as evidenced by the Qur'an , neither doing injustice nor itself: suffering injustice (S.Al-Baqra 11:80) and the unequivocal command in the remit what remains of) ودرواماً بقى من الربوا express words: riba,) leaves no room for doubt that whatever is involved by way of interest, whether big or small, simple or compound, doubled or re-doubled, in whatever form or kind, is ordered to be remitted; let alone the principal amount to return with a moral tone to give the debtor time, if he stands in need for it: وان كان ذو عسرة فنظرة الى ميسره (if, however, anyone is in difficulties, let there be a delay till he is able to pay even the principal although it is better for, وان تصدقوا خيرلكم amount, and: you to give it up.

- 95. The case is, therefore, not that only exorbitant or excessive rate of interest is prohibited but it includes a small percentage also. The words Riba as used in the Qur'an is absolute in terms, and no attribute or qualification (وصف) as to its quantity is to govern it, nor it has any credence (Refer: "المنتخب في تفسير القرآن الكريم" (Op.cit)
- 96. Some modernists, including Yaqoob Shah, Ex-Auditor General of Pakistan and Jafar Shah Phulwarvi have presented a view that the riba which is prohibited by the Holy Qur'an is that kind of riba which was prevalent among the Arabs at the time of the revelation of the verses relating to the prohibition of riba, because the word al-riba (الربا) hase

كمرشل انثرست كى فقهى حيثيت ، ادارة ثقافت اسلامية ، لاهور

been particularized with الفادم (alif and lam) and as such will be relateable to the kind of riba which was then prevalent among the Arabs, and since the commercial loan for productive purposes was not in practice, the interest charged by the Banks for commercial purposes does not come within the ambit of 'al-riba', prohibited by the Qur'an as, then, there was no banking system. The argument is not tenable for two reasons:-

- word al-riba, being a proper noun is relateable only to the riba prevalent among the Arabs, the Qur'anic address to "The Believers,أيالفيالثين" may also be contended to be relateable to the people who had by then entered into the fold of Islam or the word al-khamr, which is un-lawful will also be restricted in its scope and its application to the kind of liquor used by the Arabs at the time of revelation of the verses prohibiting 'khamr' (خصر) or for that matter 'al-fahsha'(النحشاء) used in the Qur'an will also be deemed to be restricted in its application and scope to the forms of immorality which were then prevalent among the Arabs. Such examples can be multiplied, but only an ignorant man will say so.
- 98. It will be interesting to note that even in English law the word "interest" has a basic meaning of advantage or profit. When used with reference to a loan, interest means the profit or advantage of the creditor which he gets by giving to another the use of his money. If the contract stipulates that for the use of the creditor's money a certain profit shall be repayable to the creditor that

profit is interest, by whatever name it is called, or if it is called by no name at all." (United Bank Ltd., vs. Rubber Industry, 1982 CLC 2522).

- Secondly, it is belying the history that there was no commercial loan for productive purposes during the period of the Holy Prophet. It is, however, admitted by them that there are few instances of agricultural loan. The argument is fallacious. A loan may be obtained for commerce or trade or agriculture or even for that matter industry but it remains a loan for productive purpose which is the ultimate end.
- and Sunnah includes interest due on the loans taken or given for commercial and productive purposes by banks or other financial institutions. This is obvious from the fact that at the time of the revelation of the Holy Qur'an, the Arabs used to take/give loans for commercial purposes on fixed rates of interest. Reference may be made to the following traditions:-
- (i) 1. In pre-Islamic period taking loans on interest for commercial and productive purposes was in practice. Makkah, Taif and Najran were known as famous commercial Centres. As there was no agriculture and industry in that period, the Arabs to a large extent had to depend on such loans for maintaining their social life and for growth in their trade.

 The capitalists used to give loans on interest to the merchants and entrepreneurs. (See Jawad Ali: Al-Mufassal fi'l tarikh al-Arab qabal al-Islam Beirut, volume-VII, pages 219-227).
 - (ii) The inhabitants of Taif who belonged to Thaqif (نقيف)

that when Holy Prophet made pact with the people of Taif, a condition was laid therein that all the interest-bearing business will be totally abolished and all the interest due from either side will also be wiped off.

Some people of Taif gave loans to some traders of Makkah on fixed interest. (See Fazal-ur-Rahman Tijarati Sud, Tarikhi owr Fiqhi nuqtai nazar sai, Aligarh, page 10).

(iii) When the Holy Qur'an prohibited the due interest in the verse:

ال الما الذين آمنوا اتقوا الله ودروا ما بقى من الربوا .

("O ye who believe! Fear God, and give up what remains of your demand for usury, if ye are indeed believers" (2:278), a prolific writer, on Qur'an, Hadith and fiqh Jalaluddin Suyuti in Al-Durral-Manthur (الدرالمنثور) Beirut, Vol. I p.366 explaining the above verse writes:

" نزلت هذة الآية فى العباس و رجل من بنى مغيرة كانا شريكين فى الجاهلية يسلفان فى الربا الى ناس من ثقيف ... فجاء الاسلام و لهما اموال عظيمة فى الربا فانزل اللة ... "

(This verse revealed about Hazrat Abbas and a man from Banu Mughirah who were partners in pre-Islamic period and gave commercial loans on interest to the people of <u>Thaqif</u> belonging to Banu Zamratah i.e. Banu Amar Bin Umair. When they embraced Islam, they had a great amount of interest due on the people. So this verse was revealed and they were ordered to give up the interest due on the people)

He further writes in this regard -

عن ابن جريح فى قولة تعالى ياايهاالذين آمنوا اتقوا اللة الاية قال كانت ثقيف قد صالحت النبى صلى اللة علية وسلم على ان مالهم من ربا على الناس وما كان للناس عليهم من ربا فهو موضوع فلما جاء الفتح استعمل المما عتاب بن اسيد على مكة و كانت بنو عمرو بن عوف ياخذون الربا من المغيرة يربون لهم فى الجاهلية فجاء الاسلام ولهم عليهم مال كثير فاتاهم بنوعمرو يطلبون رباهم فابى بنوالمغيرة ان يعطوهمفى الاسلام و رفعوا ذالك الى عتاب بن اسيد فكتب الى رسول اللة صلى اللة علية وسلم فنزلت ياايهاالذين امتوا اتقوا اللة ودروا ما بقى من الربا الى قولة ولا تظلمون فكتب بهارسول اللة صلى اللة علية وسلم بحرب. "

(It has been related on the authority of Ibn al-Juraij about this verse who said that the tribe of Thaqif made a pact with the Holy Prophet wherein they ordained that they will give up all the dues of the people regarding interest as well as that which they had to pay to the people as interest. After the conquest of Makkah, the Prophet appointed Attab Ibn Usaid as Governor of Makkah and Banu Amr Ibn Auf used to take interest from Banu Mughirah and they provided them loans on interest in pre-Islamic period. When they embraced Islam, they had a great amount of interest due to Banu Mughirah and Banu Amr demanded it from them. But Banu Mughirah refused to pay them the interest and the matter was referred to Attab who wrote to the Holy Prophet about it. On this the above verse revealed and the Prophet wrote to Attab that they should "give up" the due interest failing which we will be at war against them).

He continues to say:-

" عن الضحاك في قولة اتقوا اللة ودروا ما بقى من الربا قال ربايتبايعون بة في الجاهلية فلما اسلموا أمروا إن ياخدوا روس اموالهم . "

(It has been related on the authority of Dahak who, while explaining the above verse, said that Arabs in pre-Islamic period took/gave loans on interest for commercial purposes. When Islam came they were ordered to take/give their principal only).

101. It is pertinent to mention that Zubair Ibn al-Awwam used to keep the savings of the people as trust so that he may invest them in a productive business and get benefits. It is for this reason that when he

died, he had to pay five lacs to the people and left five crore and two lacs of dirhams. (Al-Bukhari, Muhammad Ibn Ismail, Dehli, Vol. I, page 331.

102. In the period of Hazrat Umar, Abu Musa al-Asha'ry, the governor of Iraq, gave loan to the two sons of Hazrat Umar namely, Abdullah and Ubaidullah and they invested that amount in a productive business:

" خرج عبد اللة و عبيد اللة ابنا عمر بن الخطاب في جيش الى العراق فلما قفلة مرا على ابى موسى الاشعرى وهو امير البصرة اسلفهما المال للقراض فجعلة عمر قراضاً . " (Imam Malik al-Muwatta, Cairo, page 186).

103. Similarly, in the period of Hazrat Umar, Hind Bint Utbah took a loan from Baitul Mal for investment in a trade:

" كان عمر بن الخطابقد استلف من بيت المال " (Al-Tabari Ibn Jarir, Cairo, Vol.III, page 87).

- and when he was near his death, he instructed his son Abdullah to return the said amount to <u>Baitul Mal</u>. (<u>Takmilah Fath al-Mulhim</u>, Karachi, Vol.I, page 573).
- 105. Abdur Rahman Ibn Yaqub took a loan from Hazrat Usman for commercial purposes on the basis of mutual profit sharing:

" عن العلا بن عبدالرحمان بن يعقوب عن ابية انة عمل في مال لعثمان بن عفان على ان الربح بينها . "

(Al-Baihaqi, al-Sunan al-Kubra, Multan, Vol.VI, page 11).

106. A contemporary Muslim economist, M.Umar Chapra, Financial Adviser to the Government of Saudi Arabia, writes in this regards :-

"On the occasion of his Farewell pilgrimage, the Prophet, while declaring the abolition of interest, announced the remission of interest accumulated in favour of his uncle 'Abbas Ibn Abd Al-Muttalib, this was interest on business loans extended to the Banu Thaqif tribe. This tribe had not taken the loan from 'Abbas and others for fulfilling consumption needs but for expanding their business. This was not an isolated case but a prevalent form of business financing in those days. Several tribe members having skill in trading acted essentially like large partnerships, borrowing finance from members of their own tribe or from other friend tribes, to carry on large-scale business which their own resources This is because they could not would not permit. undertake too many business trips abroad from east to west. The slow means of communication, the difficult terrain and the harsh climate confined them to mainly two carvan trips during the year, one in summer and one in winter (al-Qur'an, 106:2). Accordingly they collected all the finance they could muster to purchase the local produce, sell it abroad and bring back what was necessary to satisfy the entire needs of their society for imports during a specific period. Most of the interest-based transactions mentioned in the classical commentaries in relation to the prohibition of riba are loans taken by tribes from each other, each tribe acting like a large partnership company. Islam abolished the interest-based nature of these relationships but reorganised them on a profit-and-loss-sharing basis.

The financier got a just share and the entrepreneur did not get crushed under adverse conditions, one of which was the carvan being waylaid on the journey".

(M.Umar Chapra, Towards a Just Monetary System, Wiltshire, pages 63-64).

107. An eminent Muslim Scholar, Shaikh Muhammad Abu Zahra of Egypt writes:-

"If the word "Riba" is interpreted as riba prevailing in pre-Islamic period, then there is no argument for the fact that it was only charged on consumptional loans and was not charged on loans for productive and commercial purposes, but what is available for a reader from the authentic history is that it was mostly charged on loans for productive purposes. The circumstances of Arabs, the position of Makkah and the trade of Quraish-all support the preposition that loans taken or given at that time were for productive purposes and not for consumptional pruposes only" (Muhammad Abu Zahrah, Buhus fi al-riba, page-52, Beirut Edition).

108. An orientalist, Abraham Udoviteh states that :-

"Any assertion that medieval credit was for consumption only and not for production is just untenable with reference to the medieval Near East". (Abraham Udoviteh "Partnership and Profit in Medieval Islam", Precsiceton, page 86).

109. It is pertinent to mention here that the difference between interest on consumptional loans and interest on productive loans is a difference of degree and not of kind since interest is nothing but an addition to the borrowed capital on deferred payment basis.

المان Mr. Fazlur Rahman, Lecturer, Faculty of Sunny Theology, Muslim University, Aligarh, in his book ' ا تجارتي سود تاريخي اورفقيي قطعنظر سي ' has undertaken an analytical study on the question of riba. The book, in fact, has two parts. The first part deals with the question as to whether in the pre-Islamic Arabia the commercial interest was prevalent or not and whether the system of borrowing loan for productive purposes was then existing?

In the second part, he has undertaken a juristic study whether commercial interest falls within the definition of riba or not? The book, as a whole, is, in fact, a detailed criticism on the book ' عادة المنافقة عند المنافقة المناف

111. The learned author (Mr.Fazlur Rahman) writes under the heading ' كـمرشل انفـرست كاتـاريخي جـائزة 'as under:-

 صنعت و تجارت کی راة میں ناقابل عبور دشواریاں حائل هو جائیں گی ۰۰۰۰۰ ۔ یة پریشان کن مسئلة کة قرآن و سنت نے ربا پرمبنی سارے معاملات کو بالتصریح حرام کیا هے ، اس طرح حل کیا گیا کـة " ربا " کے لفظ کا ترجمة " یوژری " کر دیا گیا ، اس طرح یـة سمجھایا گیا کة قرآن کا ربا جو حرام قرار دیا گیا تھا وة "یوژری تھا انٹرسٹ کی حرمت سے اسے کوئی سروکار نة تھا ۔" (ص ۱ ، ۲)

" ان سبحضرات کے استدلال کی بنیاد یہ دعوی تھا کہ قرآن و سنت نے " ربا " کو حرام قرار دیا ھے اور " ربا " کے لفظ کا اطلاق صرف " یوڑری " پر ھوتا ھے جو اس اضافے کا نام ھے جو قرض خواہ اس رقم پر لیتا ھے جسے صرفی و داتی حوائج کے لئے قرض لیا گیا ھے ۔ ان کی رائے میں " ربا " کے لفظ کا اطلاق انٹرسٹ پر ھوتا ھی نھیں ، کیونکہ ان حضرت کی اطلاع کے مطابق جناب نبی کریم صلی اللہ علیہ وآلہ وسلم کے مبارک دور میں یا اس سے پہلے کمرشل انٹرسٹ عرب میں رائج تھا نہ وہ اس سے واقف تھے ۔" (ص ٣)

" یوژری اور انٹرسٹ کا باہمی فرق صنعتی انقلاب کی پیداوار ہے جب صنعت اور تجارت کے میدان میں سرمایۃ کو اولین اہمیت حاصل ہوئی ۔ چنانچۃ یوژری کا مطلب یۃ سمجھا جانے لگا کہ یہ قرض کا وہ قدامت پرستانہ معاملۃ ہے جب روپیۃ صرفی اغراض کیلئے لیا دیا جاتا تھا ۔ اس کے برخلاف انٹرسٹ کا مفہوم یہ بتایاگیا کہ یہ اس قرض لیے ہوئے روپے کا معقول معاوضۃ ہے جو پیداواری کاموں یعنی صنعت یا تجارت میں لگانے کیلئے لیا گیا ہے " ۔ (ص ۳)

" جہاں تک قرآن ، حدیث اور عربی زبان کے استعمال کا تعلق ھے ، سبسے معلوم ھوتا ھے کہ نبی کریم صلی اللہ علیہ وسلم کے عہد مبارک میں عربوں کے ڈھن میں اس طرح کا کوئی فرق دونوں طرح کے قرضوں میں قرض لینے کے مقامد کے اعتبار سے نھیں تھا ۔ قرض لیے ھوئے سرمایہ پر اضافہ ، جس (اضافے) کا کوئی بدل موجود نہ ھو ، عربی زبان میں " ربا " کہلاتا ھے خواہ وہ کسی مقصد اور عرض کیلئے لیا گیا ھو ۔" (ص ۵)

فاضل مصنف نے قرآن و حدیث میں لفظ " رہا " کی لغوی اور شرعی حیثیت پر گفتگو کرتے ہوئے لکھا ہے کہ :

" اوپردیئے گئے حوالوں سے یہ بات صاف ظاہر ہوتی ہے کة قرآن ، حدیث ، کتب فقة ، اور عربوں کا عرف و رواج سب کے نزدیک اس اضافے کا نام جو اصل رقم پر اس کے انتظار اور استعمال کے معاوضة کے بطور لیا دیا جاتا تھا " رہا " تھا ۔ اس سے کوئی مطلب نہ تھا کہ قرض کی نوعیت کیا ھے ، اور قرض لینے کی غرض کیا ھے ؟ وہ قرآن ھو ، یا حدیث ، یا عربوں کے رسم و رواج ، سبکو صرف اس" اضافے " سے سروکار هے ، اسکانام "یوژری" رکھ لیجئے یا اسے "انٹرسٹ" کہة لیجئے اس سے کوئی فرق نھیں پڑتا ۔ قرش پید او اری ھو یا صرفی ، قرض لینے کا مقصد داتی اور صرفی حاجات کی تکمیل هو یا کاروبار چلانا ، هر صورت میں اضافے کو " رہا " کہا جائے گا جو قرض کی رقم کے استعمال کے عوض لی جائے _ لیکن " یوژری " اور " انٹرسٹ" کا مذکورہ فرق موجود نہ ھونے کا مطلب هرگز یه نهین که عرب پید او اری اغر اض کیلئے قرض نه لیتے تھے ، جیسا کے آئندہ اوراق سے معلوم ھوگا ۔ اس سے مقصد صرفیۃ ظاہر کرنا ھے کہ " رہا " کے مفہوم کی تعیین میں " مقصد استقراض " ایک قطعی غیرموثر عامل هے ۔ " (9 · A · L 0)

" چنانچة ية ايک حقيقت هي که " وقت کي تحديد اور سود کي ادائيگي کي پيشگي شرطوں پر مشتمل لين دين اور هر قسم کي سفي کا کاروبار مکه کي انتہائي ترقي يافته تجارتي تنظيم کا بنيادي عنصر تھا " - ربوي کاروبار بھي ان کي نزديک ايک تجارتي کاروبار تھا اور ربا بيغ و شريٰ کي طرح مبادلي کا ايک دريعة تھا - قريش ني اس سودي کاروبار کو بہت اونچي معيار تک ترقي دي تھي ، وقصرف اپنے قبيلے والوں کو ھي نھيں حجاز کے دوسرے شہروں کے باشندوں کو بھي سودي قرضے ديتے تھے - سود کي حرمت سے پہلے حضرت عباس ابن عبد قرضے ديتے تھے - سود کي حرمت سے پہلے حضرت عباس ابن عبد المطلب رضي اللة عنة اور خالد بن وليد رضي اللة عنة نے باهم مشترکة سرمائے سے ايک کمپني سي قائم کر رکھي تھي جي کا خاص کاروبار سود پر روپية چلانا تھا ـ ان حضرات کا کاروبار مکے تک محدود نة تھا ، طائف کے باشندوں کـو

کو جو قبیلہ بنو عوف کی ایک شاخ تھے ۔ حضرت عثمان بھی ان مالدار تاجروں میں سے تھے جو زبردست پیمانے پر سودی کاروبار کرتے تھے ۔ بدر کے تجارتی کارواں کے منتظمین خصوصی وہ لکھ پتی تھے جنھوں نے کارواں میں ھزاروں دینار تجارت میں لگانے کے علاوہ اپناسرمایہ مختلف سودی کاروبار میں پھیلا رکھاتھا ۔"

فاصل مصنف نے عرب اور دوسرے ممالک میں سود کے نظام کا نہایت

تغصیل سے تاریخی جائزہ لیتے ہوئے لکھا ہے کہ :

" مذکورہ بالا حقائق کے پیش نظر اس دعوے کا کلی بطلان ہو جاتا ھے کہ عہد نبوی کے عرب تجارتی اور پیدا آور قرضوں سے واقف نہ تھے اور نہ بہاں ایسے قرضوں کارواج تھا اسلئے رہا کے لفظ کا اطلاق صرف مرفی نوعیت کے قرضوں تک محدود رہنا چاھیے اور ساتھ ھی ان اور اق کے شروع میں پیش کیے ہوئے عربوں کے کاروباری رسم و رواج اور سودی لین دین کے بارے میں پیش کردہ مواد کو سامنے رکھکر یہ شابت ہوجاتا ہے کہ عرب زمانہ قدیم سے تجارتی اور پیدا آور قرضوں سے نہ صرف واقف تھے بلکہ یہ قرضے اور ان پرمشروط اضافوں کا مطالبہ اور ادائیگی انکے نظم معیشت کا ایک بنیادی پتھرتھی لھدا " رہا " کے لفظ کا اطلاق صرفی اور پیدا آور دونوں نوعیتوں کے قرضوں پر ہوگا اور حقیقت رہا کے تعین میں مقصد استقراض کو غیر متعلق ہوگا اور لفو قرار دیاجائے گا ۔ "(۱)(م ۱۲۳٬۹۳۳)

یہاں اس طرف اشارہ کرنا دلچسپی سے خالی نہ ہوگا کہ یہود جن کے بارے میں قرآن محید میں کہا گیا ھے " واکلہم الربا وقد نہواعنہ" ان کی مذھبی کتب مثناہ اور تالمود وغیرہ بھی انٹرسٹ اور یوژری میں باھم کوئی فرق نھیں کرتی اور اسطرح مقصد استقراض کو لئو قرار دیتی ھیں ۔ اس حقیقت اور یہود کے حق میں مذکورہ بالا آیت سے محموعی طور ہر یہی نتیجہ نکلتا ھے کہ " ربا " کا لفظ پیداواری اور غیر پیداواری دونوں قسم کے قرضوں پر اضافے کو شامل ھے ، دیکھئے

Encylopaedia of Religion and Ethics, (ED.)

James Hastings, Newyork, 1954, Articles on

Usury (Hebrew) and Usury (Jewish).

- 112. All the above quotations provided ample evidence that loans on interest or on <u>mudarabah</u> for commercial purposes were in practice among the Arabs at the time of revelation of the Holy Qur'an and when the Holy Qur'an prohibited interest, it applied to the interest on commercial loans for productive purposes as well as to the interest on consumptional loans.
- 113. In this respect it will be advantageous to quote a well-known Egyptian Alim Abu Zahra (d.1974 A.D). He writes:

"وان فسرت كلمة الربا بان المراد بها ربا الجاهلية وفلا دليل مطلقا على ان ربا الجاهلية كان للاستهلاك، ولم يكن للاستغلال بل القرض الذى يجد الباحث ستند اله من التاريخ هو ان القصد كان للاستغلال وفان احوال العرب ومكان مكة، واتجار قريش كل ذالك يستد هذا القرض، وهو ان القسرض كان للاستغلال ولم يكن للاستهلاك،

(For translation of the above quotation, see para-107).

" یہ بات کسی کتاب میں اس صراحت کے ساتھ تو نہیں لکھیگئی ھے
*
کہ عـربجـــا ھلیـت میـــ " تجـارتــی ســود"رائجتھا، لیکن اس امرکادکر

^{*} مسولانیا رحیمه اللیه کیو یہاں مقالطہ هوا هیے۔ تجارتیں سود کیی اصطلاح میروچ نے هو، لیکن کتب شاریخ و سیر میں تجارتکی تحرق سیے قبرق لینا اور اس پیر سود دینیے کی متعدد شهادتیں میوجود هیں ، جیسا کہ خبود میولانیا کی کتاب سیے واقیعے هیے ۔ ہمادتیں میںے دیں ، جیسا کہ خبود میولانیا کی کتاب سیے

فرور ملتا هے که مدینة کے زراعت پیشة لوگ یہودی سرمایة داروں سے سود پر قرض لیا کرتے تھے اور خود یہودیوں میں باہم بھی سودی لین دین ہوتا تھا، نیز قریش کے لوگ جو زیادہ تر تجارت پیشہ تھے سود پر قرض لیتے دیتے تھے ۔ قرض کی فرورت لازمًا صرف البار آدمیوں ہی کو اپنی داتی فروریات پوری کرنے کیلئے پیش نہیں آتی ، بلکۂ زراعت پیشہ افراد کو اپنے زرعی کاموں کیلئے اور سوداگر لوگوں کو اپنے کاروبار کیلئے بھی پیش آتی " ھے اور یہ آج کوئی نئی صو رت نہیں ہے بلکہ قدیم زمانے سے چلی آرہی ھے۔ اسی چیز نے رفتہ رفتہ ترقی کرکے وہ شکل انحتیار کی ھے جو زمانہ جدید میں پائی جاتی ھے۔ قدیم صورت زیادہ تر انفرادی لین دین تک محدود تھی، جدید صورت میں فرق صرف یہ ہوگیاکہ بڑے پیدانے پر قرض سے سرمایہ اکٹھا کرنے اور اسے کاروبار میں لگانے کا طریقہ رائج ہوگیا۔

مولانا مودودی و نیج اپنی کتاب معاشیات اسلام ، اسلامک پبلیکیشنز لاهور کے صفحة ۲۳۵ پر سود کی علت تحریم کے عنوان کے تحت لکھتے ھیں کہ " و کم الکوسائٹی میں دولت کی آزادانہ گردش کو روکتا ھے، بلکہ دولت کی گردشکارخ الٹ کر ناداروں سے مال داروں کی طرف پھیردیتاھے۔ اس کی وجہ سے جمہور کی دولت سمٹ کر ایک طبقہ کے پاس اکٹھی ھوتی چلی جاتی ھے، اور یہ چیز آخر کارپوری سوسائٹی کے لئے بربادی کی موجب ھوتی ھے، جیساکہ معاشیات میں بصیرت رکھنے والوں سے پوشیدہ نہیں "

یبی بات مولانا محمد شفیع رحمة الله علیه اپنی کتاب "مسئلة سـو د "
ادارة المعارف، کراچی صفحة ۲۹ پر لکھتے ھیں که شود کے سارے کاروبار اور اس کی
حقیقت پر ؤرا بھی نحور کیا جائے تو معلوم ھوگا که سودی کاروبار کا لازمی نتیجة عام
ملت کی نحربت و افلاس اور چند سرمایة داروں کے سرمایة میں ناقابل قیاس اضافة ھے
اور یہی معاشی بے اعتدالی پورے ملک کی تباھی کا سببنتی ھے اسی لئے اسلام نے
اس پر قدنمن لگایاھے _ *

115. Mawlana Mawdudi further writes about the function of a modern

Bank as under:-

اسجدید تنظیم کا طریقة مختصر الفاظ میں یہ هے که چند صاحب سرصایه لوگ مل کر ایک ادارہ ساهو کاری قائم کرتے هیں جس کا نام بینک هے۔ اس ادارے میں دو طرح کا سرصایة استعمال هوتاهے۔ ایک حصة داروں کا سرصایة جس سے کام کی ابتداء کی جاتی هے ، دوسرا اصانت داروں یا کھاته داروں کا سرمصایة جو بینک کا کام اور نام پڑھنے کے ساتھ زیادہ تعداد میں ملتا جاتا هے اور اسی کی بدولت بینک کے اثر اور اسکی طاقت میں اضافة هوتا چلا جاتاهے۔ ایک بینک

کی کامیابی کا اصل معیار یہ ھے کہ اس کے پاس اس کا اپنا ذاتی سرمایہ (یعنی حصة داروں کا لگایا ھوا سرمایا) کم سے کم ھو اور لوگوں کی رکھوائی ھوئی رقمیں زیادہ سے زیادہ ھوں ۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰ ۔

" بینک اپناساراکام تو چلاتا هے اصانتداروں کے روپے سے ، جن کا دیا هوا
سرمایة بینک کے مجموعی سرمائے میں ، ۹ – ۵۹ فیصد تک هوتا هے ۔ لیکن اس کے
نظم و نسق اور اسکی پالیسی میں ان کا کوئی دخل نھیں ہوتا ۔یة چیز بالکل ان
حمة داروں کے هاتھ میں ہوتی هے جو بینک کے مالک ہوتے هیں اور جنکا سرمایة مجموعی
سرمائے کا صرف دو تین یا چار پانچ فیصدی ہوا کرتا هے ۔ امانت داروں کا کام صرف
یة هے که اپنا روپیة بینک کے حوالے کریں اور اس سے ایک خاص شرح کے مطابق سود
لیتے رهیں ، رهی یه بات که بینک اس روپے کو استعمال کسطرح کرتا هے اس معاملة میں
وہ کچھ نھیں بول سکتے ۔ اسکا تعلق صرف حمدداروں سے هے وهی منتظمین کا انتخاب
کرتے هیں وهی پالیسی کا تعین کرتے هیں وهی نظم ونسق اور حساب کتاب کی نگرانی ،
کرتے هیں اور انہی کے منشاء پراس امر کافیصلة منحص هوتا هے که سرمایة کدھر جائے
کرتے هیں اور انہی کے منشاء پراس امر کافیصلة منحص هوتا هے که سرمایة کدھر جائے
حمة داروں کا اثر بینک کے نظام میں برائے نام ہوتا هے ، درامل چند پڑے اور بھاری
حمة داروں کا اثر بینک کی اس جھیل پر قابغی ہوتے هیں اور وهی اس پر تصرف کرتے

" بینکا اگرچه بہت چھوٹے بڑے کام کرتا ھے جن میں سے بعض یقیناً مفید ، خروری اور جائز بھی ھیں ، لیکن اس کا اصل کام سرمائے کوسود پر چلانا ھوتا ھے تجارتی بینک ھو یا صنعتی یا زراعتی یا کسی اور نوعیت کا ، بہرحال وہ خود کوئی تجارت یا صنعت یا زراعت نھیں کرتا بلکہ کاروباری لوگوں کوسرمایہ دیتا ھے اور ان سے سود وصول کرتا ھے ۔ اسکے منافع کا اصلی اور سبسے بڑا دریعہ یہ ھوتا ھے کہ امانت داروں سے کم شرح سود پر سرمایہ حاصل کرے اور کاروباری لوگوں کو زیادہ شرح پر قرض دے ۔ اس طریقے سے جو آمدنی ھوتی ھے وہ حصہ داروں میں اسی طرح تقسیم ھو جاتی ھے جس طرح تمام تجارتی اداروں کی آمدنیاں ان کے حصہ داروں میں مناسب طریقہ سے تقسیم ھوا کوتی ھیں"۔ "دیکھئے"سود" مولانامودودی ،

116. It is thus obvious that a bank performs the same function as that of the Jews and Arab tribes in pre-Islamic period who got capital on interest from the people and supplied loans on interest to the

individuals as well as merchants. It is thus beyond any doubt that Bank interest comes under the category of <u>riba</u> prohibited by the Holy Qur'an and Sunnah of the Holy Prophet(PBUH). Moreover, the prohibition of interest as laid down in the Holy Qur'an and Sunnah of the Holy Prophet is general in its extent and application irrespective whether it is given or taken by the Bank or any other institution or an individual.

It is an accepted principle of interpretation as also recognized 117. in the modern jurisprudence that if a provision of statute makes some exception, only that exception is to be taken into consideration while interpreting the main provision of law. In the case of there being no exception the provision of law will be read absolute in terms and without any exception. It is also a recognized rule of modern jurisprudence that in a provision of law if an exception is made it is to be made by the same authority who is competent to make law who shall so provide in the existing provision of law. In the instant case, the Verses of the Holy Qur'an prohibiting riba, taken as a whole, and particularly the last ones which declare the interest as prohibited are absolute in term. This prohibition has been clarified by the several Ahadith of the Holy Prophet (صلى الله علية وسلم). If someones claims that the provision of riba'is restricted to a particular class of loan or to particular class of institutions that can only be provided by the law-maker himself, the Almighty Allah and His Holy Prophet Muhammad (صلى الله علية وسلم). We cannot read into the provisions of the Holy Qur'an and the Sunnah of the Holy Prophet anything while interpreting riba, which is extraneous and repugnant to the very spirit of the provision of law itself.

118. Let us now refer to the answer to Question No.1 given by Dr.S.M.

Hasanuz Zaman, Chief of Islamic Banking Division, State Bank of Pakistan,

Karachi. He writes as to the definition of Riba -

"The inferred meaning (isharat al-nass) of the Quranic verse "And if ye repent then ye have your principal" (2:279) is suggestive of the Quranic definition of interest. This implies that anything chargeable in addition to principal amount comes in purview of prohibited <u>riba</u> which is the Arabic word for interest."

The Holy Prophet was more concerned with plugging the loopholes towards charging interest and eliminating inequities appearing in barter transactions. Thus whatever he disallowed as interest explained the scope of application of Quranic interest rather than suggesting any new definition. The well known Al-Suyuti Al-Jami al-Saghair) كل قسرض جسر منفعسة فعوربا Cairo Vol.II, p.94, made by Hasan Basri on the authority of Hadrat Ali and understood by some commentators as Hadith has generally been treated to be the standard definition of interest that provides us with a touchstone of determining the nature of a transaction of debt or credit. The definition as inferred from the Quranic verse and the direct definition as given in the reported Hadith cover simple increase on loan. The Qur'anic ban on simple interest followed a ban on exorbitant or compound interest in the verse (3:130). This policy is inspired by the mode of prohibition in the Qur'an that warns فاذنوا بحسرب من الله ورسسولسه (2:279). The question if the ban on interest as imposed by the Qur'an and detailed out in the Hadith would be equally applicable in the present day financial transactions would be decided on the basis of the well known fight rule which provides Thus the absolute). Thus the absolute that (prohibition as pointed out above would not be relaxed unless it is done so in the Qur'an or by the Holy Prophet himself.....because the rule is that . (Al-Mujallah, Article 64) "المطلق يجرى على اطلاقه ما لم يكن دليل التقليم نصبا او دلالة"

We may, now, refer to, on the question of prohibition of

Riba both for consumption and productive loans, the "Report of the

Council of Islamic Ideology on the Elimination of Interest from the

Economy", Islamabad, 1980, then headed by one of us (Dr.Tanzil-ur-Rahman),

as a consensus of Pakistani scholars in fiqh, economics and banking(المحاع).

The relevant paragraphs read as under:-

"The Holy Qur'an explicitly and emphatically prohibits <u>riba</u>. There is complete unanimity among all schools of thought in Islam that the term <u>riba</u> stands for interest in all its types and forms. The phraseology of the verses in which people are instructed to shun interest and the severity of the admonition administered to those who do not abide by the divine injunction in this regard leave no doubt in one's mind that the institution of <u>riba</u> is wholly repugnant to the spirit of Islam." (page 7).

"The rationale for prohibition of charging of interest on loans taken for consumption purposes is obvious. Such loans are usually taken by people of small means to meet urgent personal requirements as they have hardly any cushion of savings with which to meet such requirements. Prohibition of interest in so far as loans of this type are concerned rests mainly on humane consideration. The main rationale for prohibition of interest in the case of loans for production purposes stems from the concept of justice between man and man which is the cornerstone of the Islamic philosophy of social life. Uncertainty is inherent in a business enterprise irrespective of the time and space dimensions. The opening results of the enterprise cannot be foreseen and the occurrence

of profit or loss and their magnitudes cannot be fully determined in advance. It is, therefore, a sheer injustice if the party providing money capital is guaranteed a fixed and predetermined return while the party providing enterprise is made to bear the uncertainty all alone. On the other hand, a fixed interest rate can also be unjust to the lender of money in case the entrepreneur using this money earns a profit quite out of proportion to what he pays by way of interest."

(Report of the Council of Islamic Ideology on the Elimination of Interest from the Economy, Government of Pakistan, Islamabad. (page 8).

The Second Seminar on figh, under the auspices of Islamic Figh Academy of India (مجمع الفقة الاسلامي الهند), was held at new Dehli, during December, 8-11, 1989. This Seminar was attended by the 'Ulama from all over India, besides two Ulama from Pakistan and Nepal. In this Seminar, an item on the agenda relating to "Commercial Interest and Islamic Shari'ah" was discussed and after deliberations the following resolution was passed:-

"سود کے سلسلے میں بحث ومباحثة اور نمور وفکر کے بعد اس ایوان کی متفقة رائے حسب دیل قائم هوئی _

سود خواة داتی مصارف کیے قرضوں پر لیادیا جائےیاتجارتی و کارو باری قرضوں پر ، شریعت اسلامیة کی نظر میں بہر حال حرام هے۔ یةسمجھنا کجة سودکی حرمت کا اطلاق تجارتی وکاروباری قر ضوں پر نہیں هوتا قطعا محلط هے دنیڑ یة خیال کة تجارتی و کاروباری قرضصوں کا وجود زمانة نزول

قرآن میں نھیں بایا جاتا اسلئے حرمت ربوا کا اطلاق ان پر نھیں ھو گا ،
کسی طرح درست نھیں ۔ یہ بات تاریخی طور پر ثابت ھے کہ تجارتی و کاروباری
مقاصد کیلئے سودی لین دین صرف جاھلیت نیز ان قوموں میں جن سے جاھلی
عربوں کے تجارتی روابط تھے رائج اور شائع تھے ۔ چنانچہ تجارتی وکاروباری
مقاصد کیلئے سودی لین دین تحریم ربوا کا اولین مورد ھے ۔ اسکے علاوہ بالفرض
اگر تجارتی و کاروباری مقاصد کیلئے سودی لین دین کا وجود زمانۂ نزول قرآن
میں نہ بھی پایا جاتا تب بھی مستقل شرعی دلائل دونوں قسم کے قرضوں(داتی و
شخصی اور تجارتی و کاروباری) پر اضافے یعنی سود کی حرمت کے بارے میں قائم
ھیں ۔ قرآن و سنت ، اجماع و قیاس اور امت محمدیۃ کا عمل متوارث سب بہی
بناتے ھیں کہ حرمت ربوا کے بارے میں اس کا کوئی اعتبار نھیں کیا جا سکتا

سود کی حرمت پر اس کا بھی کوئی اثر نھیں پڑتا کہ شرح سود کم ھے
یا زیادہ، مناسب حدتک کم ھے یا مناسب حد تک زیادہ ، شریعت اسلامیہ میں اس
بات کو تسلیم کرنے کی کوئی گنجائش نھیں ھے کہ شرع سود اگر مناسب حد تک
کم ھے تو سودی لین دین جائز ھو اور اگر نامناسب حد تک زیادہ ھے تو ناجائز۔
دونوں صورتوں میں کوئی فرق نھیں کیاجاسکتا، دونوں صورتیں بہرحال حبرام
ھیں ۔ دلائل شرعیہ اس طرح کی کسی ٹفریق کی اجازت نھیں دیتے ۔ "(سدماھی
" بحث ونظر " پھلواری شریف پٹنہ ،جلد ۲،شمارہ ۸،جنوری تامارج ، ۱۹۹۰، س۱۲۵.

Now, it needs to mention that the Islamic Figh Academy,

constituted in 1983, under the auspices of the Organization of Islamic Countries (OIC) represented by all its member-countries in its Second Session held at Jeddah during December 22-28, 1985, which was also attended by one of us (Dr.Tanzil-ur-Rahman) as an expert, on special invitation by the Academy) has declared Bank interest as Riba prohibited in the Holy Qur'an. The resolution is reproduced as under:-

بشان

حكم التعامل المصر في بالفوائد وحكم التعامل بالمصارف الاسلاميـــة

اما بعد :

فان مجلس مجمع الفقة الاسلامي المنبئق عن منظمة الموئتمر

الاسلامى فى دورة انعقاد فان مجلس الثانى بجدة من ١٠ ـ ١٦ ربيع الثانى ١٠هـ، الموافق ٢٢ ـ ٢٨ ديسمبر ١٩٨٥ م .

بعد ان عرضت علية بحوث مختلفة فى التعامل المصر فى المعاصر، و بعد التامل فيما قدم و مناقشتة مركزة ابرزت الاثار السيئة لهذا التعامل على النظام الاقتصادى العالمى ، و على استقرارة خاصة فى دول العلم الثاك،

وبعد التعامل فيما جرة هُثَا النظام من خراب نتيجة اعراضة عما جاء فى كتاب الله من تحريم الربا جزئيا و كلياً تحريماً و اضحاً بدعوتة الى التوبة منة ، الى الاقتصار على استعادة رووس اموال القروض دون زيادة ولا نقصان قل او كثر ، وما جاء من تهديد بحرب مدمرة من اللة و رسولة للمرابين .

قرر :

۱ – ان كل زيادة او فائدة على الدين الذي حل اجلة و عجز المدين
 عن الوفاع بة مقابل تاجيلة ، و كذالك الزيادة (او الفائدة) على
 القرض منذ بداية العقد : ها تان الصور تان ربا محرم شرعا .

٢ - ان البديل الذي يضمن السيولة المالية والمساعدة على النشاط الاقتصادي حسب الصورة التي يرتضيها الاسلام . هو التعامل وفقا للاحكام الشرعية .

٣ - قرر المجمع افتاكيد على دعوة الحكومات الاسلامية الى تشجيع المصارف التى تعمل بمقتفى الشريعة الاسلامية ، والتمكين لاقامتها فى كل بلد اسلامى لتغطى حاجة المسلمين كيلا يعيش المسلم فى تناقض بين واقعة و مقتضيات عقيدتة .

RESOLUTION No.3.

CONCERNING

- 123. The order of modern banks and the order to adopt Islamic principles therein.
- 124. The Academy having noticed different discussions on modern

 Banks and keeping in view their bad effects on the international

 economic system particularly the countries of third world, and that this

System has developed interest which has been expressly banned by the Holy Qur'an whether wholly or partially with clear instructions to be given up and to return the principal amount in loans without any increase or decrease whether more or less, and to regard the declaration of war against those who take or give interest;

RESOLVES

- 1. Any excess or profit on a loan for a deferred payment when the borrower is unable to repay it after the fixed period and similarly any excess or profit on a loan at the time of contract, both are <u>riba</u> forbidden in Shari'ah
- 2. The alternate banks should be established according to the injunctions of Islam to provide economic facilities.
- The Academy resolved to request all the Islamic countries to 3. establish banks based on Shari'ah principles to fulfil all the requirements of a Muslim according to his beliefs so that he may not face any repugnancy. After the Holy Qur'an and Sunnah of the Holy Prophet (صلى الله علية وسلم)which are the two primary sources of Shari'ah, Al-Ijma' (الاجمساع) the Consensus, is the third source of Shari'ah, though secondary in nature. By the abovesaid Resolutions, we have just seen the consensus of the opinions of the jurists, economists and bankers of Pakistan represented through the Reports of the Panel of Experts and the Members of the Council of Islamic Ideology in 1978, which was discussed and finally adopted in its Session of the 15th of June, 1980, with certain additions and alterations by the Council of Islamic Ideology (then headed by one of us (Dr. Tanzil-ur-Rahman). The Council is a Constitutional body set up under Article 228 of the Constitution of Pakistan, 1973 to advise the Government on Islamic matters and whose Reports are to be laid annually before the

National Assembly under Article 230(4) of the Constitution and laws are to be enacted accordingly.

- 126. All the jurists, ulama, economists and bankers of Pakistan, who appeared in this Court or sent their written opinions on the subject are unanimous that the Bank's interest comes within the definition of riba and is forbidden by the Holy Qur'an and Sunnah of the Holy Prophet

 (صلى اللة علية وسلم).
- 127. We have also seen the consensus of the opinions of the 'Ulama' of India, as per unanimous Resolution passed at the Second Seminar of the Islamic Fiqh Academy of India (مجمع الفقة الاسلامي الهند). Refer quarterly journal ' بحث ونظر ' Phulwari Sharif Patna, Vol.II, issue No.8, January-March, 1990, pages 12 and 13.
- the entire Muslim 'Ummah' represented through Islamic Fiqh Academy set up under the asupices of the Organization of Islamic Countries, wherein some 43 Member Muslim Countries are represented. They have given their unanimous verdict on the point at issue. Thus, there is an 'Ijma' consensus of the Ummah. The question, therefore, stands fore-closed.
- 129. In view of the above discussion, we are of the firm view that the interest charged on loans and given on deposits by the Banks falls within the definition of Riba and that it makes no difference whether the loan is taken for consumptional purpose or for productive purpose, i.e., for trade, commerce and industry.

has not been defined either in Qur'an or Hadith and it would, therefore, fall within the area of "Mutashabahat". The learned counsel seems to be impressed by the last two paragraphs of the paper "Silent Consensus on Permissibility of Bank Interest". The learned writer of the paper, with due respect, is probably unaware of the actual connotation that the word "Mutashabahat" carries. Therefore, it seems necessary to interpret and give the real meaning of the word "Mutashabahat" in the light of the HolyQur'an and Sunnah. This word has been used in Verse No.7 of Surah Al-Imran (III:7) and it is reproduced as under:

" هوالذى انزل عليك الكتاب منه ايات محكمات هن ام الكتاب واخر متشابهات فاما الذين في قلوبهم زيخ فيتبعون ما تشاب منه ابتغاا الفتنة وابتغا " تاويله وما يعلم تاويله الاالله والراخون في العلم يقولون امنا به كل من عند ربنا وما يذكر الا اولوا الالباب . (آل عمران: ۲)

"He it is Who has sent down
To thee the Book;
In it are verses
Basic or fundamental
(Of established meaning);
They are the foundation
Of the Book; others
Are allegorical. But those
In whose hearts is perversity follow
The part thereof that is allegorical,
Seeking discord, and searching
For its hidden meanings,

But no one knows

Its hidden meaning except Allah.

And those who are firmly grounded

In knowledge say: "We believe

In the Book; the whole of it

Is from our Lord: "and none

Will grasp the Message

Except men of understanding."

"This verse refers to categorical orders of the Shari'ah (or the law), which are of established meaning, (muhkam) plain to everyone's understanding which include the very foundation on which the whole edifice of Law rests, as distinguished from the various illustrative allegories....

The commandments and prohibitions (Awamir wa Nawahi) based on nusus (clear texts) of the Holy Qur'an and Sunnah are eternally binding. Such provisions of Holy Qur'an and Sunnah read as together, are self-contained in their very nature. They are to be obeyed and acted upon in all ages and by all Muslims. These nusus of the Holy Qur'an and Sunnah are immutable and in no circumstance are changeable."

(Essays on Islam, by Justice Dr. Tanzil-ur-Rahman, published by Islamic Publications (Pvt)Ltd., Lahore, p.389-90).

131. The word "Mutashabahat" (متشابطت) as used here is derived from its literal root 'Shibh' (شبع), which means "resemblance". It refers to the verses of the Holy Qur'an that are figurative, metaphorical or allegorical as distinguished from verses that are basic, fundamental and very explicit in their meaning. The Commentators of the Holy

Qur'an have elaborately discussed the two categories and have referred to both of them as mentioned in the Holy Qur'an from all angles i.e. purely from linguistic point of view as well as the meanings they stand Since the Holy Qur'an is a book of guidance, all its Injunctions relating to the permission or prohibition of certain acts are crystal clear and are considered as verses of the first category i.e. "Muhkamat" (محكمت) while those concerning the Attributes of Allah, the nature of Hell, Heaven etc. are considered as 'Mutashabahat' (متشابطت). In other words the verses relating to Ahkam (احكام) are 'Muhkamat' (محكلت) and they have been made clear in their meaning, with no doubt whatsoever, by the Holy Qur'an and Sunnah of the Holy Prophet (صلى الله عليه وسلم) who implemented them at the individual and collective level of the Muslim Ummah as that was the mission assigned to him by Allah. Other Verses that mostly relate to the metaphysical or supernatural matters or things beyond the normal perception of the human beings are categorized as 'Mutashabahat'. They have been described in words nearest in sense to human understanding, however, their exact comprehension in the true sense is not possible for human mind in this world.

132. Allama Jalaluddin Suyuti in his well-known book 'Al-Itiqan fi

Ulum al Qur'an' (الاتقان في علوم القسر آن) while discussing the various

categories of the Verses of the Qur'an has written a separate chapter

on 'Muhkam and Mutashabah' (محكم اور متشابه). The relevant part

of its translation in Urdu published by Noor Muhammad - Assah al-Matabi'
wa Karkhana Tijarat Kutub Aaram Bagh Karachi, vol.ii, p.1, is reproduced
below :-

قال الله تعالی"هوالدی انزل علیک الکتاب منه ایت محکمات هنی ام الکتاب الله تعالی"هوالدی انزل علیک الکتاب منه این معکمات هنی ام الکتاب فاغرمتشابهات (اسی نے تجھ پر کتاب نازل کی ۔ اس میں بغض ۔" آئتیں پکی هیں اور وهی کتاب کی جڑ هیں اور دوسری مختلف المعانیهیں ۔" رح رح دیا اس مسئله میں تین قول ذکر کئے گئے هیں۔اور وہ حسدیل هیں:۔

(١)قولة تعالى" كتاب احكمت اياتة " كع لحاظ سع تمام قرآن محكمهم (٢) قولة تعالم "كتابامنتشابهامثاني" كر مفهوم كو پيش نظرركهتر هوئر سارا قرآن متشابه هے اور (٣) صحیح قول یه هے که اس آیت کے بموجب قرآن کی تقسیم محکم اور متشابه ان دو قسموں کی طرف کی جاتی ھے۔ پہلے اور دوسرے دونوں قولوں میں جن آیتوں سے استدلال کیاگیاھے ان کا جوابیة دیاجاسکتا هرکة پہلی آیت میں قرآن کا محکم هونا اور اس کا اسطرح سے استوار هونا مراد هر که اس میں کوئی خرابی اور اختلاف راه نہیں باتا اور دوسری آیت میں قرآن کے متشابہ کہنے کا یہ مدعا هے کہ قرآن(کی آئتیں) حق وصداقت اور اعجازمین باهم ایک دوسرے کے متشابة هیں" بعض علماء کا قول هے " مذكورة بالا آيت اسبات پردلالت نهيركرتي كة قرآن كا حمرانهي دوچيزون میں ہوگیا ھے اس لئے کہ اس میں کوئی طریقہ حصر کو شاہت کرنے کا نہیں پایا جاتا ـ الله تعالی فرماتاهر"لتبین للناس مانزل الیهم "اور اس آیت کے مفہوم پر غور کر کے جبیة دیکھا جاتاھے کة محکم کی شناخت بیان پر موقوف نہیں رہتی اور متشابہ کا بیان ہی ایک خلاف توقع امر ہے تو پھر یہ تقسیم اور بھی ناقابل تسلیم ھوجاتی ھے"

محکم اور متشابہ کی تعیین کے متعلق مختلف قول آئے هیں :۔

اول یه که جس امر کی مراد صافطور پر یا تاویل کیے دریعة سے معلوم هو جائے، وة محکم هے اور جس چیز کا علم اللة تعالیے نے اپنے هی لئے خاص کیاهے جیسے قیامت کا قائم هونا اور دجال کا خروج اور سورتوں کے اوائل کے حروف مقطعة یة سب متشابة هیں۔ دوم یة کة جس چیز کے معنی واقح اور کھلے هیں وة محکم هے اور جو اس کے برعکس هے وة متشابة هے،سوم یه کة جس امر کی ایک هی وجة پر تاویل هوسکے وة محکم هے اور جس کی تاویل کئی وجوة کا احتمال رکھتی هو وة متشابة هے ۔ چہارم یة کة جس بات کے معنی عقل میں آتے هیں (بعنی ان کو عقل قبول کرتی هے)وة محکم هیے اور وزوں حو امر اس کے خلاف هو وة متشابة هے، مثلاً نمنازوں کی تعداد اور روزوں کی تعداد اور روزوں

133. It seems appropriate to refer here to the commentary on the Verse by Abdullah Yusuf Ali who writes in this connection that :-

"This passage gives us an important clue to the interpretation of the Holy Qur'an. Broadly speaking, it may be divided into two portions, not given separately, but intermingled, viz., (1) the nucleus or foundation of the Book, literally "the mother of the Book, and (2) the part which is figurative, metaphorical or allegorical. It is very fascinating to take up the latter, and exercise our ingenuity about its inner meaning, but it refers to such profound spiritual matters that human language is inadequate to it, and though people of wisdom may get some light from it, no one should be dogmatic, as the final meaning is known to God alone. The Commentators usually understand the verses of established meaning" (muhkam) to refer to the categorical orders of the Shari'at (or the Law), which are plain to

everyone's understanding. But perhaps the meaning is wider: the "mother of the Book" must include the very foundation on which all Law rests, the essence of God's Message as distinguished from the various illustrative parables, allegories, and ordinances.

If we refer to xi. 1 and xxxix. 23, we shall find that in a sense the whole of the Qur'an has both "establish meaning" and allegorical meaning. The division is not between the verses, but between the meaning to be attached to them. Each verse is but a Sign or Symbol: what it represents is something immediately applicable, and something eternal and independent of time and space, --- the "Forms of Ideas" in Plato's Philosophy. The wise man will understand that there is an "essence" and an illustrative clothing given to the essence, throughout the Book. We must try to understand it as best we can, but not waste our energies in disputing about matters beyond our depth." (The Holy Qur'an, Text, Translation & Commentary, published by Shaikh Muhammad Ashraf, Lahore, vol.1, page 123).

Sayyid Abul A'la Mawdoodi writes:-

"This implies two important things here:

- (1) Allah knows your nature better than yourself or anybody else: therefore, there is no other alternative for you but to trust in the Guidance sent down by Him.
- (2) The Benevolent Allah Who has been providing for all your needs, great and small, throughout all the stages of your life, ever since your mothers conceived you, could not possibly have neglected to provide for your guidance, which is after all the greatest need of your life.

"Muhkam" is that which is precise, exact, clear and decisive. Muhkamat are those verses of the Qur'an which have been so couched as to make their meaning quite plain without any shade of ambiguity. They have been purposely so worded as to make their meaning definite and precise leaving little room for misinterpretation. These verses constitute the fundamental principles of the Book, i.e., they and they alone determine the aim and object for which the Quran has been sent down. They invite the world to Islam, teach morals and give warnings. They refute wrong beliefs and practices, and lay down the way or right living. They expound the fundamentals of religion and state beliefs and practices, morals and duties, commandments and prohibitions. Therefore a seeker after Truth should turn to these verses as these alone can satisfy his needs. Naturally such a person will concentrate on these verses and endeavour to derive the greatest benefit from them.

"Mutashabihat" are those verses in which there is a possibility of more than one meaning. Their object is to give a certain minimum knowledge about the universe, its beginning and end, the position of man therein, and such other basic things, for these things are essential for the formulation of any system of life. It is obvious that no human language possesses words, expression, idioms etc., to depict clearly those supernatural things, which have never yet been grasped by human senses, nor seen nor heard nor smelt nor touched nor tasted by human beings. That is why such supernatural things have to be described in terms of human life. That is why the Qur'an uses ambiguous verses in human language which are liable to give rise to more than one meaning. Thus it is clear that the main benefit of such verses is that they

help one approach the Reality and form a conception of it. Hence the more one tries to determine their precise meanings, the more one gets involved in doubts and ambiguities. As a result of this, one will not be able to find the Reality but will be led further away from it and cause mischief. Therefore those, who seek after the Truth and do not hanker after superfluities, rest content with the simple idea of Reality they get from the ambiguous verses, which suffices them for an understanding of the Qur'an; they concentrate their whole attention on a fuller comprehension of the Verses which are precise in meaning. On the other hand, those who love superfluities or seek after mischief, spend their time and energies in giving arbitrary interpretations to the ambiguious verses." (The Meaning of The Qur'an, pub. Islamic Publication Limited, Lahore, vol.II p.14-15).

correct to say that <u>riba</u> (interest) prohibited has not been defined in Qur'an and Sunnah and as such it falls within the area of <u>mutashabihat</u>.

Actually <u>riba</u> was well-known to Arabs in pre-Islamic period and they charged it on the loans. According to the commentators of the Holy Qur'an the <u>riba</u> in the pre-Islamic period was that when a loan extended by an Arab matured, he would ask the borrower for the return of the principal or for an increase in return for the postponement. If the borrower was unable to repay the principal when the loan matured, he would be allowed an extension in the time of repayment with the continuation of the <u>riba</u> he has been receiving from the borrower.

According to Imam Fakhruddin al-Razi the Arabs in pre-Islamic period used to give loans on the condition that every month they will receive a stipulated amount over and above the principal amount. When the time of settlement came, the principal amount lent was demanded and if the debtor was unable to pay, the lender increased the amount in his own favour and granted extension of time.

(Tafsir al-Kabir, Volume VII, Tehran edition page 85).

"There is no riba except in the nasiah" (Bukhari, Volume II, page 138, Beirut edition). Riba al-nasia signifies fixing in advance of a positive return on a loan as a reward for time. It is riba al-nasia which has been prohibited in verse 2:275) (Allah has forbidden interest). There is consensus of opinion among the Ummah that riba al-nasia is riba prohibited in Islam as stated by Ibn Abdul Bar in Al-Tamhid. The jurists have defined riba al-nasia as,

" هو القرض المشروط فيه الاجل و زيادة مال على المستقرض،

(Any lending arrangement that obligates the borrower to pay a certain extra amount over and above the principal amount against the specified deferment. "(Al-Jasas, Abu Bakar, Ahkam al-Qur'an Vol:I, page 557), Beruit edition). Thus <u>riba</u> does not fall in the category of <u>mutashabihat</u> in so far as <u>riba al-nasia</u> is conerned. The opinion of an individual scholar or even a group of scholars in

any part of the world shall not affect the consensus of the opinion on this point. Islamic Fiqh Academy, Jeddah which is a representative body of the Muslim world has declared bank interest in all forms and on all accounts as <u>riba</u> prohibited in Islam.

136. It may further be added that as far as the word

"Riba" and its definition is concerned it has been explained both

by the speech (نعلف) of the Holy

Prophet himself, without any room for doubt because the

Injunction was implemented in the practical life and the element

of "Riba" declared unlawful (حسل) haram) and was totally abolished

from the Islamic economic system once for all and this could only

be possible after clarifying its meaning. The Holy Prophet

(صلی الله علی وسل) has said:

" الحلال بين والحرام بين و بينهما مشتبهات لا يعلمها كتير من الناس ٠٠٠ ،

"Both legal and illegal things are evident but in between them there are doubtful (suspicious) things and most of the people have no knowledge about them. So whoever saves himself from these suspicious things saves his religion and his honour. And whoever indulges in these suspicious things is like a shephered who grazes (his animals) near the Hima (private pasture) of someone else

and at any moment he is liable to get in it. (O people)

Beware!Every king has a Hima and Hima of Allah on the
earth is His illegal (forbidden) things. Beware! There
is a piece of flesh in the body if it becomes good
(reformed)the whole body become good but if it gets spoilt
the whole body gets spoilt and that is the heart."

- 137. As it has been explained in the earlier part of the judgment there are two types of Riba: (1) Riba al-Nasiah and (2) Riba al-Fadal. Presently in these petitions we are concerned with Riba al-Nasiah i.e., the interest charged on the money lent or in other words the addition over and above the principal sum advanced on loan. It includes all kinds of interest irrespective of the fact whether the rate stipulated is high or low and whether the interest is or is not added to the principal sum after fixed periods and whether the sum lent is for production or consumption purposes. So far as this kind of Riba is concerned we have not come across any difference of opinion regarding its prohibition. There is no Commentator of the Holy Qur'an, no narrator of Ahadith, and no Jurist of Islamic Figh worth the name who has even expressed or even mentioned any doubt regarding any obscurity or ambiguity in its meaning. The defference of opinion whatever is found is regarding 'Riba al-fadal' and that is out of discussion in the context of Bank interest which is under our consideration.
- 138. Usually it is narrated from Hazrat Umar(رضى الله تعــالىءنة)
 that he said :

"ان آخر مانزلت آیة الربواوان رسول الله طی الله علیه وسلم قبض ولم یفسر هالنا " مشکوة المصابیح عن ابن ماجة ، دارمی)

"The last of what was revealed was the verse of Riba and the Messenger of Allah expired, he did not elaborate it to us."

However, some of the people forget to read further that Hadrat 'Umar رضى الله تعالى عنه') also added thereafter :-

"So give up Riba and the doubt" (Musnad Ahmad, Vol.I, p.36)

Doctor Muhammad Rawwas Qalaji, in his famous book entitled

• موسوعة فقه عصره ' p.423, explaining the above writes:-

"Riba" is derived from original root ' which means doubt and herein is meant everything that creates doubt in the mind about its permissibility. For this reason Hazrat Umar was extremely cautious in connection with "Riba" and he used to say: We have left $\frac{9}{10}$ of the permissible things due to fear of Riba (Refer to Al-Musannaf Abdur Razzaq, Vol.VIII,p.152). One day he stood up for address and said:

The last of the revealed verses is the verse of Riba. The Holy Prophet (صلى الله عليه وسلم) expired before he could elaborate it to us. So leave what creates doubt in your minds and do what is absolutely free from doubt."

The stand taken by Hazrat Umar, in fact, shows that whatever he said was in respect of Riba al-fadal and not Riba al Nasiah. The Holy Prophet (صلى الله عليه وسلم) had explained 'Riba' with regard to

some six defined things (in case of Riba Al Fadal) but with regard to other things the explanation about (, ,) being applicable to them could not be found and that caused slight difference of opinions in respect of Riba Al Fadal in certain other things not mentioned specifically. Some of the Fuqaha kept Riba al fadal restricted while others did not confine it to the six commodities but on their analogy extended the same to other things that contained certain inherent characteristics of the six commodities mentioned in the hadith. But so far as 'Riba Al-Nasiah' is concerned, that was made absolutely clear with no difference whatsoever among the Jurists. It does not appeal to sound reason to even presume that on one hand the Holy Qur'an declares war in such emphatic words and says: "Believers"! Be careful of your duty to Allah, relinquish what remains of Riba, if you are true Believers. But if you do it not, then be informed of war from Allah and His Messenger(2:278)"; and on the other hand may leave the matter of Riba undefined and unexplained.

139. In view of the above the only need of the time for all of us is to firmly believe and instead of resorting to various alibis reconsider our position in the light of the later portion of the same verse reproduced as under:-

"And those who are firmly grounded In knowledge say:
We believe In the Book; the whole of it Is from our Lord":
and none Will grasp the Message Except men of understanding.

"Our Lord!" (they say), "Let not our hearts deviate Now after Thou hast guided us, But grant us mercy From Thine own Presence; For Thou art the Grantor Of bounties without measure." (III:7,8).

- Now we refer to the concept of Maslaha on the basis of which the Advisory Council of the Ulama of East Jawa is stated to have given its verdict in favour of the permissibility of bank interest, mentioned in the Article titled as "Silent Consensus of the Permissibility of Bank Interest", produced by Mr.S.M.Zafar.
- 141. <u>Maslaha</u> is an important principle of Islamic Law. The definition given by Al-Khawarazimi of <u>Al-Maslaha</u> is as follows:-

"المراد بالمصلحة المحافظة على مقصود الشرع بدفع المفاسد عن الخلق"

(Maslaha) means protection of the objective of Shari'ah which is to ward off the evils from the mankind). (Al-Shawkani : Irshadul Fuhul (ارشاد الفحول), page 242).

- Maslahah. The definition given by him was followed by a number of jurists. In the works on usul that are known to us, Ghazali's influence, particularly with reference to Maslahah, is very strong.

 As Ibn-e-Khaldun noticed that Basri's Al-Mu'tamad () and Ghazali's Al-mustasfa () remained a major source of influence for latter writers on usul, until the appreance of Razi's work al-Mahsul.
- 143. Ghazali's definition is as follows:

 "اما المصلحة فهى عبارة فى الاصل عن جلب منفعة اودفع مفرة ولسنا شعبى به ذلك
 فان جلب المنفعة ودفع المفرة مقاصد الخلق وصلاح الخلق فى تحصيل مقاصد هم
 شكنا نعنى بالمصلحة المحافظة على مقصود الشسرع و مقصود الشسرع
 مسن الخلق محمسة و هسو ان يحفظ عليسهم دينهم و نفسهم

و عقالهم و تسلمهم و مالهم فكل مـ المتضمن حدة ودفعه مصلحة.

- expression for seeking something useful (manfa'ah) or removing something harmful (madarrah). But this is not what we mean, because seeking utility and removing harm are the purposes (maqasid) which by the creation is aimed at and the goodness (Salah) of creation consists in realizing their goals (Maqasid). What we mean by maslaha is the preservation of the maqsud (objective) of the law (Shar') which consists of five things: preservation of religion, of life, of reason, of descendents and property. What assures the preservation of the five principles (usul) is maslaha and whatever fails to preserve them is mafsada and its removal is maslaha. (Al-Mustasfa, volume-2, page 286).
- Maslaha as understood by the above definition is then divided into the following three categories. First, the kind of maslaha which has a textual evidence in favour of its consideration. Second is the kind which is denied by textual manifestation. The third is the kind where there is neither a textual evidence in favour, nor in contradiction. The first category is valid and can be the basis of Qiyas. The second is obviously forbidden. It is the third category which needs further consideration. Accordingly, the element of maslaha contained in the third category is further examined from the view point of its strength (quwwa). From this angle there are

three grades of maslaha: darurat, hajat, tahsin or tazin. The preservation of the above mentioned five principles is covered in the grade of darurat. This is the strongest kind of maslaha. The second grade consists of those masalih and munasabat which are not essential in themselves but are necessary to realize in general. The third grade is neither of the above but exists only for the refinements of things.

- 146. Keeping this classification in view only that al-maslaha

 al-mursala will be accepted which has three qualities: darura,

 qat'iyya, kulliyya. Ghazali illustrates the point with an example.
- 147. If unbelievers shield themselves with a group of Muslim captives, to attack this shield means killing innocent Muslims a case which is not supported by textual evidence. If Muslim's attack is withheld, the unbelievers advance and conquer the territory of Islam. In this case it is permissible to argue that if even Muslims do not attack, the lives of the Muslim captives are not safe. The unbelievers, once they conquer the territory, will root out all Muslims. If such is the case, then it is necessary to save the whole of Muslim community rather than to save a part of it. This would be the reasoning which is acceptable, as it refers to the above three qualification. It is daruri because it consists of preserving one of the five principles; i.e. protection of life. It is qat'i because it is definitely known that this way the lives of the Muslim community

will be saved. It is <u>kulli</u>, because it takes into consideration the whole of the community, not a part of it. (Mohammad Khalid Masud: Islamic Legal Philosophy, page 153).

148. Muhammad Sadid Ramdan Al-Buti presented his doctoral dissertation, Dawabital-maslaha fil-Shari'at al-Islamia, at Azhar University in 1965. He discussed the subject of Maslaha at length and established the fact with valuable arguments that Maslaha in Islamic Shari'ah does not mean only utility and pleasure of this world without restrictions and qualifications.

He writes that:

It is an established fact that Maslaha acknowleged and accepted by the Islamic Law is that which should be in total conformity with the fundamental principles and basic concepts of Islami

Shari'ah. (Al-Buti: Dawabit-al-Maslaha, page 121).

The most important principle of Islamic Shari'ah which is always to be kept in mind is that the concept of Maslaha (Weal) is regulated, limited and clarified in such a way that nothing remained obscure or unclear in the concept of Maslaha. Man is created only for the obedience of Allah and his all actions in this life are regulated and streamlined by the revealed Law, so as nothing remained beyond the Holy guidance of Allah and His Prophet(, allah ellah ella

limited and clarified in all the four corners of the concept of Maslaha, and did not leave the concept on the speculations, worldly interest and whims of someone. (<u>Dawabit-al-Maslaha</u>, pages 14-15).

- 150. We can say in the light of principle of Islamic Shari'ah that Maslaha has three most pertinent characteristics, which are as under:
 - i) The concept of Maslaha is not merely related to this world. It must cover the life of mankind, the weal of this world and the weal of life Hereafter because the life of man is well connected with the life Hereafter. The life of this world has very strong relation to the life Hereafter which is a cause and effect relation. The happiness and success of man is not merely the worldly success and happiness. On the other hand, Islam considers such people successful who would be declared successful in the life Hereafter. For this reason the life of this world is only a means to obtain the success and happiness of the life Hereafter. As the Holy Qur'an says:

"واتبع فيما آتاك الله الحدار الاخسرة"
"But seek, with the (wealth) which Allah has
bestowed on thee for the Home of the Hereafter.(28:77).

In the face of the above discussion it will not be considered proper for any scholar to interpret the concept of Maslaha, keeping in view merely the problems and affairs of this life. (Dawabit-al-Maslaha, pages 45-48).

- ii) Maslaha in the light of Islam is not based on the utility and pleasure of this life alone (Ibid, page 54).
- iii) The requirements and the weal of the religion of Islam is one the fore-front of everything. Thus the Injunctions

of Islam as laid down in the Holy Qur'an and Sunnah of the Holy Prophet (ملى الله علية وسلم) should be protected first and nothing contrary to the said Injunctions of Islam could in any way be considered Maslaha acceptable to Islam.

- 151. Further discussing the subject, Al-Buti said that there are four (4) controlling factors to control Maslaha so that this should remain within the parameter of Islamic Shari'ah, viz.
 - The <u>Maslaha</u> should be in complete conformity with basic principles of Islamic Shari'ah and help to provide facilities for man so that he may become an obedient servant of Allah in his all actions of life.
 - ii) Maslaha should naturally be in accordance with the Injunctions of Islam as laid down in the Holy Qur'an and Sunnah of the Holy Prophet (صلى الله عليه وسلم). There was a consensus of opinion among the companions of the Holy Prophet (صلى الله علية وسلم) that Maslaha repugnant to the Holy Qur'an and Sunnah of the Holy Prophet (صلى الله عليه وسلم) is liable to be repulsed.
 - Maslaha should not be in contradiction with some correct analogy.
 - iv) It must not damage some other greater and more effective Maslaha. (Dawabit-al-Maslah, PP 18-252).

Thus, the rule of Maslaha (all) or Ijtihad is applicable only when there is no direct text of the Holy Qur'an or Sunnah of the Holy Prophet in a matter. We have just witnessed that there are several Verses of the Holy Qur'an and Ahadith of the Holy Prophet which throw sufficient light on the definition of interest and its other aspects and the Ummah is unanimous on the definition and prohibition of riba al-nasia.

152. In view of the above discussion the rule of Maslaha can't be invoked in aid to permissibility of "Bank Interest".

- 153. For consideration of the other point, whether an increase to offset the depreciation in the value of currency can be justified and considered as an alternate and substitute for interest, in the eye of Shari'ah, we may quote first from the well-known works on Economics as to the theory of inflation and indexation, purely from economic point of view, and then we would examine the same on the anvil of the Qur'an and Sunnah.
- 154. "Inflation is a persistent tendency for the prices of most of the goods and services to rise over time. Inflation has been a world-wide problem through-out, much of the 20th century. Nonetheless, inflation has proved to be extremely difficult for economists to define or to distinguish from related problems.
- one product is part of an inflationary trend in the economy as a whole or simply reflects consumer's willingness, at some point, to spend more of their incomes on that particular product." (Collier's Encyclopeadia Vol.13 p.7 USA).

rise in prices is described as inflationary. In Coulborn's words, it is a case of too much money-chasing to a few goods; thus, inflation is generally associated with an abnormal increase in the quantity of money resulting in abnormal rise in prices. (K.K.D.Modern Economic Theory, Lahore, P.435).

- 157. J.L.Hanson describing the term of inflation says that there are three senses in which this term is used:
- 1. <u>Inflation on the Gold Standard</u>, where a moderate and controlled expansion of bank credit is encouraged by the Central bank whenever there is an inflow of gold, the extent of an inflation in such circumstances is rigidly controlled since it is dependent on the amount of gold the country concerned has acquired.
- 2. Persistent (or Creeping) Inflation, is a condition where the volume of purchasing power is persistently running ahead of the out-put of goods and services available to consumers and producers; with the result that there is a persistent tendency for prices and wages to rise, that is for the value of money to fall......Since 1939 all countries have experienced varying degrees of persistent inflation.
- 3. Hyper-inflation, (alternatively known as a galloping' or 'runaway' inflation) occurs when a persistent inflation gets out of control and the value of money declines rapidly to a tiny fraction of its former value and eventually to almost nothing, so that a new currency unit has to be adopted." (J.L.Hanson: A Dictionary of Economics and Commerce, 5th Ed.London P.262).

- 158. Inflation is a very complex phenomenon. There is no sovereign remedy to combat it. Measurers have to be taken on several fronts, monetary and non-monetary, to flight. An effective supplementary device for controlling inflation is what has been called 'indexation'.
- 159. J.L. Hansom defines 'indexation' as follows:

"A system of relating income, especially from investment, to the retail price index in a time of inflation in order to offset the fall in the value of money! (ibid p.255).

- variables which are measured in money units. The technique is to link a variable with a selected index e.g. wages may be linked to retail price index. The object is to prevent the erosion of real wages irrespective of changes in the price level. Similarly, the rate of interest can be indexed so that positive rate of return on money can be protected in real terms.

 Tax system constitutes an important area of indexation so that the proportion of deducted earnings can be kept relatively constant over time.

 (K.K.Dewett: Modern Economic Theory, ed.83, Karachi, p.448).
- demerits of indexation and it clearly appears from the study of the relevant material that the demerits of the theory surpass greatly than that of its merits. Anyhow indexation has not been considered a cure for inflation, rather it tends to perpetuate and accelerate inflation and to be self-defeating.

162. Indexation may be feasible in respect of wages, salaries and pensions to a mild extent as a temporary sedative for the pain of inflation. But"it is difficult to see how a just case could be built for the indexation of financial assets. Since investors (who not only save but also take the risk of investment) are not assured of a stable real value of their investments, why should savers and cash holders be so assured when they don't even take the risk. Instead of introducing inequities through indexation it would be just to ask the holders of cash to seek protection through investment. Indexation would tend to induce savers to shy away from risk capital which has been emphasized in the Islamic value system and which is necessary for a growing economy. It would hence be desirable to induce savers to offset any erosion in the real value of their savings through investment". (M. Umar Chapira, Towards a Just Monetary System, Leicester Edition, p. 40). 163. We have heard a number of Economists and Bankers in answer to the Questionnaire, reproduced earlier, issued by this Court. Each one of them opposed in clear terms, though briefly, the proposition of indexation based on inflation to be adopted as substitute or alternate to the Institution of "Interest". Dr.S.M. Hasanuz Zaman, Chief of the Islamic Banking Division of the State Bank of Pakistan whom we also heard on the Questionnaire, made a request at the conclusion of his submission on the Questionnaire that he may be given one full day to speak on indexation based on inflation as a compensation payable by the borrower to the

substitute or alternate to the "Interest", as he has been doing intensive

lender/Bank for the use of loan, borrowed by him, to be adopted as a

research on the subject for about five years. We, therefore, specially invited him to Islamabad and heard him one full day. His mastery over the subject and his learned exposition was excellent and was of immense help to us. We would, therefore, like to reproduce, from the learned paper, which he sent to us, later on, on our request wherein he has summarised the said discussion on the subject which is not only new but important as well, In his own words:

- indexation but the coverage of indexation is not similar in different countries. A large number of countries have indexed wages, pensions and social security payments. Some other countries have indexed a single bond while many countries have indexed different forms of investments. Brazil is the only country to adopt this practice comprehensively. It is because of these differences that the technique of indexation and the choice of index differ in different countries. The most common technique of indexation is linking wage or investment to consumer prices or cost of living. Some countries make advance adjustments with prices while most countries practice ex post facto adjustments. The period of adjustment ranges from one month to one year; in some cases even three years.
- 165. The merits attributed to indexation are generally theoretical. As against it the contestants of this approach have based their arguments partly on theory and largely on the basis of experience

gained in different countries of the world.

- 166. In ultimate analysis indexation would mean that some one has to compensate the sufferer for the damage caused to the purchasing power of money or for decrease in its value. It may be payable by the government, the employer, the borrower or the banker. In order to examine if the Sharia justifies such a payment by any of these parties we shall have to apply the Islamic law of compensation to these transactions after we have determined the person or the institution responsible for inflation.
- In the Sharia return on physical human contribution and on financial contribution is governed by two different sets of rules. The former is assigned a fixed remuneration. The government may, if necessary, fix a minimum rate of remuneration and leave the maximum to market forces. On the other hand financial contribution in the form of a loan or a debt is to be rewarded exactly in the same kind and quantity; and excess over and above the sum lent would become interest and is treated to be strictly prohibited. This fact is borne out in the Quran, the Holy Prophet's tradition and the detailed discussion by all the fugaha of all the schools of thought without any exception.
- The Muslim jurists are so particular about this Quranic prohibition that they have disapproved this practice in all these transactions where deferred transfer of commodity or money is involved.

 Thus the purview of this prohibition covers not only loans and debts

but also credit, barter, deferred exchange of currency, demonetization, delayed payment of remuneration after devaluation or revaluation, indemnity and change in the unit of currency at the time of redemption of loan.

- dirhams or dinars are lent out by counting, they will be paid back by counting not by weight. Similarly in case these are lent out by weight they will be returned by weight not by counting. In respect of the loan of a commodity it is further provided by the <u>fuqaha</u> that it should be returned in the same kind and quantity irrespective of any change in its price at the time of return of the loan.
- In case the amount of loan is in terms of <u>fulus</u> or smaller pieces of <u>dirhams</u> which the government has banned and which has become out of currency the creditor will take its price. He will not be bound to accept this coin....because the defect has occured when the coin was in the borrower's possession......The price of the <u>fulus</u> will be fixed as current on the date of borrowing and the creditor will take it irrespective of the degree of defect in its value. But in case the coin, inspite of demonetization, is still in currency and popular, the creditor shall accept the <u>fulus</u>. This approach is based on a general principle that in the case of loan of a fungible goods the creditor will be paid the same quantity of identical goods irrespective of whether the value of such goods increases, decreases, or remains unchanged

during the period of loan. The same approach has been made by them in the case of payment of oustanding wage remuneration.

- Another situation that causes liability is unlawful occupation of somebody's property (ghasb, usurpation). The usurper (ghasib) is called upon to return the goods or, in the case of destruction of goods, its price whenever the court orders him to do so. The usurper will not be required to indemnify the loss caused to the value of the property as a result of a fall in its price.
- and consistent. The same consistency exists in case liability of deferred payment arises not as a result of a transaction of loan but even when it arises as a result of barter, demonetization, debasement, devaluation or revaluation, remuneration and compensation and indemnity. In all these situations a loan is to be returned in the same unit of currency and the same amount, irrespective of any change in its relative value in terms of other goods or currency. Any violation of this principle would be a violation of the Quranic prohibition of riba and of the holy Prophet's injunctions. The fugaha are so rigid in this principle that they do not relax it even in the case of redemption of the liability of dower to a wife. According to Alamgiri the amount fixed for dower will be payable to wife without any regard to increase or decrease in the value of currency on the date of payment.
- 173. Another objectionable point from the Shari'i angle is the element of ignorance and uncertainty that is observable in indexation.

In the Sharia one of the conditions of a contract of deferred payment is precisely determining the liability at the time of making contract.

Ignorance from this liability makes the contract void. In indexation the liability is known on the date it is due. In order to solve the problem of time lag between the period for which a change in the price level is observable and the period in which the price level adjustment is applied to the transaction, some countries have accommodated projected inflation also in the index. While ex post indexation involves an element of ignorance (jahl), projected inflation involves the element of uncertainty (gharar) too that makes a contract null and void.

- 174. While the principle of linking loans and debts to purchasing power cannot be justified on textual grounds there may yet be some arguments to adduce for indexation on rational and logical plane. We may examine these arguments in the following lines:-
- 1. The phenomenon of world wide inflation causing hazards in economic life of man was never experienced before. It is, therefore, necessary to do <u>ijtihad</u> and not stick to the opinions of the early <u>fuqaha</u>. The answer to this argument is that the rule is that <u>ijtihad</u> is done only where textual argument, <u>nass</u> does not exist. And because this problem is guided by a nass, ijtihad is invalid.
- 2. The holy Prophet has said that no damage should be done nor any should be borne. Inflation is a damage to the purchasing power of money. Indexation is a redress against this damage. In order to

answer this question we shall have to examine the applicability of the Islamic law of indemnity in context of indexation. The law provides that a person responsible for inflicting a damage should indemnify the sufferer. The question will arise as to who of a multitude of factors responsible for inflation will be made to indemnify the sufferer. In case trade unions are responsible for a cost-push inflation how a bank can be justified in making the entrepreneur indemnify the fall in value of its loan money? Will it not be a double punishment to the entrepreneur through paying higher wages to the labour and higher cost of loan to the bank? Why a borrower should be made to pay for a fall in value of money that occurs due to demand-pull inflation caused by receivers of foreign remittances or the recipients of high salaries and those charging fabulous profits. In some countries indexation is limited to government bonds. It means the government indemnifies only the bond holders. The question would arise as to on whose expense bond holders are being indemnified. Public treasury is mostly financed by public taxes. In other words it is the entire society which is indemnifying the bond holder only although every body in the society is equally the sufferer.

3. It can be advocated that the government being quardian of the interest of the people (wali al 'am') may indemnify the people of their loss in the purchasing power of money whether or not it is responsible for it. In this respect the guiding principle is that a damage is to be

redressed. The answer to this plea is that the rule is applied only when one is sure that a damage will not be replaced by a bigger damage or a similar damage. Another condition is that mild damage will be endured to get rid of a serious damage. The third condition is that a particular damage may be tolerated to redress a general damage. Contrary to it indexation according to observers is a mechanism which is very much complicated to devise and operate and is a recipe for built-in inflation. The question will arise if we would like to resort to a more complicated mechanism in place of a simple routine without expecting and check on inflation. There is no doubt that monetary expansion brought about by rising public expenditure through deficit financing is treated to be a policy that causes inflation even if no other factors responsible for this situation are combined. But the question will arise why the government resorts to money expansion. The answer is that the government does so for overall development of the community, the whole country and the posterity. Confining the government expenditure to regular budget and neglecting the major development programmes involving huge expenditure can save the people from the hardships of inflation. But at which cost? In the present day world, at the cost of economic and political survival. This means protection from a minor damage at the cost of a serious damage to the community. Moreover development programmes and defence preparations may be withdrawn in favour of the purchasing power of the present generation but this may be done only at the expense of the

W. -

existence of freedom and of the economic prosperity of the posterity.

Thus a particular damage will be avoided by exposing the country to a general damage.

- 4. Another argument that may be adduced in support of indexation is that during inflation trade unions succeed in increasing their wages. If such increase is permissible in the Sharia on the ground of increase in prices how indexation, can be treated to be unjustifiable. It is a fallacious analogy because in the Sharia return on service is governed by a different rule than loan. Any increase in return on service is increase in remuneration while increase in the amount of loan is interest. The former is permissible; the latter prohibited.
- 175. The following further points discard the idea of indexation on rational grounds. He states:-
- the intrinsic characteristics of money which are its being a medium of exchange and a unit of account. During inflation it is the relative characteristic future value in terms of exchange that is affected. This latter characteristic has never remained constant since the day money was introduced. This has been so even when full-bodied money was in currency. Now the question is: Has any weakness crept within money itself that has reduced its purchasing power? Or there is something wrong with the seller of the commodity who is claiming more money for the same commodity. It is surely the latter factor that is

responsible for increase in price because supply of goods and services is not matching the existing quantity of money. The intrinsic characteristics of money are intact. The fact that the rate of increase of prices is not generally indentical is also a proof to suggest that the defect does not lie in money; it is the difference in demand for or supply of different goods and services that causes this distortion.

- (b) The basic idea behind indexation is indemnifying the owner/
 creditor for the loss incurred in future purchasing power of money. And
 this future is not the moment when a loan is redeemed; it begins from
 this moment. Thus it is not only the purchasing power of money that
 should be guaranteed but also the prospective potential of money that
 should be ensured. And this is an impossible condition to follow. This
 means that indexation alone will never fulfil the standard of justice.
- which represents the basket of consumer goods and their weights that are included in price index. The index represents the consumption habit of an 'average person', which does not represent the overwhelming majority of actual persons. This will be unjust for many and unjustifiable favour for others. Moreover the basket will either represent the expenditure in the whole country/region or a fresh basket will have to be devised for each locality representing a different mode of living, price structure, substitutions, traditions, habits and such other factors. Another distinction will have to be made classwise.

- (d) Apart from this spatial injustice the index also involves temporal injustice. Index can be made on the basis of prices on some particular date or on the basis of average prices during a particular period, once, twice, thrice or four times a year. Unlike it savings, lendings and redemptions are an every day business. Thus average prices will never be precisely factual and fair.
- approach. It can be accepted that the loss in purchasing power of money should be made up. But the question is for whom? It is the savers individually, not collectively, whose purchasing power is to be made up. The question then arises: Is the loss in purchasing power of all the individual savers is according to the consumer basket which is the index? It will be found that nobody saves for the purchase of index basket and linking anybody's savings to any sort of basket will be unrealistic and unjust. If the loss in purchasing is really to be compensated it should be done according to loss suffered by individual saver. And this is impossible. Compensating the loss in purchasing power of money is a micro-economic phenomenon. Dealing with it on macro-level will always be unjust.
- (f) In addition to all these injustices the point is that it is not the act of lending that erodes purchasing power of money; it is the act of saving that is responsible for decline in its value whether or not it is advanced as a loan. As such compensation for this loss from the borrower is unjust.

- (g) Stability in prices is an ideal. It can be achieved and maintained in a primitive, stationary society for a very long period but in a dynamic society for a very short period. Stable prices in the face of fast changing habits, modes of production, consumption patterns, standards of living, inventions and defence technology is an inaccessible ideal.
- (h) While arguing for indexation the entire discussion seems to assume a permanent inflationary situation in the future. Wisdom demands for also assuming the fate of indexation in a reverse situation. If indexation is applied during high rate of deflation or during recession also, the ruin that its psychological reaction will bring about can be easily visualized.
- (i) Indexation is prescribed by some economists to remedy the two failing of money viz. a store of value and a standard of deferred payment which appear due to inflation. But the interesting point is that by this remedy money loses one more characteristic viz. a measure of value, without restoring the lost characteristics.
- (j) There is also an argument which rejects the odium that has been attached with inflation. According to this approach the protest against inflation is by and large a psycological reaction because the rate of increase in savings of the people is not commensurate with the rate of increase in incomes, due to simultaneous increase in the rate of expenditure on ever increasing consumer items. The opinion rejects overemphasis

on the need for maintaining price stability at all costs which in the Sharia is not an end itself but is intended to achieve some other object.

The opinion relies upon Schultze's sectoral demand shift theory therefrom concluding that price stability in developing countries is a far cry.

- (k) As most of the interest-free loans are non-productive, compensation will be unjustifiable from borrowers point of view.
- (1) In case the rate of inflation is higher than the rate of profits, it will discourage the banks to accept loan accounts and to advance funds on equity basis.
- (m) Indexation by banks would have serious repurcussions on voluntary private lending in the Islamic society. If individuals also are tempted to adopt this scheme, it will open the floodgates to interest.
 - (n) It is also claimed that indexation would bring about confusion in the entire currency system by attributing different values to the same money according to its repository. Thus the same money in imprest, in bank, and in business will bear three different values. During inflation value of money in imprest will continue to fall; value of money in business will depend on its productivity and the value of money in bank which is advanced as indexed loan will remain constant. This will take away the basic characteristic of money which makes it a unit of account.
 - (o) In indexation the index-basket as presently known and practised determines the standard of settlement of money debts in the

future. In Islamic law it is mal that can be lent and borrowed and that is the standard of deferred payment. It is the quantity of this mal that is contracted to be returned. Mal has a value and has a want. Basket of commodities is an accounting concept. It has no value because it has no want and is not demanded, nor supplied. Thus it is doubtful if determining this basket as a standard of value in future payments would be acceptable in Islamic law.

There is no doubt that if inflation is allowed to grow beyond a reasonable limit, this may dry up investment in public utilities; encourage hoarding and speculation by discouraging interest in socially desirable channels. It may lead to flight of domestic capital. It may cause a decline in the overall real income in the economy. It may adversely affect the distribution of real incomes in different group of the society mainly to the detriment of the fixed income groups. All these factors create a situation that is not desirable in Islam. There should be a means of redressing these evils and indexation is claimed to be one such technique. But an evil, as already discussed above, should not be redressed by a similar or a bigger evil. Muslim economists should try to explore the ways of fighting inflation within the sanctions provided by the Sharia. If Chile, for example, can succeed in devising a non-monetarist formula for fighting inflation there is no reason why our economists should insist on a device that apart from violating the rules of the Sharia, has failed to cure the evils of inflation, Dr. Zaman concludes. M

- 177. The arguments given in support of indexation are mostly based on some economic principles and do not relate to Shari'ah.
- 178. A clear and distinct principle has been laid down by the Sunnah of the Holy Prophet:

" كل قرض جر منفعة فهو وجه من وجوه الربا "
(The benefit derived from any loan is one of different aspects of <u>riba</u>).
(Bayhaqi: <u>Sunan-al-Kubra</u>, Vol.V, P.350).

179. There are several Ahadith which show that any advantage drawn through loan is not permissible:-

It is narrated from Anas Bin Malik that the Holy Prophet said that when one of you grants a loan and the borrower offers him a dish, he should not accept it; and if the borrower offers a ride on an animal, he should not ride, unless the two of them have been previously accustomed to exchanging such favours mutually. (Bayhaqi: Sunan-al-Kubra, Vol.V, P.350).

180. Imam Malik says that there is complete agreement among the Muslim jurists (and economists) regarding the prohibition of all credit transactions, where someone gives a loan to another person for a fixed period but the borrower repays (or promises to repay) the sum due before the specified date when the creditor reduces the time of loan due; or when the creditor, increases the time of repayment after the expiry of the period of loan and the debtor (promises) to increase the amount of his debt by a fixed additional sum. According to Imam Malik, it is pure

interest and there is no doubt about it. (Al-Muatta: Imam Malik (Ch. Euy'),
Beruit, Vol. 2 P.672... Also see Afzalur Rahman: Economic Doctrines of

Islam. Vol.11, P.73 Lahore).

The Muslim jurists have been of the view that if the currency became depreciated at the time of the repayment of loan the borrower will have to repay the same number (quantity) of coin, and he will not be liable to pay anything more.

Imam Malik says:

" كل شيئي اعطيتة الى اجل فرد اليك مثلة وزيادة فهو ربا "

(Whatever thing you give to person on the condition that it will be returned to you after a fixed time, and the borrower returns it to you alongwith some addition, it will be <u>riba</u>. (Al-Mudwawanah al-Kubrah vol.4 P.25).

Ibn Abidin says:

" ولو استقرض فلوسا فكسدت علية مثلها "

(If some one borrowed some coins, the value of which became depreciated at the time of its payment, he will pay the same). (Ibn Abidin: Tanbeeh-al-Ruqood, Vol. 2 P.62).

He further stated that: " واجمعوا ان الفلوس اذا لم تكسد ولكن غلت قيمتها

او رخصت فعلية مثل ما قبض من العدد • "

(The jurists are unanimous on the point that (in case of loan) if the value of the coins, without its being stagmant, increased or decreased, the borrower have to pay the same number which he borrowed).(ibid).

Ubadah Ibn Samit reported that the Holy Prophet (S.A.W.S)

said:

" الذهب بالذهب والفضة والبر بالبر والشعير بالشعير والتمر بالتعمر والملح بالملح مثلا بمثل سواء بسواء يدابيد فاذا اختلفت هذة الاصناف فبيعوا كيف شئتم اذا كان يدا بيد ٠ "

(Gold for gold, silver for silver, wheat for wheat, barley for barley, dates for dates and salt for salt-like for like, equal for equal and hand-to-hand; if the commodities differ, then you may sell as you wish, provided that the exchange is hand-to-hand. (Sahih Muslim: Beirut, Baba-al-Sarfe wa bay' dhabi bil waraqi nagdan:

184. Referring to the above mentioned Hadith, Umar Chapra, Economic Adviser to Saudi Arabia, has observed that:

Islamabad, p.184).

The rationale for the objection is that if gold (or any other commodity) is used as a denominator, then the lender can reclaim the loan only in terms of the same denominator irrespective of whether its price rises or falls. The lender cannot be given the right to use money or the specified commodity as a denominator at his own option if he does not wish

to indulge in riba-al-fadl. (Towards a Just Monetary System, P.41).

- Supporting the arguments of M.Umar Chapra, Dr. Najatullah Siddiqui stated that Chapra has rightly argued that indexation is no cure for inflation. It may even accelerate it. Besides that: 'even though it is proposed with the innocent objective of doing justice to the riba-free lender, it has the potential of doing gross injustice to the borrower. As Kahf observes, 'the attempt to compensate one party for erosion in the value of money is unfair and unjust, and it will be redundant if every body were to be compensated for the sake of justice. (Manzer Khaf in his discussion on Chapra's paper. Proceedings of the Makka Seminar, 1987).

 According to him indexation would also violate the Islamic prohibition of riba 'l-fadl. Zubair also finds indexation to be against Islamic principles and without any basis in Shari'ah.
- 186. We will soon revert, with some more details, to the proceedings of the Seminar held at Jeddah on the question of "Indexation and its application in the Islamic Economy". Refer to para 227 infra.
- 187. As far as the loan transactions in commodities are concerned,
 Abdul Rahman Al-Jaziri writes:
 - " مسائل متعلقہ قرض کے منجملہ یہ ھے کہ اس میں لین دین ہے۔
 برابر ھوتا ھے ، چنانچہ اگر پیمانے والی کوئی شئے قرض دی گئی ،
 مثلا گندم تو یہ لازم ھوگا کہ جو شئے لی ھے وہ اسی قدر واپس کرے
 قطع نظر اس کے کہ وہ سستی ھیؤیلہ تھینگی ۔ یہی حکم ان اشیاء کا ھے
 جن کا سودا گنتی سے یا وزن سے گیا جاتا ھے ۔ (کتاب الفقہ علی
 ہم المداھب الاربعہ ، تالیف عبدالرحمن الجزیری ، اردوترجمہ، شائع کردہ
 محکمہ اوتاف پنجاب لاھور ،جلد۲ ، صفحہ ۱۲۸)

188. Allama Kasani elaborating the point says that if someone borrowed loan on the condition that he will repay some benefit over and above the loan or some one borrowed depreciated coins on the condition that he will repay the correct one, the transaction will not be considered as legal.

The relevant text of Al-Kasani is as under:-

" واما الذى يرجع الى نفس القرض فعو ان لا يكون ، فيه جر منفعة فانمه
كان لم يجز نحو ما اقرضه دراهم فلة على ان يرد عليه صحاحا أو اقرضه
و شرط شرطا له فيه منفعة لما روى عن رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم انه
نهى عن قرض جر نفعا ولان الزيادة المشروطة تشبه الربا لانها فضل لا يقابله
عوض و التحرز عن حقيقة الربا و شبهة الربا واجب (الكاساني : بدائع الصنائع ٢٩٥/٢)

(As far as loan is concerned it is pertinent to mention here that it should not consist upon any kind of benefit, if it be so it will not be legal, for example, if someone gave stagnant coins as a loan on the condition that the borrower will pay correct coins or give anything of benefit at the time of the payment of loan. This kind of transaction will not be considered as legal because the Holy Prophet(صلى الله عليه وسلم) prohibited such kind of loan which brings any kind of benefit. The principle in this is that any stipulated benefit in the transaction is Riba, for the reason that this benefit is not in compensation of any thing. It is obligatory on every Muslim to prevent himself from actual Riba and the doubt of Riba).

189. Zaila'i also discussed the subject and elaborated the point
that if a person borrows some coins or currency as loan and on the
time of repayment these coins become stagnant and the currency
becomes devaluated, he will be liable to pay the same according to the
opinion of Imam Abu Hanifa, and according to the Abu Yousaf he will be

liable to pay the value of the time of borrowing. He says:-

ولوكسدت افلس القرض يجب رد مثلها وهذا عند ابى حنيفة و قالا يجب
رد قيمتها لانه تعذر ردها كما قبضها لان المقبوض ثمن والمردود ليس بثمن
فغاتت المعاثلة قتجب القيمة كما لواستقرض مثليا فانقطع عن ايدى الناس لكن
عند ابى يوسف تعتبر قيمة يوم القبض وعند محمد يوم الكساد (تبيين الحقائق
جلد ٣ ص ١٤٣)

(In case loan coins become stagnant these will be returned as they were borrowed according to Imam Abu Hanifa, while Imam Abu Yousaf and Imam Muhammad are of the opinion that the price of these coins will be returned back. There argument is that the coins do not maintain their value and borrower is obliged to repay the same value. Imam Abu Yousuf is of the opinion that the coins to be returned will be of the value of the time of borrowing, while Imam Muhammad is of the opinion that these coins will be of the value when they become stagnant).

190. Shamsul Aimmah Imam Shamsuddin Al-Sarakhsi says :(٣٠ الواجب في ذ مته مثل ما قبض من الفلوس ١٥ المبسوطج ١٣ ص ٣٠ ((The borrower is liable to pay only the same coins).

Al-Sarkhsi further says that similarity must be observed in transaction of loan. He says:-

(Under loan transaction that the same amount should be returned without any increase or decrease).

191. Imam Ibn Qudama al-Maqdisi has also discussed the question elaborately and stated that the borrower should return the same as he borrowed whether there may be an increase in the value or there may be devaluation.

(The borrower should pay the same coins or currency irrespective of any increase or decrease occurred in the currency).

192. There is no difference of opinion among the jurists that any increase stipulated to be paid on the amount originally lent out is <u>riba</u> (interest) and is prohibited. The jurists have also applied this principle in case of loan taken in <u>dirhams</u> and <u>dinars</u>. In this connection Ibn Qudamah writes:

(If dirhams are lent out by counting, they will be paid back by counting and not by weight. Similarly in case they are lent out by weight they will be returned by weight and not be counting. (Ibn Qudamah, Al-Mughni, Beirut, Lahore, Vol. IV, pages 356, 357).

193. The jurists further concur that currency transactions are treated like commodity transactions in matter of lending and borrowing and, that is, the same quantity should be returned as was borrowed even though the value of the currency may have changed at the time of the return. In this connection a famous Hanafi jurist, Allama Ibn Abdin, writes:

(There is consensus among the jurist that in case of loan taken in currency (فاوس) which has not been demonetized but either it has evaluated or devaluated, the borrower will pay the same quantity (in number) as he has borrowed." (Ibn Abidin,, Rasail, Lahore, Vol. II, page 62).

of payment of outstanding wages or remuneration. In this connection it is stated in Fatawa Alamgiri that the worker will be paid his contracted amount of remuneration even though the value of this money has changed

before he is paid his due.

195. The jurists are so strict in this matter that they do not relax this principle even in the case of rdemption of the liability of dower to a wife. The amount fixed for dower will be payable to wife without any regard to increase or decrease in the value of currency on the date of payment:

" فلو لم تكسد ولم تنقطع ولكن رخصت او غلت لا يعتبر " (Fatawa Alamgiri, Vol.II, P.205).

196. The jurists have further applied this principle in the case of usurpation. The same amount and kind of money as usurped will be payable to the owner without any consideration to its value at the time of payment. The usurper will not be required to indemnify the loss caused to the value of the property or money as a result of a fall in its price:

" وان نقصت القيمة لتغير الاشعار لم يضمنه الغاصب "

(Ibn Qudama, Al-Mughni, Riadh, Vol. V, P.288-289).

- 197. Moreover, it seems unjust and exploitative for a lender to insist on getting a compensation for the erosion in the value of money post_facto, while he is not prepared to accept a lower amount in case the value of money appreciates. It poses a question: why should a lender be protected against inflation while the borrower is not similarly protected against deflation?
- 198. Indexation is done due to inflation and inflation is caused either by government, or by the society itself, or by consumer, or by

natural constraints or by trade unions or traders or by international factors. In a large number of cases all the factors taken together are responsible. In case trade unions are responsible for a cost-push inflation how a bank can be justified in making the enterpreneur indemnify the fall in value of its loan money? Will it not be a double punishment to the enterpreneur through paying higher wages to the labour and higher cost of loan to the bank? In the same way, why a borrower should be made responsible to pay for a fall in value of money that occurs due to demand-pull inflation?

199. According to Islamic Law a person responsible for inflicting a damage should indemify the sufferer and no other person will be held responsible for that. The Holy Qur'an says:

" ولا تكسب كل نفس الا عليها ولا تزر وازرة وزر اخرى "

(Every soul draws the need of its acts on none but itself: no bearer of burdens can bear the burden of another." (6:164).

200. The Council of Islamic Ideology in 1980 has also considered the issue of indexation in its Report on the Elimination of Interest from the Economy. The observation of the Council at P.12 is as follows:-

"Under the Shari'ah, currency transactions are not treated differently from commodity transaction in so far as lending and borrowing are concerned. The basic principle is that the same quantity (units) should be returned as was borrowed even though the price of the commodity may have changed in the meantime. For example, if one maund of wheat has been borrowed, the borrower will have to return one maund of wheat even though the price of one maund wheat may have

risen from Rs.30/- to Rs.50/- per maund or fallen to Rs.15/Similarly, if the borrowing consisted of a specified amount of
money, say Rs.1,000/- the borrower will have to repay the
same amount of money even if the value of rupee in terms of
other goods and services may have changed during the period."

201. Mawlana Muhammad Taqi Usmani, in his paper presented at a Seminar held at Jeddah under the auspices of Islamic Development Bank, Urdu translation whereof published in the two issues for the months of March and April, 1989 of his Urdu monthly journal Al-Balagh (البلاغ), اشرات اشرات اشرات المرات كرنسى كى قوت خريد اور ادائيگيوں پراسكے شرعى المحالية وسلم), كرنسى كى قوت خريد اور ادائيگيوں پراسكے شرعى الكاء الله علية وسلم) observed that -

" مندرجة بالا تمام احادیث اسباتکو واضح طور پر بیان کر رهی هیس
که شریعت میں جو تماثل اوربرابری معتبر هے ، وہ مقدار میں برابری هے ،
اموال ربویة میں قیمتکے تفاوت کابالکل اعتبار نهیں ، یہ احکام اس صورت
میں هیں جب بیع نقد هو رهی هو ، اور اگر معاملہ قرض کا هو جس میں اصل
سود جاری هوتا هے ، اور جس میں هر قسم کی زیادتی کے شبہ سے بھی بچنا ضروری
هے تو پھر اس میں قیمتکے تفاوت کا لحاظ کرنے کا سوال هی پیدا نهیں هوتا ۔ "

202. The learned writer thus opined at the end of his paper that -

" بہرحال ، مندرجة بالا بحث سے ية بات ثابت هو گئی هے كة "اشارية"(Indexation) ، ، ، اپنے تمام مراحل میں اندازة اور تخمین پر مبنی هے اور اگر کسی جگة پر حساب باریک بینی اور پوری احتیاط سے بھی کیاجائے ، تو بھی اس کے نتیجے کو زیادة سے زیادة تقریبی تو کہة سکتے هیں ، یقینی اور واقعی بھر بھی نهیں کمی سکتے ہیں کہة سکتے ہیں اور واقعی بھر بھی میں کہة سکتے ہیں اور احادیث کی روشنی میں یة واضح کیا جا چکا هے که قرضوں کی واپسی میں اشکل اور اندازة کی شرط لگانا شرعا جائز نهیں لہذا قرضوں کی ادائیگی کو قیمتوں کے اشاریة سے وابستة کر دیناکسی صورت میں بھی جائز نهیں ۔ "

203. We may also refer to 'Maqalat-e-Saeedi (مقالات سعيدي)

by Allama Ghulam Rasool Saeedi, Lahore, 1982 pages 470-71. He states -

" ایک سوال به هے که افراط زر کی وجه سے گرانی هوتی هے به افراط بعضاوقات قومی مفاد کے حق میں پالیسی کی وجه سے هوتا هے ۔ بعض اوقات بیرونی اثرات کی وجه سے افراط زر میں لوگوں کی قوت خرید گھٹ جاتی هے ۔

مندرجة بالا صورتوں میں سے کیا کسی صورت میں حکومت کیلئے یہ شرعی فرش هے که وہ قوت خرید میں کمی واقع هونے پر لوگوں کے نقصان کی تلافی کر دے ۔ دوسرے الفاظ میں ان کے سکہ کے قدر کی ضامن هو ۔ باد رهے که کبھی کبھی مندرجۂ بالا عوامل کی بناء پر تفریط زر کی کیفیت بھی پیدا هو سکتی هے ، جس کا نتیجہ بالکل برعکس هوتا هے ۔

قوت خرید میں کمی بیشی کے تعین کیلئے مختلف اشیاء کی قیمتوں کا نمائندہ اشاریہ استعمال کیا جاسکتا ھے ، کیااس اشاریئے کو مستقبل کی ادائیگیوں کے کے معاہدے کی بنیاد بنایا جا سکتا ھے ۔ گویا اس طرح مستقبل کی ادائیگیوں کے لیے سکہ کی شمنیت بعینہ نھیں رھے گی بلکہ اضافی ھو جائے گی ، جس کاانحصار سال بہ سال بدلتی ھوئی مجموعی قیمتوں کے اوسط پر ھوگا ۔ اس کی مثال یہ ھے کہ زید نے آج سو روپے دیئے جس سے چار من غلسہ کی قیمت ایک سو بیس روپے ھو تو اس کو بجائے سو روپیہ کے ایک سو بیس روپے دیئے جائیں لیکن اگر یہ قیمت اسکا روپے رہ جائے تو اس کو اسکا روپے دیئے جائیں گی

اس سوال کا جوابیة هے که ملکی پالیسی اور بیرونی اثرات کی وجة سے جو سکة کی قیمت (Market value) پر اثر پڑتا هے اس کی تلافی کی حکومت دمة دار نهیں ، ورنة اس کے رد عمل میں سینکڑوں الجھاوے لاحق هونگے اور ملک میں اقتصادی بحران پیدا هو سکتا هے ۔ اشاریة کے طریق کار کی جو مثال دی گئی هے وہ شرعاً صحیح نهیں هے ۔ مثلاً زید نے تین سال کیلئے عمر کو ایک سو روپیة قرض دیا اور اس وقت اس سے چار من غلة آتا هے اور تین سال بعد افراط زر کی وجة سے چار من غلة کی قیمت ۱۲۰ روپیة هو اور وہ عمر سے سو کی بجائے ایک سو بیس روپیة وصول کرے تو یة صریحا رہوا النسیة هے جو حرام قطعی هے اور اگر تفریط زر (جو تقریباً محال عادی هے) کی وجة سے چار من غلة اشگر روپیة کا رة جائے تو قرض خواہ کو اس کی مرضی کے خلاف بیس روپیة کم لینے پر شرعاً مجبور نهیں کیا جا سکتا ۔ "

204. And, now, it needs to mention that the Islamic Figh Academy

(مجمع النقد الالامي), formed in 1983 under the auspices of the Organization of Islamic Countries (OIC) represented by some 45 Muslim countries in its session hled at Kuwait in 1988 has also disapproved indexation.

The resolution of the Academy is reproduced as under:-

قرار رقم (۳) ۵ /۹/۵ مهم ۸۸ /۰۹/۵ بشان تغییر قیمة العملة

ان مجلس مجمع الفقة الاسلامى المنعقد فى دورة موتمرة الخامس بالكويت من ١ الى ٣ جمادى الاولى ١٣٠٩ المطابق ١٠ الى ١٥ ديسمبر ١٩٨٨ م —

بعد اطلاعة على البحوث المقدمة من الاعضاء والخنراء في موضوع (تغيير قيمة العملة)

و بعد الاطلاع على قرار المجمع رقم (٩) فى الدورة الثالثة بان العملات الورقية نقور اعتبارة ينهاضعة الثمنيةكاملة ولها الاحكام الشرعية المقدر الدهبوالفضة من حيث احكام الرباوالزكوة والسلم و سائراحكامها ،

قرر مایلی

العبرة في وفاالديون الثابتة بعملة ماهي بالمثل و ليس بالقيمة لان الديون تقضى بامثالها فلا يجوز ربطالديون في الذمة آيا كان معدرها بمستوى الاسمار • واللة اعلم •

RESOLUTION No.4/5 (09/88)

CONCERNING

CURRENCIES VALUES FLUCTUATIONS.

The Islamic Fiqh Academy, (Jeddah) in its 5th Session held in Kuwait from 10 to 15 December, 1988; Having taken cognizance of the papers presented by the members and experts, on currencies values fluctuations, and listened to the discussions on this issue; Having taken cognizance of the Resolution No.9 adopted by the Academy Council during its 3rd session, in which,

it is spelt out that Bank notes, being legal currencies, having full value, are governed by Shari'ah provisions applied on gold silver, in particular, for rules relating to Riba and Zakat and advance payment in general.

RESOLVES

The major custom in the reimbursement of consolidated debt incured in a given currency is to make the reimbursement in the same quantity of that currency and not in its exchange value. It is not permissible, in fact, to gear debt, whatever the origin, to the price level. God is more Omniscient.

(See Resolutions and Recommendations of the fifth Session of the Islamic Figh Academy held at, Kuwait, 1988).

205. In the Second Seminar held at new Dehli of the Islamic Fiqh

Acedemy of India (مجمع الفقة الاسلامي الهند), during December, 8-11,1989,

issue of currency note was also considered and it was, inter-alia, resolved

that -

" موجودہ دور میں سونا چاندی ذریعہ تبادلہ نھیں رھا اور
کاغذی نوٹوں نے دریعہ تبادلہ ھونے میں سونے پاندی کی جگہ لے لی
ھے - حکومت کے قوانین بھی کاغذی نوٹوں کو مکمل طور پر ثمن کی
حیثیت دیتے ھیں اور بحیثیت ثمن نوٹوں کو قبول کرنا لازم قرار دیتے
ھیں - غرضیکہ کاغذی نوٹوں کی حیثیت عرف اور رواج میں زر قانونی
کی ھوگئی ھے - کرنسی کے اس ھمہ گیر رواج نے جو شرعی اور فقہی
مسائل پیدا کیے ھیں ان کے مختلف پہلووں کا جائزہ لینے اور غور
وخوش کرنے کے بعد شرکاء درج ذیل نکات پر متفق ھوئے -

ا - کرنسی نوٹ سند و حواله نهیں هے بلکه ثمن هے ، اور اسلامی شریعت کی نظرمیں کرنسی نوٹ کی حیثیت زر امطلاحی وقانونی کی هے - ۲ - عصر حاضر میں نوٹوں نے ذریعة تبادله هونے میں مکمل طور پر زر خلقی (سونا،چاندی)کی جگه لے لی هے اور باهمی لین دین نوٹوں کے دریعه انجام پاتا هے اسلئے کرنسی نوٹ بھی احکام میں ثمن حقیقی کے مشابه هے ، لہدا ایک ملک کی کرنسی کا تبادلة اسی ملک کی کرنسی سے کمی وبیشی کےساتھ نه تو نقد جائز هے نه ادهار ۔"
 کی کرنسی سے کمی وبیشی کےساتھ نه تو نقد جائز هے نه ادهار ۔"
 (سة ماهی " بحث و نظر " پهلواری شریف پٹنة ، جنوری تا مارچ
 ۱۹۹۰ ع ، ص ۱۲)

206. An objection may, perhaps, be raised that in the days when Islam was ruling, gold and silver were exchanged as commodity and the official coins made of gold and silver were used as currency, while in our days only paper currency is used in the entire world and, therefore, the argument based on the <a href="https://hattitute.com/ha

" نوشوں کے بارے میں یہ مسئلہ بعینہ فلوس کے سکوں کی طرح هے ، سکے اصلاً دھات کے هونے کی وجہ سے وزنی هیں ۔ لیکن فقہاء نے ان کو عددی قرار دیا ھے ۔ اسکی وجہ یہی ھے کہ ان فلوس کے حصول سے ان کی ذات یا دھات با تعداد مقصود نھیں ھوتی ، بلکة وة قيمت مقصود ھوتی ھے جسکی وة نمائندگی کرتے ھیں ۔ لہدا اگر کوئی بڑا سکة جس کی قیمت دس فلس ھو ، اس کا تبادلۃ ایسے دس چھوٹے سُکوں سے کرنا جائز ھے جن میں سے ھر ایک کی قیمت ایک فلس ھے ، اور اس کے وہ فقہاء بھی جواز کےقائل ھیں جو ایک سکے کا دو سکوں سے تبادلۂ کو ناجائز کہتے ھیں ۔ اس لئے کہ اس صورت میں ایک سکے کی قیمت بعینة وهی هے جو دس سکوں کی هے، یا دوسرے الفاظ میں یوں کہة سکتے هیں کة دس فلس کا سکة اگرچة بظاهر ایک هے لیکن حکماً وہ ایک ایک فلس کے دس سکے ھیں ۔ لہذا وہ دس واقعی سکوں کے مساوی ھے، بعینة یہی حکم ان کرنسی نوٹوں کا هے ، کة ان میں بھی ظاہری عدد کا اعتبار نھیں ، اس عدد حکمی کا اعتبار هے ، جو ان کی قیمت (Face Value) سے ظاہر ہوتا ہے ـ لہدا اس میں مساوات ضروری 30

learned brother Mr.Justice Wajihuddin Ahmad in his judgment reported

as Aijaz Haroon vs. Inam Durrani (PLD 1989 Karachi 304) (relevant page

334) with respect to the repayment of loan on the basis of indexation

due to inflation in equal value of the money borrowed in case the value

of currency has decreased. He observed.—

"....it, humbly, appears to me that while a borrower or a purchaser as aforementioned, cannot be forced to return anything more than the amount due, he may not, at the same time and by the same token, be permitted to pay anything less than that which he in the first instance borrowed or agreed to pay. There is authority, dating back from the days of our earliest Doctors, to the fact that where a contract of sale is concluded but payment is deferred and, subsequently, the legal tender loses its value, wholly or in part, the buyer is obliged, according to preponderance of view, to pay on the date, when payment becomes due, such amount, in terms of the current legal tender or in terms of gold and silver, which is equivalent to 'the real worth of the currency, which was the subject matter of or for which the contract was concluded. Similar principle is applicable in relation to loans. Thus, if a person had borrowed money at a time when the value of money was at a particular level, in terms of purchasing power, and that value, discernably, diminished at the time of return, the borrower is liable to pay at least the equivalent of the real worth of the currency loaned out to him. In this behalf reference is made and reliance is placed on "Tambih Al-Raqood Ale Masail Al-Naqood" by Al-Syed Muhammad Amin Abidin Shami, compiled in 1230 A.H."

208. With all respect to the learned Judge for his legal accumen, as there arose a difficult case of first impression in our Courts in applying

that the learned Judge, while placing his reliance on Ibn 'Abidin's work, has not referred to any specific text or its translation, of the most renowned jurist of thirteenth century Hijra. It is, in fact, an essay included in his book in two volumes popularly known as Rusail Ibn 'Abidin (رسائل ابن عابدین). In view of the importance of the subject we deem it proper to give a resume of the said essay تنبیة الرقود علی مسائل النقود و Said essay تنبیة الرقود علی مسائل النقود و Said essay تنبیة الرقود علی مسائل النقود و Said essay

If a person purchases cloth from another person in a prevailing currency and before paying the price thereof that currency is changed i.e. either it is demonetized and does not remain currency exchangeable in the market or its value is decreased or increased. In the first case the contract of sale becomes void because the price mutually agreed upon at the time of contract stood abolished. And in the second case the contract is valid and the purchaser will have to pay the same quantity of currency as was at the time of purchase, irrespective of its value at the time of payment. Similarly, if a person takes loan from another person in prevailing currency on deferred payment and then that currency is either demonetized and does not remain currency exchangeable in the market or its value is decreased or increased, in the first case the borrower will have to pay the value of the currency prevailing at the time of borrowing, and in the second case the borrower will pay the same quantity of that currency as was at the time of the borrowing, irrespective of its value at the time of payment. This is the view of Imam Abu Hanifah and the first view of Imam Abu Yusuf. But according to the second and the later view of Imam Abu Yusuf the purchaser/borrower will have to pay the value of the currency prevailing at the time of purchasing/borrowing in the currency prevailing at the time

of payment. According to Qazi (Al-Zahidi) the verdict will be given according to the view of Imam Abu Yusuf in the matter of loan and dower and according to the view of Imam Abu Hanifah in other matters (في ما سوى دالك). Imam Isbijabi has stat ed in Sharh al-Tahawi that there is consensus of opinion that in case of increase or decrease in the value, the same quantity will be paid as was at the time of borrowing.

This has also been quoted in Fatawah Qazi Khan and is supported by Qazi Zaheeruddin. Allamah Ghazi al-Tamartashi has stated that in most of the authentic books of the jurists verdict has been given on the opinion of Imam Abu Yusuf. The same has been relat ed in al-zakhirah and al-khulasah.

This difference of opinion between Imam Abu Hanifah and Imam Abu Yusuf arises in case t he loan has been taken in token coins like fulus (ناوس) which were usually debased or demonetized. But if the loan has been taken in pure gold dinar or silver dirham which were full-bodied money and were rarely debased or demonetized, then there is consensus of opinion that the same quantity will be paid as was at the time of borrowing irrespective whether its value is decreased or increased at the time of payment. Imam Abu Yusuf also agrees with the opinion of Imam Abu Hanifah in this respect.

If the Government orders any decrease in the value of any currency, then, the loan taken in that currency before devaluation will be paid in the same quantity of the currency as was at the time of contract of borrowing/purchase, provided the currency is fixed and known, and if the currency is not fixed and known then the value of the currency prevailing at the time of contract will be paid, and if contract of loan has not been made on any specific kind of the currency and there are several currencies of that

name prevailing in the market, then its payment will be made in such a currency which will not be more harmful to any of the contracting parties or according to custom. Some jurists opine that in this case payment should be made by mutual consultation and compromise so that none of them may bear any damage because the Holy Prophet (صلى الله علية وسلم) said "no one should bear damage nor anyone should cause damage to another." (Tanbih al-Ruqud 'ala Masa'il al-Nuqud, published in Rasa'il Ibn Abidin, Lahore, Vol:II pp.66,67).

209. We have given the above resume so that there should remain no ambiguity as to the view of Ibn 'Abidin attributed to him and followed by the learned Judge in his above-mentioned judgment.

210. The above mentioned book on which the Hon'ble Judge has relied on forhis conclusion does not seem to support his contention in so far it relates to loans. It will be appropriate to reproduce the relevant text of the book which is as under

" ولو استقرض فلوسا فكسدت علية مثلها واجمعوا ان الفلوس ادالم تكسدولكن غلت قيمتها فعلية مثل ما قبض من العدد . "

(If a person has taken loan in currency then it is demonetised, the borrower will pay the equivalent of the real worth of currency loaned out to him. But the jurist concur that if the currency is not demonetised but its value is either increased or decreased, the borrower will have to pay the same quantity as was borrowed by him). (Ibn 'Abidin, Rasail, Lahore, Vol.II, page 62.

211. The word 'Kasid' () means to become stagnant,

dull, of no use. (E.W.Lane Arabic English Lexicon Book, Lahore, part-7,

page 2610). The word 'Kasid' generally means demonetization or

unpopularity. Thus if the currency in which loan has been taken is totally demonetized and is out of the transaction among the people, then the loan taken in it will be paid in its equivalent value but if the currency is not totally demonetized and is still current in the currency market or its value has increased or decreased, the loan taken in it shall be paid in the same quantity in which it was borrowed. In this connection Ibn Qudamah writes:

" وان كان القرض فلوسا او مكسرة فحرمها السلطان و تركت المعاملة بها كان على المقرض قيمتها ولم يلزمة قبولها سواء كانت قائمة في يدة او استهلكها لانها تعيبت في ملكة .

وقال يقومهاكم تساوى يوم اخدها ثم يعطية سواء نقصت قيمتها قليلا او كثيرا قال القاشى هذا اذا اتفق الناس على تركها فاما ان تعاملوا بها مع تحريم السلطان لها لزمة اخدها . "

(In case the amount of loan is in term of fulus or smaller pieces of a dirham (mukassarah) which the government (sultan) has banned and which have become out of currency, the creditor will taken its price. He will not be compelled to accept this coin...because the defect has occurred when the coin was in the borrower's possession.

....The price of the fulus will be fixed as was prevailing on the date of borrowing and the creditor will take it irrespective of the degree of decrease in its value. But in case the coin (fulus), inspite of demonetization, is still in currency and popular, the creditor shall accept the same." (Ibn Qudamah, Vol.IV, page 325).

212. Continuing our discussion on the above cited judgment
(PLD 1989 Kararchi 304), we would like to refer to the observations

on the said judgment by Dr.S.M.Hasanuz Zaman, Chief of the Islamic
Banking Division of the State Bank of Pakistan, presented by him in
his learned monograph "INDEXATION OF FINANCIAL ASSETS" - An Islamic
Evaluation," which he has very kindly submitted to this Court. In fact,
the learned author has added his observations on the said judgment as
"EPILOGUE" to the said monograph which seems to be part of a book,
perhaps, ready for Press. We cannot do better than to reproduce the
same as under:-

"As pointed out in the Preface a learned Judge of the High Court of Sind has decreed for indexing a financial liability in terms of purchasing power of money that was advanced to a Finance Company a few years ago. The Decree published in 33 pages touches upon delicate constitutional and legal issues and religious injunctions to disallow the payment of interest on deposits and grants relief to the depositor by way of compensation for a fall in real value of money that had occured during the period of deposit. Constitutional discussions are outside the scope of the book. We, however, reproduce here the relevant portions of the decree that contain the main arguments. This will be followed by an examination of the main points.—

"Para 59. It is manifest that grant of interest is directly prohibited under the injunctions of Quran itself. However, a modern society is beset with the evils or ill effects of the prevalence of an economic order where paper currency happens to be in vogue and holds the field as correct legal tender. This has given rise to violent changes in

the value of such legal tender and a common ailment of such a monetary system in its being constantly subject to what has been termed by economists as "Inflation" or erosion in the buying power of the paper currency from time to time and, on occasions also "Deflation", as was experienced during the great depression of the thirties. Question, therefore, arises whether, in such circumstances, a borrower or for that matter, a purchaser in a sale, subject to deferred payment, is liable to return or pay only that count in terms of the paper currency which he borrowed or agreed to pay when such a deferred payment, as aforesiad, fell due. It would be appropriate now to quote Verse 7, Surah Al-'Imran, relating to the interpretation of the Holy Quran which ordains:

"For its (Quran's) hidden meanings, but no one knows its hidden meanings except Allah and those who are firmly rooted in knowledge....."

To Hazrat Ali, as reproduced in Nahajul Balagh, (Khutba 194, page 248), is attributed the under-noted golden saying:

"Our philosophy and our preaching could only be understood by superior minds and sincere hearts."

Guided by the quoted verse in Surah 'Al-Imran' and the dictum of Hazrat Ali above mentioned, it, humbly, appears to me that while a borrower or a purchaser, as aforementioned, cannot be forced to return anything more than the amount due, he may not, at the same time and by the same token, be permitted to pay anything less than that which he, in the first instance borrowed or agreed to pay. There is authority, dating back from the days of our earliest Doctors, to the fact that where a contract of sale is concluded but payment is deferred and, subsequently, the legal tender loses its value, wholly or in part, the buyer is obliged, according to pre-ponderance of view, to

pay on the date, when payment becomes due, such amount, in terms of the current legal tender or in terms of gold and silver, which is equivalent to the real worth of the currency, which was the subject-matter of or for which the contract was concluded. Similar principle is applicable in relation to loans. Thus, if a person had borrowed money at a time when the value of money was at a particular level, in terms of purchasing power, and that value, discernibly, diminished at the time of return, the borrower is liable to pay at least the equivalent of the real worth of the currency loaned out to him. In this behalf reference is made and reliance is placed on "Tanbih Al-Ruqud Ala Masail Al-Nuqud" by Muhammad Amin ibn Abidin Shami, compiled in 1230 A.H., (see pp.58-68 of Majmua Rasa'il ibn 'Abidin, Vol.II, Reprint Lahore, 1976).

"Para 61. Viewed in the foregoing light it is obvious that in their official pronouncements the Federal Government of Pakistan and its various agencies and the State Bank of Pakistan have neither been wanting nor slow in acknowledging, officially, in instruments, as sacrosanct as budgetary provisions and official publications, that inflation is rampant in the country. All efforts to keep it in check and to maintain the intrinsic value of the rupee have failed. Thus what was borrowed some years back if it is ordered to be returned on the basis of the same count would result in manifest injustice to the creditors in as much as that which will thus be returned would not have the same intrinsic value and buying power as it possessed, at the time of grant of loan.

"The law of Allah does not brook injustice of any kind and, therefore, whenever a case for payment, for refund of return of money, comes before a Court of Law

^{*} The remark seems to refer to the case involving the payment of fulus or any currency that is not a legal tender. For examination of this argument see infra.

in Pakistan it has to be the endeavour of that Court to order the payment, refund or return, as the case may be, of so much of current legal tender to the person entitled as is equal, in terms of buying power or other intrinscivalue, to the amount initially loaned out, contracted to be paid, or deposited.

"Para 63. This brings me to the curcial question as to how equity is to be done between the parties. For obvious reasons no rule of thumb is available to determine the extent of erosion, which the principal sum due, and earlier decreed in this case, has suffered till the date of payment, if any, or the decree. Such matter as a rule involves application of detailed accounting procedures based on official data on the subject. Simple decree on the basis of the afore—quoted statistics may not do. The case, therefore, in principle, calls for a Preliminary Decree, if one can be passed under law. This, however, does not imply that where smaller amounts or periods are involved a given case cannot be disposed of on approximations.

"Para 65. I would, therefore, grant in this case to the plaintiff a decree of a Preliminary nature for assessment as to what was the equivalent real worth of the money which was initially borrowed, that is to say, of the sum of Rs.5,00,000 as payable on 20-05-1984, the amount and date reflected, as they are, in the Promissory Note in suit. For this purpose and in order to make accurate assessment I would appoint a Commissioner to do the needful and for that purpose the Commissioner would be entitled to seek assistance from the relevant functionaries of the State Bank of Pakistan....."

⁶ PLD 1989, Vol.XLI, pp.334-336, Aijaz Haroon v/s. Inam Durrani, Decreed by Mr. Justice Wajihuddin Ahmad, Sind High Court. (Reproduction of relevant portions only)

"Examination of Sharia arguments

- 213. The paragraphs reproduced in the above lines adduce a Quranic Verse, a saying by Pious Ali (Allah be pleased with him) and reference to a booklet written by a celeberated jurist of 13th Hijra century, generally known as Ibn Abidin Shami.
- passages it would be worth while to reproduce a summary of the points discussed in the booklet referred to in the Decree. The booklet discusses the liability of payment under situations of demonetization, debasement, fluctuation in value of the coin monometallism and bimetallism and reproduces the opinions of earlier Ulama on the issue some of which we have already given in the foregoing pages.
- 215. In case a person purchases something for the currency which, before making payment, is changed would have either of two effects:
- (1) In case this money is not in circulation the contract would be voidable. The reason is that (in a contract of sale both nature and amount goods and money should be specified undisputably. In the event of destruction of goods before it is delivered or of money before it is paid the contract of sale will become ineffective. Thus in the event of non circulation of the contracted unit of money the contract of sale would become voidable because money is destroyed.

^{*} Explanatory portion in parenthesis is added by the author.

- (2) In case this money is in circulation but is depreciated in value the contract will not be invalid because money is not destroyed.

 As a result the seller will have to accept the same money.
- 216. According to Zahidi in case a person sells something for a specific amount of money in circulation but afterwards that money is demonetized the contract of sale will become invalid. Therefore, the purchaser shall return the goods if it is intact. But in case the goods is consumed or is transformed into a different form he will return the like of it if the goods are fungible for if otherwise, the price of the goods in terms of current money equivalent to the value of money that prevailed on the day goods was delivered to him.
- 217. The above legal opinion is found in respect of trading. In case it is a contract of hire the contract would become invalid and the hirer will have to pay standard rent (ajr mithl).

In case of loan or dower the liable party shall pay the like of the amount payable.

218. The above opinion represent Imam Abu Hanifa's views.

According to Abu Yusuf the liable party shall pay equivalent value in terms of other currency as circulating on the day of the contract.

According to Imam Muhammad he will be liable to pay the demonetized currency which was contracted upon. According to Al-Ghazak if a person borrows currently legal fulus which are later on demonetized he will be liable to pay the like of it but not their value.

The case of counterfeit coins

219. According to Hidaya sale for debased dirhams which are later on demonetized and become out of currency, is void, according to Abu Hanifa. But according to Abu Yusuf the purchaser shall be liable to pay the value that prevaled on the day of sale whereas according to Imam Muhammad he shall pay the equivalent of the value of debased money in terms of current money.

The case of fulus

According to Sharh Tahavi the opinion that in case the fulus are not demonetized but they increase or decrease in their value the borrower will be liable to return the exact sum borrowed by him, enjoys ijma (concensus).

The case of bimetallic standard

221. Presently (early thirteenth century) we have a multi currency system in which the different currencies are equivalent in value and acceptability. As a result the purchaser has the option to pay in any currency of his choice. Alongwith it official decrees sometime devalue one of these currencies. This is a situation in which the legal opinion is divided. It was ultimately decided that if the unit of currency was specified in the contract, it would be payable as such. In case the unit of currency was not specified then the purchaser would pay the equivalent value of currency that he would choose.

This opinion is adopted with a view to protect the buyer and the

seller from loss arising out of arbitrary discretion of either party in view of revaluation or devaluation of the currency.

Examination of arguments

The Decree, in making out the case for 'paying the purchasing power of the amount of loan, claims to have been guided by the Quranic verse 3:7 which is reproduced below:

" هوالدى انزل عليك الكتاب منه آيات محكمات هن ام الكتاب و اخر متشابهات ، فاماالدين فى قلوبهم رَبِغُ فليتبعون ما تشابة منة ابتفاء الفتنة وابتفاء تاويله ومايعلم تاويلة الا الله والراسخون فى العلم يقولون آمنابةكل من عندربناومايذكر الا اولوا البآب . "

(He it is Who hath revealed unto thee the Scripture wherein are clear revelations - They are the substance of the book - and others which are allegorical (متشابهات). But those in whose hearts is doubt pursue, farsooth that which is allegorical seeking to cause dissension by seeking to explain it. None knoweth its explanation save Allah. And those who are of sound instruction say: We believe therein; the whole is from our Lord; but only men of understanding really heed) (English rendering: Marmaduke Pickthall).

223. It is not clear in what way the above quoted verse leads to provide the argument in favour of the decree. It may be submitted that the Verses 2:278-79 deal directly with the subject and support the argument contained in the decree that "while a borrower or a purchaser cannot be forced to return anything more than the amount due, he may not, at the same time and by the same token, be

permitted to pay anything less than that which he, in the first instance borrowed or agreed to pay". The verses read as under:-

" ياايها الدين آمنوا اتقوا الله ودروا مايقى مــن الرِيوَا ان كنتم مومنين ، فان لم تفعلوا فادنوا بحرب من الله و رسولة وان تبتم فلكم روس اموالكم لا تطلـــمون ولا تطلـــمون . "

("O ye who believe! Observe your duty to Allah, and give up what remaineth (due to you) from interest, if ye are (in truth) believers. And if ye do not, then be warned of war from Allah and His messenger. And if ye repent, then ye have your principlal (without interest) Wrong not and ye shall not be wronged". (2:278-79).

- The emphasis placed in the decree on protecting the interest of both parties and restraining them from doing injustice is admirable but, as we have argued in the above chapters indexation of financial liabilities in itself is fraught with injustice.
- 225. The decree, places reliance on Ibn Abidin Shami's booklet but does not quote the passage which guides it to conclude in favour of indexation. A summary of the booklet has been reproduced above. It will be found that the early thirteenth century (A.H.) work is a good compilation of earlier opinions on discharge of financial liabilities under situations of demonetization, debasement, official devaluation of one monetary unit in relation to another unit circulating within a country, of counterfeit money and money not treated to be legal tender (fulus). The point to note is that the opinions of early

fuqaha as quoted by Ibn Abidin provide argument against the concept of indexation. The spirit of all the opinions, though applied to entirely different monetary set up, disfavours the concept of indexation."

226. We may now refer to the answer of the Council of Islamic

Ideology to the above question was asked by the Panel of Economists and the Bankers and the Council gaye its answers in the following words:-

سوال نمبر ۳ ــ روپے کی قوت خرید میں کمی بیشی اور قرش

يو اب

جو اب ورض کے بارجے میں اسلامی اصول یہ ھے کہ جو چیز قرض لی گئی ھے، اس کی اتنی ھی مقدار کی واپسی معاھدے میں لے کی جائے جتنی مقدار قرض میں دی گئی ھے، اس دور ان اگر اس چیز کی قیمت میں کمی بازیادتی واقع ھوجائے تو اس سےادائیگی کی مقدار میں کوئی فرق واقع نہیں ھوگا مثلاً اگر ایک من گندم قرض لی گئی ھے تو ایک من گندم کی واپسی ھی واجب ھوگی خواۃ قرض لیتے وقت اسکی قیمت تیس روپسے ھو اور ادائیگی کے وقت پندرہ روپے رہ گئی ھو قیمت کے گھٹ جانے کی وجہ سےگندم کی مقدار ادائیگی میں کوئی فرق واقع نہیں ھوگا۔ بعینہ یہی معاملہ روپے کا بھی ھے کہ دوسری اشیاء کی طرح اسکی قدر میں بھی قوت خرید کے لحاظ سےکمسی بیشی ھوتی رھتی ھے ، لیکن اسکیوجہ سے قرض کی ادائیگی میں کمی بیشی کرنادرست نہیں ھوگا اور جس طرح گندم کی مذکورۃ بالاصورت میں ایک من گندم کی ادائیگیدو نہیں ھوگا اور جس طرح گندم کی مذکورۃ بالاصورت میں ایک من گندم کی ادائیگی۔ و

روپے کی قدر کے گھٹنے یا بڑھنے کا مسئلۂ نیا نہیں ھیلکۂ ابتداء ھی سے چلا آتا ھے ، چنانچۂ اس پر پہلی اور دوسری صدی ھجری کے فقہاء نے بھسی بحثیں کی ھیں اور ان مباحث کا حاصل یہی ھے کۂ دیگر اجناس کی طرح کرنسی کسی قیمتیا اسکی قوت خرید میں بھی کمی بیشی کا قرض کی ادائگی میں کوئی اعتبار نہیں ۔ علامة ابن عابدین شامی ر نے اس مسئلے پر ایک مستقل رسالة لکھاھے جس کا نام "تنبیة الرقودعلی مسائل النقود"ھے اس میں وةلکھتےھیں :

لان الامام الاسبيجابي في شرح الطحاوي قال: واجمعواان الفلوس ادالـم تكسدولكن عُلت قيمتها اورخصت فعلية مثل ماقبض من العدد _

(رسائل ابن عابدین جلد ۲ صفحة ۲۲ مطبوعةلاهور)

سوال نمبر۵ - قرض اور شرح مبادلة میں تبدیلی جواب

اس سوال کا جواب بھی سابقہ جواب کی طرح ھے، یعنی اصول یہی ھے کہ جس نوع کی کرنسی میں جتنی مقدار قرض دی گئی ھے اس نوع کی کرنسی کی اتنی ھی مقدار واپس کی جائے گی ، خواہ اس کی شرح مبادلہ بدل گئی ھو۔ لہدا اگرقرض میں پاکستانی روپیہ دیاگیاتھا تو واپسی کے وقت اتناھی پاکستانی روپیہ لوٹایا جائے گا، خواہ ڈالر سے اسکی شرح مبادلہ میں کمی بیشی واقع ھوگئی ھو۔

لہدا اگر ڈالر کو معیار قرار دینے میں کوئی عملی سہولت ھے تو اس کا طریقہ یہ ھوسکتاھے کہ جن صنعت کاروں کو بیرونی مشینری درآمد کرنے کیلئےقرش دیا جارھا ھے، انہیں پاکستانی روپے کے بجائے ڈالر قرض دیئے جائیں اس صورت میں ان پر اتنے ھی ڈالروں کیلئے ادائیگی واجب ھیوگیی اور اگروہ ادائیگی پاکستانی روپے میں کرنا چاھیں گے تو ادائیگی کے روز اتنے ڈالروں کی جوقیمت ھوگی اس کے حساب سے پاکستانی روپے وصول کئے جاسکیں گے ، بلکہ اگرڈالر قرض دینے کے بعد انہی سے اس وقت کی شرح سے پاکستانی روپے کے عوض میں وہڈالر قرض دینے کے بعد انہی سے اس وقت کی شرح سے پاکستانی روپے کے عوض میں وہڈالر خرید لئے جائیں تب بھی ادائیگی ڈالر کے حساب ھی سے واجب ھوگی ۔

مثلاً کسی نے دس ہزار ڈالر اس وقبت قرض لئے جب کہ ڈالر کی قیمت دس روپے تھی اس کے بعد فرض کیجئے کہ ادائیگی کے وقت ڈالر کی قیمت بارہ روپے ہوگئی

تو مقروض یا تو دس هزار دالر بینک کو ادا کرے گا یا اگر وہ پاکستانی روپے میں ادائیگی کرنا چاهتا هے ، تو ایک لاکھ بیس هزار پاکستانی روپے اداکرے گا اور اگر بینک نے مقروض کی رضامندی سے دس هزار دالر قرض دینے کے بعد ایک لاکھ پاکستانی روپے میں انہیں خرید لیا هو تب بھی مدکورہ حکم میں کوئی فرق واقع نہ هوگا، مقروض بہر صورت دس هزار دالر یا ایک لاکھ بیس هزار پاکستانی روپے بینک کو ادا کرے گا۔" (مجموعی سفارشات ، اسلامی نظام معیشت ، اسلامی نظـــریاتی کونسل ، دسمبر ۱۹۸۳ ، صفحات ۸۲ ، ۳۹)۔

227. It now seems pertinent to mention that a seminar on shariah position on indexation and its application in an Islamic Economy jointly sponsored by the International Institute of Islamic Economics, International Islamic University, Islamabad and Islamic Development Bank, Jeddah was held in April 1987 at Jeddah. A number of prominent ulama and economists participated in this Seminar and read out their papers. Among others the following Scholars and Economists read their research articles in this Seminar:-

- 1. Dr. Ali Muhiyuddin Al-Qardaghi,
- Dr.Ajil Jasim al-nashmi, Assistant Professor, College of Shari'ah and Islamic Studies, University of Kuwait,
- Shaikh Muhammad Ali Abdullah, Standing Counsel for State, Dawa Court, Egypt.
- 4. Dr.M.Sulaiman Ashqar,
- Dr.Yusuf Mahmud Qasim, Head of Shari'ah Islami'ah, Kulliyyah al-Huquq, University of Cairo,
- Dr. Hasanuzzaman, Chief of the Islamic Research Section, State Bank of Pakistan, Karachi.
- 7. Dr.Munawar Iqbal, International Institute of Islamic Economics, International Islamic University, Islamabad.
- Mr.Muhammad Abdul Manan, Islamic Development Bank, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.
- 9. Prof.Dr.Sayyid Mohammad Tahir,
 Institute of International Islamic Economics,
 International Islamic University, Islamabad.

228. Majority of the participants were of the view that loans taken on deferred payment will be paid in the same quantity in which they were taken irrespective of any decrease or increase in the value of currency at the time of payment. But some of them like Dr.Muhammad Sulaiman Ashqar, Dr.Ali Muhiyuddin al-Qardaghi and Dr.Ajil Jasim al-Nashmi and Mr.Muhammad Abdul Manan after discussing the opinions of the jurists have preferred the view of Imam Abu Yusuf who opines that if a payment is due in a currency whose value is either increased or decreased, the same will be paid in the currency prevailing at the time of payment. But so far loans are concerned, they believed that it should be paid in the same quantity in which they were taken. Mr.Munawar Iqbal, in his article, observed as under:-

"After a careful examination of the arguments against indexation from the Shari'ah point of view, I have come to the conclusion that there are two valid reasons for rejecting the schemes of indexation of loans suggested so far.

Firstly, Islamic jurists unanimously hold that a fungible good must be returned by its like (mithl). This view is based on the authentic Hadith:

"From 'Ubada ibn al-Samit: The Prophet, peace be upon him, said: "Gold for gold, silver for silver, wheat for wheat, barley for barley, dates for dates, and salt for salt-like for like, equal for equal, and hand-to-hand; if the commodities differ, then you may sell as you wish, provided that the exchange is hand-to-hand." (Muslim, Kitab al-Musaqat, Bab al-Sarfi wa bay'i al Dhahai bi al-waraqi naqdan).

Therefore, any scheme which involves payment of a greater amount of the commodity lent amounts to paying <u>riba</u> and is hence unacceptable in an Islamic economy.

Secondly, it is unjust and exploitative for lender to insist on getting a compensation for the erosion in the value of money post facto, while he is not prepared to accept a lower amount in case the value of money appreciates. In other words, why should a lender be protected against inflation while the borrower is not similarly protected against deflation?."

- 229. In the end a resolution was unanimously passed by the participants which reads as under:-
 - (i) Paper currency notes being principal amount in matter of determination of interest, liability of zakat, bai'a salam, mudarabah, shirkah etc. are like dirhams and dinars and the opinion of Imam Abu Yusuf that if a loan is taken in coins on deferred payment and then their value either declreases or increases, it will be paid in value of the coins in proportion to the dirhams and dinars prevailing at the time of payment, cannot be applied to paper currency notes. The paper currency notes are the substitute of dirhams and dinars and there is consensus of opinion that any change in their value will not be considered in deferred payments.
 - (ii) All the ulama participated in the Seminar verified that the similarity and equality mentioned

in the ahadith of riba and loan mean equality in weight, measurement and quantiy and not in value. It is obvious from the relevant ahadith which do not give any consideration to the quality in the interest-bearing transactions of these commodities and the ummah is united on this point and it has been acted upon.

- (iii) Indexation is not permissible in any kind of loan granted on deferred payment in the way that the parties who make the contract of sale or loan in a prevailing currency may connect it to some commodities and impose on the borrower/purchaser to pay the value of those commodities in the currency prevailing at the time of payment. (Monthly al-Balagh, Karachi, August, 1987).
- as lending and borrowing is concerned, Ibn Abidin and other jurists concur that if a loan is taken in a currency for some time, it will be repaid in the same quantity in which it was borrowed irrespective of decrease or increase in its value provided the same currency is in vogue and has not been banned by the Government. But if the same currency has either been banned by the Government or has become out of the transactions among the people, then the loan taken will be repaid in the equivalent value of the currency at the time of borrowing.
- reported as Messrs Bank of Oman Ltd. Vs. Messrs East Trading Co.Ltd.and others, Irshad H.Khan vs. Mrs.Parveen Ajaz and Habib Bank Ltd. vs.

 Muhammad Hussain and others (PLD 1987 Karachi 404,466& 612) and respectfully dissent with the above cited judgment reported as Aijaz Haroon vs.Imam

 Durrani (PLD 1989 Karachi 304) as also adopted by the learned Judge in Tyeb vs.Messrs Alpha Insurance Co.Ltd & other (CLC 1990 428) to the extent of allowing an additional amount on loan based on indexation on account of inflation.

232. Professor Nijatullah Siddiqui, an eminent Economist

of Indo-Pak sub-continent based at Jeddah as Professor of Economics

in the Islamic Economics Centre, Malik Abdul Aziz University, Jeddah,

answers about devaluation and its effect on repayment of loan to

a questionnaire sent by this Court to him. His answer reads as

under:-

"Devaluation of the currency would not affect the payment of loans taken before such devaluation, in so far as the loan was transacted in that currency When the parties to the loan transspecifically. actions are private person in the same currency area, the rationale of the above opinion is clear. Devaluation of a currency is directed at its value in foreign currencies, though this may and often does affect its purchasing power at home, especially It is generally with reference to imported goods. done to serve the best interest of the people of a country by promoting exports and discouraging To the extent some people are 'harmed' imports. by it, not only the lender but the borrower could also have been affected (depending on when did he actually use the sum borrowed). Then, if we assume that the lender does deserve compensation whom shall we hold responsible for compensating him? It can only be the authority which devalued not the borrower who had little say in devaluation. If we ask the devaluing authority, the State, to pay the compensation, it will have to collect this amount (and all such amounts) through taxation, and the administrative cost of compensating all lenders will be great. Hence we are obliged to say

that the lenders should better take the risk of devaluation into consideration while lending. If they want to hedge against this risk it is open to them either to refuse to lend or lend gold or any other commodity not vulnerable to such risks."

233. We may conclude this discussion with the quotation from M.Umer Chapra's book "Towards a Just Monetary System", who is a well-known Pakistani Economist, author of several books and attached with the Government of Saudi Arabia as its Financial Adviser for many years. He writes:

"Indexation of qard hasanah in terms of a price index may also not be defensible on economic grounds because even though it is proposed with the innocent objective of doing justice to the lender of qard hasanah, it has the potential of initiating gross injustice to the borrower, particularly in years when the rate of inflation is higher than the rate of interest. Indexation essentially implies a zero real rate of interest. In the real world, however, this has rarely been the case. The real rate of interest has fluctuated. In fact, in certain years it has also been negative. When it has been positive it has tended to drain real profits and declerated investment growth thus exacerbating the long-run problems of economic growth. Hence when lenders have not always been assured a zero real rate of interest even in capitalist economics, would it be wise to do so in Muslim countries". (M. Umar Chapra, Towards a Just Monetary System, Wilt shire, page, 41). 7

234. Afzalur Rahman in his Encyclopedia of Seerah, London, 1982,

Vol.II, p.418, states:

"To sum up: 'Islam recognises the right of man to seek his livelihood on God's earth according to his capacity, ability and natural endowments. it does not concede him the right to adopt such means in the acquisition of wealth as could lead to his moral degradation or upset the social order. Islam sets the distinction of Halal (lawful) and Haram (unlawful) in respect of the different means of earning, and imposes the ban of illegality on all those methods which are morally or socially injurious. For this purpose, it has clearly specified those methods which it regards as injurious. Under the Islamic Law, wine and other intoxicants and drinks which spread evil and immorality are not only unlawful (Haram) in themselves, but even their manufactures, sale purchase and possession have been declared to be unlawful. Islam does not recognise adultery, intoxicating music, dancing etc., as lawful means of livelihood. It declares all such dealings as unlawful in which the gain of one individual is secured by the loss and injury to some other person or persons or society as a whole. Bribery, stealing, gambling, speculation, business based on fraud and deceit, hoarding and holding back the necessaries of life with the object of raising prices, monopolies of the means of production by one or several persons which narrow down the field for others, all these methods have been declared unlawful. It has picked out carefully and branded as illegal all such forms of business as are by their nature capable of causing dispute, or in which the loss or gain depends on mere luck or accident, or wherein the rights of the parties are not distinguishable." 235. Now, we take up the different fiscal laws or provisions thereof, challenged before us through the above Petitions. First of such laws is the Interest Act, 1839.

I. THE INTEREST ACT, 1839

"An Act concerning the allowance of Interest in certain Cases.

Whereas it is expedient to extend to the territories under
the Government of the East India Company, as well within the
jurisdiction of Her Majesty's Courts as elsewhere, the provisions of the
Statute 3rd and 4th William IV, chapter 42, section 28, concerning the
allowance of interest in certain-cases:

- 1. It is, therefore, hereby enacted that, upon all debts or sums certain payable at a certain time or otherwise, the Court before which such debts or sums may be recovered may, if it shall think fit, allow interest to the creditor at a rate not exceeding the current rate of interest from the time when such debts or sums certain were payable, if such debts or sums be payable by virtue of some written instrument at a certain time; or if payable otherwise, then from the time when demand of payment shall have been made in writing, so as such demand shall give notice to the debtor that interest will be claimed from the date of such demand until the term of payment: provided that interest shall be payable in all cases in which it is now payable by law."
- 236. The Council of Islamic Ideology appears to have recommended to the Government the repeal of the said enactment. Refer to the 'first Report of the Council on 'Islamization of Laws', December 1981, Relevant extracts therefrom is reproduced below:-

"This Act (The Interest Act, 1839 (XXXII of 1839) was considered by the Council in its session held on the 27th September, 1966, and after brief discussion by the members, it was decided that consideration of the Act may be kept pending

till decision of the Council on the question of Riba.

The Act was re-considered by the Council in its session held on the 11th November, 1981 (then headed by one of us, Dr.Tanzil-ur-Rahman) and its repeal was recommended with the following comments:-

"اس قانون کے تحت عدالتیں قرض خواھوں کو ایسی رقوم یاقرضوں پر جو ان کیے روبرو ادا کئیے جائیں ، سود کی ڈگری دینے کیلی مجاز ھیں ۔ یہ قانون قرآن وسنت کے احکام کے منافی ھے ۔ کونسل رباء کے مسئلہ پر اپنا حتمی فیطلہ دے چکی ھے کہ رباء (ساود) اپنی تمام صورتوں میں حرام ھے۔ اسلئے کونسل اس قانون کیلی منسوخی کی سفارش کرتی ھے ۔

- 237. The above Act was also considered by one of us

 (Dr.Tanzil-ur-Rahman, J., as he then was) in the case reported as

 Habib Bank Limited Vs. Muhammad Hussain and another (PLD 1987

 Karachi 612) and it was held repugnant to the Injunctions of Islam.
- 238. For the reasons already discussed in detail we would hold that the Interest Act,
 1839 is repugnant to the Injunctions of Islam as laid down in the Holy

 Qur'an and Sunnah of the Holy Prophet (صلى الله عليه وسلم).

II. THE GOVERNMENT SAVINGS BANKS ACT, 1873 (Act No.V of 1873)

 $\,$ An Act to amend the law relating to Government Savings Banks Act, 1873.

- 239. This Act provides for nomination and payment of deposit on death of the depositor and such payment to be a full discharge. However, it provides for the savings of rights of executor and creditor etc.
- 240. Section 10, as challenged, reads as under:"S.10. Any deposit made by, or on behalf of, any minor may be

paid to him personally if he made the deposit, or to his guardian for his use if the deposit was made by any person other than the minor, together with the interest accrued thereon."

241. The above provision of law provides for payment of deposit together with interest accrued thereon. The provision relating to interest in the above said section is held as repugnant to the Injunctions of Islam.

III. NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENTS ACT, 1881

The above Act relates to promissory notes, bills of exchange and 242. Chapter I is priliminary. Chapter II relates to notes, bills and cheques. cheques and defines various negotiable instruments. Chapter III relates to parties to notes, bills and cheques. Chapter IV provides for negotiation of the instruments. Chapter V relates to the presentment of negotiable Chapter VI provides, interalia, for payment of interest. instrument. · Chapter VII deals with the discharge from liability on notes, bills and cheques. Chapters VIII and IX provide for notes of dishonour and of noting and protest. Chapter X makes provision as to what is reasonable time for presentment for acceptance or payment, for giving notice of dishonour and for noting. Chapter XI relates to the acceptance and payment for honour and reference in case of need. Chapter XII provides for compensation. Chapters XIII, XIV and XV make special rules of evidence, special provisions relating to cheques and special provisions relating to bills of exchange. Chapter XVI makes provision of international law and chapter XVII, which Sections 79 and 80, as challenged is the last, provides for notary public. before us, read as under:-

- S.79. Subject to the provisions of any law for the time being in force relating to the relief of debtors, and without prejudice to the provisions of section 34 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908,--
 - (a) When interest or return in any other form at a specified rate is expressly made payable on a promissory note or bill of exchange and no date is fixed from which interest or return in any other form is to be paid, interest or return in any other form shall be calculated at the rate specified, on the amount of the principal money due thereon, from the date of the note, or, in the case of a bill, from the date on which the amount becomes payable, until tender or realisation of such amount, or until the date of the institution of a suit to recover such amount;
 - (b) when a promissory note or bill of exchange is silent as regards interest or does not specify the rate of interest, on the amount of the principal money due thereon shall, notwithstanding any collateral agreement relating to interest between any parties to the instrument, be allowed and calculated at the rate of six per centum per annum from the date of the note, or, in the case of a bill, from the date on which the amount becomes payable, until tender or realization of the amount due thereon, or until the date of the institution of a suit to recover such amount;

Provided that in the case of an amount due on an instrument where the return is on basis other than <u>interest</u>, the return on the amount due, when no rate of return is specified in the instrument, shall be calculated at the following rate:-

- (i) in the case of return on the basis of mark-up in price, lease, hire-purchase or service charges, at the contracted rate of mark-up, rental, hire or service charges, as the case may be; and
- (ii) in the case of return on the basis of participation in profit and loss, at such rate as the Court may consider just and reasonable in the circumstances of the case, keeping in view

the profit-sharing agreement entered into between the banking company and the judgment debtor when the loan was contracted;

- (c) notwithstanding the provisions of clauses (a) and (b), return on an amount on an instrument where the return is on basis other than interest shall be allowed from the date it becomes due till the date it is actually paid.
- S.80. When no rate of interest is specified in the instrument, interest on the amount due thereon shall, notwithstanding any agreement relating to interest between any parties to the instrument, be calculated at the rate of six per centum per annum from the date at which the same ought to have been paid by the party charged until tender or realization of the amount due thereon or until such date after the institution of a suit to recover such amount as the Court directs.

Provided that in the case of an amount due on an instrument where the return is on basis other than interest return on the amount due, when no rate of return is specified in the instrument, shall be calculated at the following rate, and shall be allowed from the date it becomes due till the date it is actually paid:-

- (a) in the case of return on the basis of mark-up in price,
 lease, hire-purchase or service charges, at the contracted
 rate of mark-up, rental, hire or service charges, as the
 case may be; and
- (b) in the case of return on the basis of participation in profit and loss, at such rate as the Court may consider just and reasonable in the circumstances of the case, keeping in view the profit-sharing agreement entered into between the banking company and the judgment debtor when the loan was contracted.

Explanation. -- When the party charged is the indorser of an instrument dishonoured by non-payment, he is liable to pay interest or return in any other form, as the case may be, only from the time that he receives notice of the dishonour."

- 243. Section 79(a) makes provision for interest or return in any other form at a specified rate. The word "return" used in the context of this section conveys no other meaning except that of interest at a specified rate, and falls within the definition of the word Riba, as discussed earlier. Sub-section (b) of section 79 contemplates a situation where the rate of interest is un-specified. In such a situation, it prescribes that the rate of interest on the principal money due shall be allowed and calculated at the rate of six per centum per annum from the date on which the amount becomes payable until tender or realization of the amount due thereon, or until the date of the institution of a suit to recover such amount; viz. the amount of interest on the principal amount, which we have already held to be prohibited by Islam, and be deleted from these provisions.
- 244. In so far as clause (i) to the proviso is concerned, a number of other terms have been used. The first of them is "mark up."
- 245. Maulana Muhammad Taqi Usmani, later on, appointed as Judge of this Court, now Member, Shari'at Appellate Bench of the Supreme Court), has stated that Mark-up System of banking is not allowed as it obviously amounts to riba (interest) prohibited in Islam. He states:

"بلاسود بنکاری پر ابتک جو علمی اور تحقیقی کام سامنے آیا ھے ،ان میں احقر کی معلومات کی حد تک سبسے زیادہ جامع،مفصل اور تحقیقی رپورٹ وہ ھے جو اسلامی نظریاتی کونسل نے علماء کرام اور ماھرین معاشیات وبنکاری کی مدد سے مرتب کی ھے اور اب منظر عام پر آچکی ھے۔اس رپورٹ کاحاصل بھی یہی ھے کہ بلاسود بنکاری کی اصل بنیاد نفع ونقصان کی تقسیم پر قائم ھوگی ، اور بینک کا بیشتر کاروبار شرکت یا مضاربت پرمبنی

هوگا، البته جن کاموں میں شرکتیا مضاربتکارآمد نہیں هوسکتی ، وهاں
کے لئے اسرپورٹ میں کچھ اور متبادل راستے بھی تجویز کئے گئے هیں جنہیں
بوقت ضرورت عبوری دور میں اختیار کیا جاسکتاهے انہی متبادل راستوں میں
ایک متبادل راستہ وہ هے جسے اسرپورٹ میں " بیع موجل"کا نام دیاگیاهے۔"

سے نافذ ھونے والی اسکیم کا جازہ لیتے ھیں تو نقشہ بالکل برعکسنظر

آتا ھے ۔ اس اسکیم میں نہ صرفیہ کہ "مارک اب" ھی کو غیر سودی کاوٹنٹرز

کے کاروبار کی اصل بنیاد قرار دے دیاگیا، بلکہ "مارک اب" کے طریق کار

میں ان شرائط کا بھی لحاظ نظر نہیں آتا جو اس" مارک اب "کو محدود

فقہی جواز عطا کرسکتی تھیں ، چنا نچہ اس میں مندرجہ دیل سنگین خرابیا ں

نظرآتی ھیں:۔

" اس پس منظر کو دهن میں رکھتے هوئے جب هم یکم جنوری(۱۹۸۱ء)

" بیع موجلیہ " کے جواز کے لئے لازمی شرط یہ ھے کہ بائع جوچیزفروخت کررھا ھے وہ اس کے قبضے میں آچکی ھو ، اسلامی شریعت کا یہ معسروف اصول ھے کہ جو چیز کسی انسان کے قبضے میں نہ آئی ہو اور جسکا کوئی خطرہ

(Risk) انسان نے قبول نہ کیاہو اسے آگے فروخت کر کے اس پرنفع

حاصل کرنا جائز نہیں اور زیر نظر اسکیم میں "فروخت شدہ" چیز کےپینک

کے قبضے میں آنے کا کوئی تذکرہ نہیں بلکہ یہ صراحت کی گئی ہے کے

بینک مارک اب اسکیم "کے تحت کوئی چیز مثلا چاول اپنے گاہک کو فراہم

نہیں کرے گا بلکہ اس کو چاول کی بازاری قیمت دے گا، جسے دریعے وہ

بازار سے چاول خرید لے گا اور اسکیم کے الفاظ میں :۔

"جن اشیاء کے حصول کے لئے بینک کی طرف سے رقم فراھم کی گئی
ھے ان کے بارے میں سمجھا جائے گا کہ وہ بینک نے اپنی فراھم کردہ رقم
کے معاوضے میں بازار سے خرید لی ھیں ، اور پھرانہیں نوے دن کے بعد
واجب الاداء زائد قیمت پر ان اداروں کے ھاتھ فروخت کردیاھے (جواس سے
رقم لینے آئے ھیں)۔ (اسٹیٹ بینک نیوزیکم جنوری ۱۹۸۱ء مفحہ ۹)

 کو مارک اپکی بنیاد پر بیچ دی هے،ابسوال یه هے که جنرتبوں سے کارپوریشن پہلے چاول وغیرہ خرید چکی هے اور شاید خرید کر آگے فروخت بھی کرچکی هے اس کے بارے میں کون سی منطق کی رو سے یه سمجھاجاسکتاھے کہ وہ بیٹک نے خرید کر دوبارہ کارپوریشن کو بیچی هے؟"

"اسسے یہ بات واضح طور پر مترشح هوتی هے که "بیعموجل"کا طریقہ حقیقی طور پر اسکا صرف نام طریقہ حقیقی طور پر اسکا صرف نام لینا پیشنظر هے اور اتنہا یہ هے کہ اسجگہ یہ نام بھی برقرار نہیں رہ سکا۔ بلکہ بینک کیدی هوئی رقم کو قرش (Advance) اور اس عمل کو قرض دینے (Lend) سے تعبیر کیاگیاهے۔" (اسٹیٹ بنک نیوز یکم جنوری ۱۹۸۱ء،ص ک) اگرش دینے (Lend) سے تعبیر کیاگیاهے۔ (اسٹیٹ بنک نیوز یکم جنوری الکیائےایک لازمی

شرط یہ ھے کہ معاهدے کے وقت فروخت شدہ نشے کی قمیت بھی واقح طور پر متعین ھو جائے اوریہ بات بھی کہ یہ قیمت کتنی مدت میں اداکی جائےگی؟ پھر اگرخرید نے الا وہ قیمت معینہ مدت پر ادانہ کرے تو اس سے وصول کرنے کے لئے تمام قانونی طریقے استعمال کئے جاسکتے ھیں ، لیکن ادائیگی میں تاخیر کی بنیاد پر معینہ قیمت میں اضافہ کرنے کا شرعا کوئی جواز نہیں ھے کیونکہ تاخیر کی بنیاد پر قیمت میں اضافہ کرنے کا شرعا کوئی جواز نہیں ھے ، کیونکہ تاخیر کی بنیاد پر قیمت میں اضافہ کرنے کاشرعا کوئی جواز نہیں ھے ، کیونکہ تاخیر کی بنیاد پر قیمت میں اضافہ کرتے چلے جائیں تو آسی کادوسرا نام سود ھے ، لیکن زیر نظر اسکیم میں اس اھم اور بنیادی شرط کی بھی یہ کہ پابندی نہیں کیگئی بلکہ بعض معاملات میں وضاحت کے ساتھ اس کی خلاف ورزی کی گئی ھے، چنانچہ اس میں کہا گیاھے کہ امپورٹ بلوں کی ادائیگی میں بینک جورقم خرج کرے گا، اس پر ابتدا بینا دن کی مدت کےلئے اعشاریہ کمانی صدمارک اب وصول کرے گا،اور اگر یہ رقم بیس دن میں ادانہ ھوئی تو اس قیمت پر مزید اعشاریہ ۲۲فی مد مؤید چودہ دن کے لئے اعشاریہ کا فی صد مارک اب کامزید اضافہ ھوگا اور اگر ہمانے کر جانے پر بھی قیمت کی ادائیگی نہ ھوئی تو اس قیمت پر مزید اعشاریہ ۲۲فی مد مارک اپکا اضافہ ھوگا اور اگے کہ کئی حان کا دنے پربھی ادائیگی نہ ھوئی تو اس قیمت پر مؤید اعشاریہ ۲۶فی مد مارک اپکا اضافہ ھوگا اور اگے کہ کہ کئی حان کی دن گزر جانے پربھی ادائیگی نہ ھوئی تو

آئنده هر پندره دن کی تاخیر پر مزید اعشاریه 2۹ فی صد کے مارک اپکا اضافه هوتاچلاجائرگا۔

اندازه فرمائے که یه طریق کارواضح طور پر سود کے سوا اور کیا ھے؟ اگر "انٹرسٹ" کے بجائے نام "مارک اپ"رکھ دیا جائے اور باقی تمام خصوصیات وھی رھیں تو اس سے" غیرسودی نظام" کیسے قائم ھو جائےگا؟"

"واقعة یة ھے کة اسلام کو جس قسم کا نظام سرمایة کاری مطلوب

ھے وہ "مارک اپ" کے "میک اپ" سے حاصل نہیں ھوگا، اس کے محض قانونی لیپ پوت کینہیں ، انقلابی فکر کی ضرورت ھر"

246. It may be stated that one of the permissible contracts of sale in Islam is bai' mu'ajjal (بيع مؤ جل) which is a contract of sale wherein the price of the commodity involved is payable on a deferred basis either in lump sump or in instalments. Reference may be made to section 245 of the Majallah which is reproduced as under:-

"Section 245.- It is permissible to sell a commodity on the condition that its price is payable on deferred basis either in lumpsump or in instalments." (Majallah, section 245).

- 247. The jurists have laid down some conditions for the validity of this sale. Some of these conditions are as under:
 - i) The time of payment must be known.
 - ii) The seller has to possess the commodity involved and it be delivered to the purchaser. (Al-atasi: Sharh al-Majallah, Vol.II, Quetta ed. page 166).
- 248. The jurists, however, differ whether any excess in price of the commodity involved in consideration of the deferred payment is allowed or not. A Hanbali jurist, Ibn Qudamah relating from Taus, Hakam and Hammad

writes:

249.

"لا ياس أن يقول بعت بكذا نقداً ومكذاتستة"

(It is permissible if the seller of a commodity says that he sells it by such amount if the payment is on the spot and by such (excess) amount if the payment is after a certain time." (Ibn Qudamah Al-Mughni, Vol. IV, pages 234-235, Beirut edition).

Some modern Muslim economists have suggested that bai' mu'ajjal may be practised in banking system and the Government has started it in the name of Mark-up and Mark-down in 1981. They opine that this system could be of considerable use in financing current input requirements of industry and agriculture as well as in the financing of domestic and import trade. For instance, if the current cost of a bag of fertilizer of the bank is Rs.50, the bank may sell it through its agent to farmers needing bank finance at Rs.55 subject to actual payment of this price after an agreed period. The bank would, however, pay Rs.50 to its agent prior to or immediately after the supply of the fertilizer by the agent under its instructions. The possible mechanism in the case of domestic and import trade may be on the following pattern: A business firm needs finance from a bank to purchase/import an item from a domestic seller/manufacturer or foreign exporter. Instead of discounting a bill or making an advance, the bank under an agreement with the firm concerned may purchase/ import the commodity on its own account and sell it to the firm at a price, to be settled in advance, which includes a mark-up over the cost price for a reasonable profit margin for the bank. Payment from the firm would

be receivable by the bank after the agreed period.

- But this does not seem to be in conformity with the Injunctions of Islam because in practice it will become a cover for continuing the present interest-based transactions. Those needing finance for purchase or import of inputs would approach the banks to buy it for them with the commitment to buy if from the bank at a higher but deferred price. The mark-up will naturally tend to be higher, the longer the period of time involved. The banks will have guarantee of receiving back the price they actually pay plus a predetermined return as "mark-up". For all practical purposes it will be as good for the bank as lending on a fixed rate of interest.
- 251. Hazrat Umar has said about riba,

(Give up <u>riba</u> (interest) and reebah (the doubtful) (Ibn Majah Al-Sunnan) page 242, Beirut edition).

- 252. The settled mark up resembles to <u>riba</u> as it refers to an excess on the principal amount, which is prohibited.
- 253. The Council of Islamic Ideology in its Annual Report for the year 1978-79 observed about bai' mu'ajjal as under:-

"اس پر یہ شبہ ہوسکتا ہے کہ ادھار کی صورت میں بائع جو زیادہ قیمت وصول کر رہا ہے وہ صرف مدت کی قیمت ہےاورمدت پرقیمت لینا سود کے مشابہ ہے، چنانچہ اسی بناء پر بعض فقہاء مثلاقاضی خان نے اس صورت کو ناجائز قرار دیاھے "

(Annual Report of Council of Islamic Ideology for 1978-79 pages 207,208, Islamabad).

It would be relevant to mention here that the Council in its 254. Report on the Elimination of Interest had suggested that use of the device of "Mark-up" (ba'i Muajjal) be limited to unavoidable cases in the process of switch over to the interest-free system and had warned that "it would not be advisable to use it widely or indiscriminately in view of the danger attached to it of opening a back door for dealing on the basis of interest." It is unfortunate that this warning was disregarded and the mark-up system has been made the pivot of the new arrangements. It is even more unfortunate that the system of Mark-up as adopted in January, 1981 did not conform to the standard stipulations of Ba'i-Muajjal and contains many features which are patently un-Islamic and involve the charging of compound interest, mark up, over mark up which was, however, later on, withdrawn. The fact of the matter is that "Mark-up" is a crude trading 255. practice which has been permitted by certain religious scholars under specified conditions. Its permissibility is questioned by other scholars. In any case, it is a device which is relevant in the contract of transactions between a seller and buyer of goods. Banks are not trading organizations. They are essentially financial institutions which mobilise funds from the general public and make them available to productive undertakings. It should, therefore, be abundantly clear that if the banking system is to be Islamised, "mark-up" is no solution and some way has to be found which preserves the financial character of the banking institutions and steers clear of interest which is prohibited by Islam." (See Council's Report on 'The Islamic Economic System', Pub.December, 1983, p.118).

It would be appropriate to mention here that the Council of Islamic 256. Ideology while reviewing in December, 1983, the progress made in the field of Interest-Free Banking in Pakistan since the submission of its report on the elimination of interest in June, 1980, observed inter-alia, that the Council had approved the sparing use of certain practices like Bai' Muajjal (بيسع مؤجسل) in the interim period to facilitate the transition but had at the same time emphasized that resort should not be taken to these modes indefinitely, as this will not only open a back door for interest but in fact perpetuate the interest system. The Council thus observed that the period has passed but the progress in the direction of eliminating interest has not at all been satisfactory. The system of Bai' Muajjal which was permitted as transitional device in certain transactions has become the mainstay of the so-called interest free operations by the Commercial Banks. The Council expressed its view that as sufficient experience in the field of interest-free banking has now been gained both in this country and in other countries, it is necessary that the operations of the banking and financial system should now be converted completely in accordance with the ideals of an Islamic banking and financial system.

257. The Council had suggested that Bai' Muajjal (بيے عرف جل) should be used sparingly in inescapable cases. However, this has been made as an instrument of policy in the PLS operations.

258. There is a genuine fear among Islamic circles that if interest is largely substituted by "mark-up" under the PLS operations, it would represent

a change just in name, rather in substance. PLS under the mark-up system is in fact the perpetuation of the old system of interest under a new name.

The concept of ' بحد '(bai' Mu'ajjal) i.e. sale on deferred payment is of this technique, though not prohibited according to Hanafi and Hanbali Schools of Fiqh and that too in exceptional circumstances, it is being misused in its wide spread use which is not permissible as the mark-up does not differ, in essence, from the interest system.

259. There is a real and grave danger that PLS (savings and term deposits) in its present form would continue to be misused as a means of opening a back door for dealing on the basis of interest. It cannot be denied the elimination of interest from banking and financial system, in principle, is a bold step. Problems and difficulties are bound to arise in the initial period. However, once the new arrangements are put into practice on straight lines with sincerity of purpose and devotion to Allah's Command and worked in right earnest, the impediments would be overcome with the help of Allah as promised in the Holy Qur'an ولينصرن الله من ينصره "Verily helpeth one who helpeth Him"(22:40). 260. The blessings of Islamic Economic System can only be felt if Riba is completely eliminated in the real sense of the term. It is, therefore, essential that the errors of omission and commission which have crept into the PLS operations should be corrected expeditiously so that the nation is freed from the scourge of Riba (interest) which is responsible for our innumerable misfortunes. Said the Messenger of Allah:

"عن عبدالله ابن مسعود قال: قال رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم ما ظهر في قوم الزنسي و الربا الا احلوا بانغسم عقاب الله ، (رواه ابو يعلى و اسناده جيد)
"When Zina and Riba become prevalent in any place it becomes fit for Allah's Chastisment to descent upon the people of that habitation." (Narrated by Abu Ya'la and its chains of authorities are excellent).

261. A prominent Muslim economist, Dr.Nijatullah Siddiqui while commenting on "Mark-Up" system writes as under:-

"I would prefer that <u>Bai' Mu'ajjal</u> is removed from the list of permissible methods altogether. Even if we concede its permissibility in legal form we have the over-riding legal maxim that anything leading to something prohibited stands prohibited. It will be advisable to apply this maxim to <u>Bai' Mu'ajjal</u> in order to save interest-free banking from being sabotaged from within." (Money and Banking in Islam by Ziauddin Ahmad, page 227).

- Thus, Mark-up system, as in vogue, is held to be repugnant to the Injunctions of Islam and the word 'mark up' be deleted from the provisions of section 79 and 80 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881.
- 263. Another term used in section 79(b)(i) is lease. In this system the bank purchases a machinery for its customer and gives it to him on hire. This method has been allowed by the jurists. However, the jurists have laid down certain conditions for its validity. The Islamic Fiqh Academy, Jaddah under the auspices of OIC in its 3rd Session held at Amman, Jordan on October, 11-16, 1986 has approved leasing in banking system. The resolution passed at that Session reads as under:-
- i) The promise of the Bank with its customer that the machinery which is likely to be purchased by the Bank shall be given to him on hire after taking it into possession; this sort of promise shall be considered as valid and permissible.
- ii) Islamic Development Bank can also appoint its customer as its agent for the purpose of purchasing such machinery, which is required

by the customer and the quality and price of it has already been determined in the contract, so that the customer after purchasing that machinery may hire it from the Bank. However, it is more appropriate to appoint a person other than the customer as agent for the purpose of purchasing machinery.

- iii) The transaction of leasing (ايجار) should be conducted at such time when the machinery is taken completely into possession and it should be conducted through a separate contract regrardless of processes of elementary promise and agency.
- iv) It is permissible (in Shari'ah) to promise with the customer that after expiry of leasing period, the machinery shall be gifted to him, provided that it is promised independently without linking it with contract of leasing and agency.
- v) If machinery is destroyed during lease period or it is damaged the liability shall lie on the Bank as being owner or the machinery.

 However, if machinery is destroyed as a result of lessee's negligence or misuse, in such circumstances, he will be responsible for damages.
- its minimum use in banking system because the best of modes in Islamic system, as alternate to the present system, are mudarabah (مضاربه) and Musharakah (مضاربه), on the basis of the ratio of profit and loss sharing settled between the parties according to Shari'ah.
- 265. Another term used in this provision is hire-purchase. Under

this system banks may finance the purchase of these items under a joint-ownership arrangement with or without security. They would receive, in addition to repayment of the principal, a share in the net rental value (after allowing for depreciation) of these items in proportion to their outstanding share in total investment. However, unforeseen repairs may be entirely the responsibility of the user of the equipment.

266. There seems to be no repugnancy in it from shari'ah point of view. However, this mode should be applied in accordance with the dictates of Shari'ah, details whereof can be found in any authentic book of figh like Hidayah, Fatawa-e-'Alamgiri and Durr al-Mukhtar whose urdu translations, among others, are available in the market.

267. Another term used in these provisions is that of 'service charges'.

Under this system the bankscharge some amount for the service rendered for providing loan etc. This does not, in principle, seems to be objectionable from Shari'ah point of view. The Islamic Fiqh Academy,

Jeddah in its 3rd session held at Amman during October 11 - 16, 1986,

has approved the service charges in the banking system. The resolution passed at that session reads as under:-

- "The Bank is entitled to receive its expenditure incurred on the process of providing loans in the form of service charges.
- Service charges must be the limited to actual expenditure.
- iii) Any excess charged by the Bank over and above the actual expenditure would amount to riba (أربال), prohibited in Shari'ah."

- 268. In so far as section 80 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 is concerned it provides that if no rate of interest is specified in the instrument, interest on the amount due thereon, notwithstanding any agreement relating to interest between the parties to the instrument, shall be calculated at the rate of six per centum per annum from the date at which the same ought to have been paid by the party charged until tender or realization of the amount due thereon or until such date after the institution of a suit to recover such amount as the Court directs.

 269. The words "notwithstanding..... as the Court directs" were added by Ordinance 61 of 1980. The above provision with the above addition—comes in direct conflict with the dictates of the Holy Qur'an and Sunnah and as such it is declared to be repugnant to the Injunctions of Islam.
- 270. A proviso to the above section has also been added by the same Ordinance which, inter-alia, provides that in case of an amount due on an instrument where the return is on the basis other than interest, the return on the amount due, when no rate of interest is specified in the instrument, shall be calculated at the rate as specified in this proviso shall be allowed from the date it becomes due till the date it is actually paid. The rate will be in the case of return on the basis of mark-up in price, lease, hire-purchase or service charges, at the contracted rate of mark-up, rental, hire or service charges, as the case may be. In the foregoing section 79, we have already held mark-up, as prevalent in practice, to be repugnant to the Injunctions of Islam; as such the word 'mark-up' be

deleted from this proviso. Regarding the lease, hire-purchase or service charges, we have already observed while examining the foregoing section, and due regard be paid to the observations made therein. In clause (b) to the said proviso it has been stated that in case of return on the basis of participation in profit and loss, at such rate as the Court may consider just and reasonable in the circumstances of the case, keeping in view the profit-sharing agreement entered into between the banking company and the judgment-debtor when the loan was contracted. This clause does not appear to be repugnant to the Injunctions of Islam as it provides for profit and loss sharing which is not only permissible in Islam but has been considered to be one of the two best modes of interest-free banking viz

271. In the explanation to the above section, it has been provided that when the party charged is the indorser of an instrument dishonoured by non-payment, he is liable to pay interest or return in any other form, as the case may be, only from the time that he receives notice of dishonour. The words "or return in any other form, as the case may be" have been added by Ordinance No.61 of 1980. In so far as the payment of an interest is concerned, it has already been discussed to be prohibited in Islam and as such to be deleted from this section. The word 'return' added by Ordinance No.61 of 1980, with reference to the context, amounts to interest and as such is also declared to be repugnant to the Injunctions of Islam. The whole explanation should, therefore, be deleted from the

above section.

272. It is pertinent to note that the Negotiable Instruments Act had also came up for consideration before the Council of Islamic Ideology in its meeting held on 8th March, 1982 under the Chairmanship of one of us (Dr.Tanzil-ur-Rahman, J., as he then was). The Council passed the following resolution:

"خاتمة سود كے سلسلے میں كونسل اپنی سفارشات تقریبا دو سال قبل حكومت كو پیش كرچكی هے۔ قانون دستاویز ات قابل انتقال مجریة ۱۸۸۱ كو شریعت سے هم آهنگ كرنے میں اهم ترین نكتة سودی كاروبار كو ختم كرنا هے اور اس كے بعد ایک نمیر سودی نظام كی دستاویز ات كی رجایت سے قانونی اصلاحات او ر تكنیک كی گنجائش پیدا كرناهے"

"کونسل نے مزید سفارش کیکة قانون هذا کی دفعة ۱۸(ترمیم شدة بدریعة ترمیمی آرڈینینس ۱۹۸۰) (The Negotiable Instruments (Amendment) Ordinance, 1980) (۱۹۸۰) (۱۹۸۰) حس میں حکومت نے اس میں ترمیم کر کے سودی کاروبار کے پہلو بة پہلو غیر سودی کاروبار اور غیر سودی آمدنی کی گنجائش پیدا کی هے، اسے ختم کیاجائے۔ نیز اسی طرح قانون هذا کی دفعات ۱۱۲، ۱۱۷ میں بھی سود سے متعلق احکام کو حدف کیا جائے۔

"كونسل كا مقصود ية هي كة قانون دستاويزاتقابل انتقال مجرية "كونسل كا مقصود ية هي كة قانون دستاويزاتقابل انتقال مجرية "1۸۸۱ (See Second Report on Islamization of Laws, Islamabad, March, 1982).

273. The provisions of section 79 and 80 of Negotiable Instruments

Act, 1881, also came up for consideration in the case of Irshad H.Khan

Vs. Mrs.Parveen Ajaz (PLD 1987 Karachi 466) wherein one of us(Dr.Tanzil-ur-Rahman, J., as he then was) observed at page 486 of the report:-

"So, it is the Constitutional command for the State (Islamic Republic of Pakistan) to take such steps as would "enable" the Muslims of Pakistan to live as Muslims. Therefore, any law which not only disregards such a commandment but

positively violates it, is to be disregarded in view of Article 2-A. The provisions of Sections 79 and 80 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881....so far as they relate to awarding interest on money claims are clear violations of the Constitutional mandate, as provided in Art.2-A read with clause 3 of the Objectives Resolution, referred to above. The aforesaid provisions of law and Rules, on the other hand, 'disable' Muslims of Pakistan from leading their lives as Muslims, according to the requirements of Islam as set out in the Holy Qur'an and Sunnah in relation to Riba(interest) and, therefore, for the obvious repugnancy to the Injunctions of Islam, contained in the Holy Qur'an and Sunnah, extensively quoted by me in the foregoing paragraphs. the aforesaid provisions relating to interest (Riba) cannot be enforced by this Court, due to their repugnancy to the mandatory provisions of the Qur'an and Sunnah relating to interest (Riba)."

274. The provisions of section 79 of the Negotiable Instruments Act again came up for consideration in the case of <u>Habib Bank Ltd</u> Vs.

Muhammad Hussain and others (PLD 1987 Karachi 612) by one of us (Dr.Tanzil-ur-Rahman, J., as he then was). The relevant observations appear at page 687 of the report which are as under:-

"Section 79 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 provides for the calculation of interest payable under a Negotiable Instrument until tender or realization before institution of suit and the Court may also award interest under section 34, C.P.C. for any period prior to the institution of the suit. The Court is also empowered to award interest, in certain eventualities, under the Interest Act, 1839. Previously the power to award interest was

"also exerciseable under the Usury Laws Repeal Act, 1855, but the same has now been repealed by Ordinance No.XXVII of 1981. So far as sections 79, 80 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881,.....are concerned, I have already held, in Irshad H. Khan Vs. Parveen Aijaz (Suit No.162/80), (PLD 1987 Kar.465), that the said provisions of law are in conflict with Article 2-A of the Constitution, and likewise it is now held that the Interest Act, 1839 is void for the same reasons."

- 275. Now we take up under Shariat S.M. Notice No. 2 of 1991, the other two provisions of Ss
 114 and 117(c) which also relate to interest and are reproduced as under:-
 - "114. Any person so paying is entitled to all the rights, in respect of the bill, of the holder at the time of such payment, and may recover from the party for whose honour he pays all sums so paid, with interest thereon and with all expenses properly incurred in making such payment."
 - "117(c) an indorser who, being liable, has paid the amount due on the same is entitled to the amount so paid with interest at six per centum per annum from the date of payment until tender or realization thereof, together with all expenses caused by the dishonour and payment."
- 276. A bare perusal of the above said two provisions will show that a right has been conferred on the payer for honour to recover from the party for whose honour he pays all sum so paid, with interest thereon (Section 114). Likewise, an indorser who, being liable, has paid the amount due is also entitled to amount so paid with interest at six per centum per annum from the date of payment until tender or realization thereof (Section 117(c). Both these provisions involve payment of interest and as such we hold repugnant to the Injunctions of Islam as laid down in

the Qur'an and Sunnah of the Holy Prophet (ملى الله علية وسلسم) and as such are declared void.

- 277. Lastly, it may be mentioned that this Court had earlier examined suo-moto by its judgment dated 5-11-1983, the above Act but had restricted itself to the examination of the provisions of this Act excepting those falling within the ambit of fiscal law, which have been challenged before us and have now been duly examined by us.
- 278. Before parting with the discussion on mark-up in price, it may be observed that the State Bank of Pakistan in order to encourage industrialization in the country devised a scheme for granting loans on the basis of mark-up at the concessional rate of three per centum per annum only, as against the usual mark-up rate of 16 per centum per annum. A number of suits came up before the author of this judgment while he was Judge in the High Court of Sind(1980-1990) wherein the Banks filed a number of suits against the borrowers, claiming repayment of the principal amount with the interest(or, mark-up)at the rate of 16 per centum per annum because the said borrowers instead of importing machinery and installing factory, utilized the money borrowed by them for purposes other than that it was granted to them. The facts of those cases revealed that the transactions "in the garb of mark-up" resulted in the interest as neither the commodity was in existence nor it had passed through the bank to the borrowers. The details may be had from the Registrar, High Court of Sind, if the Government is interested.

IV. THE LAND ACQUISITION ACT, 1894

- 279. Section 34 of the above Act has been challenged before us as repugnant to the Injunctions of Islam. While examining the above section we came across sections 28, 32 and 33 of the said Act as objectionable from Shari'ah point of view. We, therefore, issued Suo Moto Notice No.4 of 1991 for examination thereof to the Federation and Provincial Governments. Sections 28, 32 and 34 are reproduced as under:-
- "S.28. If the sum which in the opinion of the Court, the Collector ought to have awarded as compensation is in excess of the sum which the Collector did award as compensation, the award of the Court may direct that the Collector shall pay interest on such excess at the rate of six per centum per annum from the date on which he took possession of the land to the date of payment of such excess into Court".
- "S.32.- (1) If any money shall be deposited in Court under subsection (2) of the last preceding section and it appears that the land in respect whereof the same was awarded belonged to any person who had no power to alienate the same, the Court shall-
 - (a) order the money to be invested in the purchase of other lands to be held under the like title and conditions of ownership as the land in respect of which such money shall have been deposited was held, or
 - (b) if such purchase cannot be effected forthwith, then in such Government or other approved securities as the court shall think fit;

and shall direct the payment of the interest or other proceeds arising from such investment to the person or persons who would for the time being have been entitled to the possession of the said land, and such moneys shall remain so deposited and invested until the same be applied-

- (i) in the purchase of such other lands as aforesaid; or
- (ii) in payment to any person or persons becoming absolutely entitled thereto.

- (2) In all the cases of moneys deposited to which this section applies the Court shall order the costs of the following matters, including therein all reasonable charges and expenses incident thereto, to be paid by the Collector, namely:
 - (a) the costs of such investments as aforesaid;
 - (b) the costs of the orders for the payment of interest or other proceeds, of the securities upon which such moneys are for the time being invested, and for the payment out of Court of the principal of such moneys, and of all proceedings relating thereto, except such as may be occasioned by litigation between adverse claimants.
- S.33. When any money shall have been deposited in Court under this Act for any cause other than that mentioned in the last preceding section, the Court may, on the application of any party interested or claiming an interest in such money, order the same to be invested in such Government or other approved securities as it may think proper, and may direct the interest or other proceeds of any such investment to be accumulated and paid in such manner as it may consider will give the parties interested therein the same benefit therefrom as they might have had from the land in respect whereof such money shall have been deposited or as near thereto as may be.
- S.34.Payment of interest. When the amount of such compensation is not paid or deposited on or before taking possession of the land, the Collector shall pay the amount awarded with*compound interest thereon at the rate of Eight per centum per annum from the time of so taking possession until it shall have been so paid or deposited:
- Provided that any waiver of the above right by the landowner shall be void and he shall be entitled to the said interest notwithstanding any agreement to the contrary."
- 280. The above Act was considered by the Council of Islamic Ideology

^{*} Subs.by W.P.Act III of 1969.

^{**} Ins.ibid Both these amendments are not applicable to the Province of Sind vide Land Act West Pak Amend.Repeal(Ordinance/Sind Ord VI of 1971).

...

in its meeting held on 19th October, 1976. The Council observed as under:-

"قانون هذا کے تحت حکومت کو اختیار دیا گیا هے که وه معاوضه ادا کرنے کے بعد مفاد عامة کے لئے ایسی اراضیحاصل کرسکتی هے جو نجی ملکیت میں هو نیز اس قانون میں سودی معاملات کابھی ذکر هے، کونسل کی یه رائے رهی که حکومت کو حصول اراضی کا ایسا اختیار حاصل هے اور قرآن وسنت کا کوئی حکم اس میں مانع نہیں، نیز رہوا کے ضمن میں کونسل جو سفارش کرے گی وه ان تمام قوانین کو متاثر کرے گی جن میں سود کا ذکر هو جنانچة خے بایا که اس قانون میں کوئی چیز قرآن وسنت کے احکام سے متصادم نہیں هےالبته سود سے متعلق دفعات رہوا کے مسئله پر کونسل کی سفارش کے تابع هونگی "

281. The said Act was reconsidered in the meeting of the Council held on March 14, 1982. The following opinion of Justice Dr. Tanzil-ur-Rahman Chairman, Council, was also considered in the meeting:

"This Act contains 55 sections divided into 8 parts. Section 3 gives definitions of certain terms used in the Act. Surprisingly, the definition of the term "public purpose" has not been defined in the Act. Since the "public purpose" should fall within the framework of Islam, it is expedient that it be defined in the Act in accordance with the principles of Islam.

Section 4 of the Act gives the power to the Provincial Government to acquire the land in any locality if it is needed or is likely to be needed for any public purpose. The "public purpose" having not been defined in the Act, it may lead to misuse of the power. To define "public purpose" in the Act is, therefore, all the

more necessary.

The acquisition of land is against awarding compensation to the land-owners or persons holding interest therein. The various steps taken in this direction, being procedural, do not seem to offend any provision of Islamic Law. The provisions regarding "interest" as contained in sections 28, 32 and 34 are in conflict with Shari'ah.

Section 46 deals with penalty for obstruction acquisition of land and describes imprisonment not exceeding one month, or to fine not exceeding Rs.50 or to both. So far as the provision for fine not exceeding Rs.50 is concerned, Islamic Law levies zaman on such person causing injury to the property to the extent of actual loss suffered, besides ta'zir, as fixed by the Court looking to the facts of each case."

- 282. The Council agreeing with the above opinion of Dr. Tanzil-ur-Rahman resolved that the Act should be amended accordingly".
- 283. The above Act was also considered by this Court in SSM No.14/P of 1983 and delivered its judgment on 27-3-1984. The said Judgment was assailed in appeal before the Appellate Bench of the Supreme Court. The said Bench in Shariat Appeal No.22 of 1984, while allowing the appeal, set aside the judgment and the matter was remanded to this Court by its judgment dated 13-1-1988 for decision afresh.
- 284. Assistant Registrar(Judicial) informs us (vide office note dated 5-10-1991) that the said SSM No.14/P of 1983 was then re-heard on 24-4-1988 to 27-4-1988 and thereafter on 2-5-1988 and 8-5-1988 by the Ful Court and again on 13th & 14th November, 1988 and reserved for judgment, but as no

addition, additional amount on the principal which is loan that the transaction of Riba is made between lender and borrower. After noticing the definition of interest and compensation given in a few dictionaries the judgment proceeds to draw heavily upon the observation occurring and conclusions reached in Behari Lal Bhargava Vs. Commissioner of Income

Tax (AIR 1941 Allahabad 135), Commissioner of Income Tax, Behar and

Orissa Vs. Rani Prayag Kumari Debi(AIR 1939 Patna 662) and Revenue

Divisional Officer Vs. Venkatarama Ayyar (AIR 1932 Madras 199) to formula the above view.

It may be advantageous to have a glance to the aforementioned judgments which the decision of Lahore High Court banks upon. In Behari Lal Bhargava's case (AIR 1941 Allahabad 135) the Land Acquisition Officer awarded Rs.13225.00 as compensation for compulsory acquisition of two houses belonging to one Ramji Das Bhargava under Land Acquisition Act by the Improvement Trust. Ramji Das Bhargava did not accept the amount awarded by the Land Acquisition Officer to be adequate and referred his claim to the tribunal which increased the amount of compensation to Rs.97640.00 and directed the Improvement Trust under section 28 of the Land Acquisition Act to pay interest at 6 per cent per annum from the date of taking possession of the propert to the date of payment of Rs.97640.00. The appeal preferred by the Improvement Trust before the High Couret having failed, a sum of Rs.97640.00 plus Rs.49660.00 as interest was paid to the four sons of Ramji Das Bhargava who had meanwhile died. The share of one of

his sons, namely, Behari Lal Bhargave, in the interest money came to Rs.12415.00. The Income Tax Officer was of the opinion that the aforesaid amount of interest was taxable and was accordingly taxed upon. The assessee appealed to the Assistant Commissioner but his appeal was dismissed. The interest received by the assessee was taken to be income liable to be taxed under the provisions of the Income-Tax Act,1922. On the application of the assessee the Commissioner referred the following question for decision of the High Court of Allahabad:-

"Whether the sum of Rs.12415.00 received by the Petitioner as interest from the Improvement Trust was part of his income, profits or gains within the meaning of the Act?"

law the High Court came to the conclusion that the sum of Rs.12415.00 was not income within the meaning of section 6 of the Income-Tax Act nor could it be treated as profits under the aforesaid section and consequently was not assessable to tax. The High Court observed that it was not without considerable doubt and hesitation that it had arrived at this decision for, there was much to be said; but upon the whole matter it thought that it was the correct view and it bore in mind that where the interpretation of fiscal enactment was open to doubt it should be construed favourable to the subject. The High Court treated the interest as compensation on damages for loss of the right to retain possession of the property and thought that section 28 was designed as

convenient method of measuring such damages in terms of interest.

289. In the Commissioner of Income-Tax, Bihar and Orissa Versus Rani Prayag Kumari Debi (AIR 1939 Patna 662) on the death of the Raja of Jharia in 1916 one of his collaterals, Raja Shiva Prasad Singh, took possession of the properties as owner of the Jharia Raj. In 1919 the three widows of late Raja of Jharia instituted suit for recovery of possession of the whole of impartible Raj including movable and immovabl properties thereof against Raja Shiva Parsad Singh. The decree grantec by the Court in favour of Rani Prayag Kumari Debi, one of the widows, awarded her a number of movable properties, cash in till or deposits in the banks or money lent out to various debtors. In case the movables could not be returned in specie the Court fixed a valuation thereof. The total of the movables including arrears of maintenance came to Rs.25,40,401.00. The Court also awarded sums as damages for each item of moveables which were ordered to be returned, the damages being damages for detenion. The total of such damages was Rs.22,34,031.00. After the decree was passed by the trial Court Raja Shiva Parsad Singh agreed to pay certain sums in part liquidation of decretal dues. He paid Rs.18,28,626.00 towards the principal amount and Rs.8,47,611.00 towards damages. The principal amount was to carry interest at 6 per cent per annum but no interest was fixed on the damages. Subsequently there was a compromise between the parties by which the claim of Rani Prayag Kumari Debi was adjusted by fixing

the total dues which then remained payable at a sum of Rs.18,00,000.00, Rani Prayag Kumari Debi receiving Rs.2,00,000.00 in cash and Raja

Shiva Parsad Singh taking over the liability to pay Rs.4,40,000.00 to the creditors thus leaving the balance to be paid to the Rani of a total of Rs.11,60,000.00. It was provided in the compromise that all payments which would be made by the judgment-debtor in future would be credited in the proportion of six annas and ten annas, that is to say, six annas would be set off towards the principal amount which was fixed at Rs.7,16,463/1/9 and the remaining 10 annas would be set off against the damages balance amounting to Rs.10,83,536/14/3.

of Rs.1,00,000.00 which according to the terms of compromise was credited in the proportion of six annus towards the capital(Rs.37,500.00) and the balance (Rs.62,500.00) towards damages. The Income-Tax Department taxed the Rani on among other items this sum of Rs.62,500.00 which was asserted as being income received by the assessee during the year. The contention of the assessee that this amount was not income but merely an amount received by her on account of damages awarded to her for the detention of her properties was overruled. The question whether Rs.62,500.00 received by the assessee by way of damages awarded to her by a decree in the circumstances stated above was assessable to income tax, referred for decision to the High Court, was answered in the negative. The amount

received by the assessee by way of damages was not considered to be income amenable to assessment under the Income - Tax Act, 1922.

- Ayyar (AIR 1932 Madras 199), the High Court observed that right to receive interest under section 34 of the Land Acquisition Act took the place of the right to retain possession. The Court noticed that the foundation of the Act was that when compensation was payable and hac not been paid, interest for non-payment must be given from the date c taking possession. Therefore the award of interest by the trial Courwas upheld.
- In the cases of Behari Lal Bhargava Versus Commissioner of Income-Tax and Commissioner of Income Tax, Bihar and Orissa Versus Rani Prayag Kumari Debi, The considerations which weighed with the courts to determine whether interest or damages could be classified as taxable income within the purview of Income Tax Act were different from the criteria to be employed for ascertaining whether interest payable under sections 28 and 34 is Riba. Therefore, it would appear inappropriate to apply the tests of finding out a sum to be income under the Income Tax Act for judging it to be Riba or otherwise. The true tests for adjudicating the real nature of an amou

in the domain of Riba can come from the Holy Qur'an, Sunnah of the Holy Prophet (صلى الله علية ولله) and time tested opinions of the jurists and scholars well versed in Islamic law and Shari'ah. Consequently, the process of reasoning employed in the judgment for dubbing the interest payable under section 28 and 34 to be something else than Riba is difficult to justify in Shari'ah. The increase or addition in the form of interest under sections 28 and 34 over the debt payable in the form of compensation by acquiring authority to the land-owners obviously falls in the category of Riba.

- 293. So far as section 32 is concerned it relates to the investment by the Government in respect of acquired land of a person who had, then, no alienable right in respect of the said land. The Government is empowered to invest the said amount either in the purchase of land or other approved securities as the court deems fit. There can be no objection regarding investment in land, but so far as securities are concerned they must be non-interest bearing.
- 294. In view of the Judgment of Shahbazud Din Chaudhry

 and 27 others Versus Messrs Services Industries Textiles Limitted

 and 4 others (PLD 1988 Lahore 1), the Government should invest

whereever occurred in these sections be deleted as repugnant to
the Injunctions of Islam as laid down in the Holy Qur'an and Sunnah
of the Holy Prophet (صلى الله علية وسلم).

295. We may, in the end, observe that there should be no delay in the case of payment of compensation to the land-owners whose land or property has been acquired compulsorily under the Land Acquisition Act. A reference may be made to the following observation of the Shariat Appellate Bench of the Supreme Court in the case of Qazalbash Waqf and others Versus Chief Land Commissioner (PLD 1990 SC 99). Relevant observation appears at page 283, which reads as under:

"جبری خریداری کی تیسری شرط په هے که معاوضه یاتو قبضے
سے پہلے یا اس کے ساتھ ساتھ ادا کر دیا حاشے ، یا اتنی
دیر میں کة اسے قابل عمل ذکر تاخیر نة سمجھا جاتا ھو ،
لیکن دفعه نمبر ۱۳ کے تحتیه ادائیگی سودی بانڈز کے
دریعے کرنے کا حکم دیا گیا ھے ۔"

of the above Act, to the extent discussed hereinabove, are held repugnate to the Injunctions of Islam as laid down in the Holy Qur'an and Sunnah of the Holy Prophet (ملى الله علية وسلم).

V. THE CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, 1908 (Act V of 1908)

- 297. The following provisions of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 have been challenged before us, which read as under:-
- "S.34. Interest.--(1) Where and in so far as a decree is for the payment of money, the Court may, in the decree, order interest at su rate as the Court deems reasonable to be paid on the principal sum adjudge from the date of the suit to the date of the decree, in addition to any interest adjudged on such principal sum for any period prior to the institution of the suit, with further interest at such rate as the Court deems reasonable on the aggregate sum so adjudged, from the date of the decree to the date of payment, or to such earlier date as the Court thinks fit.
- (2) Where such a decree is silent with respect to the payment of further interest on such aggregate sum as aforesaid from the date of the decree to the date of payment or other earlier date, the Court shall be deemed to have refused such interest, and a separate suit thereon shall not lie.
- *S.34-A. Interest on public dues. (1) Where the Court is of opinion that a suit was instituted with intent to avoid the payment of any public dues payable by the plaintiff or on his behalf, the Court may, while dismissing such suit, make an order for payment of interest on such public dues at the rate of two per cent, above the prevailing bank rate.
- (2) Where the Court is of opinion that the recovery of any public dues from the plaintiff was unjustified, the Court may, while disposing of the suit, make an order for payment of <u>interest</u> on the amoun recovered at the rate of two per cent, above the prevailing bank rate.

Explanation .-- In this section,-

(a) "bank rate" means the bank rate determined and made public under the provisions of the State Bank of Pakistan Act, 1956

⁽XXXIII of 1956); and

^{*} Added By Ordinance X of 1980.

- (b) "public dues" includes the dues of any bank owned by the Federal Government or of any corporation or undertaking owned or controlled by the Federal Government or a Provincial Government or of any local authority.
- *S.34B. Interest etc., on dues of banking company. -- Where and in so far as a decree is for payment of money due to a banking company in repayment of a loan advanced by it, the Court shall, in the decree, provide for interest or return, as the case may be, on the judgment debt from the date of decree till payment--
 - (a) in the case of interest-bearing loans, for interest at the contracted rate or at the rate of two per cent above the bank rate, whichever is the higher;
 - (b) in the case of loans given on the basis of mark-up in price, lease, hire-purchase or service charges, for the contracted rate of mark-up, rental, hire or service charges, as the case may be, or at the latest rate of the banking company for similar loans, whichever is the higher; and
 - (c) in the case of loans given on the basis of participation in profit and loss, for return at such rate, not being less than the annual rate of profit for the preceding six months paid by the banking company on term deposits of six months accepted by it on the basis of participation in profit and loss, as the court may consider just and reasonable in the circumstances of the case, keeping in view the profit-sharing agreement entered into between the banking company and the judgment debtor when the loan was contracted.

Explanation. -- In this section in clause (a), "bank rate" has the same meaning as in section 34A.

ORDER XXXVII

"Rule	2(1)
	(2)
	2(a) for the principal sum due on the instrument and for
	interest calculated in accordance with the provisions of
	section 79 or section 80, as the case may be, of the

^{*} Added by Ordinance LXIII of 1980.

Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881, upto the date of the institution of the suit, or for the sum mentioned in the summons, whichever is less, and for interest upto the date of the decree at the same rate or at such other rate as the Court thinks fit; and

- (b) for such subsequent interest, if any, as the Court may order under section 34 of this Code; and
- (e) "
- Section 34, as above, provides that where a decree is for the payment of money, the Court may, in the decree, order interest at such rate as the Court deems reasonable to be paid on the principal amount adjudged, from the date of the suit to the date of the decree, in addition to any interest adjudged on such principal sum for any period prior to the institution of the suit, with further interest at such rate as the Cour deems reasonable on the aggregate amount so adjudged, from the date of the decree to the date of payment, or to such earlier date as the Court thinks fit.
- 299. Section 34-A has been newly added by Ordinance X of 1980. It deals with interest on public dues. It provides that where the Court is of opinion that a suit was instituted with intent to avoid the payment of any public dues payable by the plaintiff or on his behalf, the Court may, whill dismissing such suit, make an order for payment of interest on such public dues at the rate of two per cent, above the bank rate.
- 300. Sub-section(2) of section 34-A deals with a different situation.

It provides that if the Court is of opinion that the recovery of any public dues from the plaintiff was unjustified, the Court may, while disposing of t suit, make an order for payment of interest on the amount recovered at the rate of two per cent, above the bank rate.

- 301. Section 34-B has been newly added by Ordinance LXIII of 1980. It deals with interest on dues of banking company. It provides that where a decree is for payment of money due to a banking company in repayment of a loan advanced by it, the Court shall, in the decree, provide for interest or return, as the case may be, on the judgment debt from the date of decree till payment. It further provides that in case of interest-bearing loans, the Court shall award a decree for interest at the contracte rate or at the rate of two per cent above the bank rate, whichever is the higher.
- 302. Clause(b) of the said section provides that in the case of loans given on the basis of mark-up in price, lease, hire-purchase or service charges, for the contracted rate of mark-up, rental, hire or service charges, as the case may be,the Government shall provide for interest return at the contracted rate or at the latest rate of the banking compan for similar loans, whichever is the higher.
- 303. Clause(c) of section 34=B provides that in the case of loans given on the basis of participation in profit and loss, for return at such rate, not being less than the annual rate of profit the preceeding six months paid by the banking company on term deposits of six months accepted by it on the basis of participation in profit and

loss, the Court shall in the decree provide for such return and at such rate, not less than the annual rate of profit for the preceeding six month as stated above, which the Court may consider just and reasonable in the circumstances of the case.

We have already dealt with the Shari'ah position in relation to 304. interest, mark-up, lease, hire-purchase and service charges while examining the provisions of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 and the same observations do equally apply to the above stated provisions. It is, however, noticeable that in a recent Karachi judgment reported as Irshad H. Khan Vs. Parveen Aijaz (PLD 1987 Kar 466) one of us as Judge of the High Court (Dr. Tanzil-ur-Rahman, J., as he then was) refused to award interest, on account of it being in contravention of the constitution dictates and provisions. It is further noticeable that prior to the amendment by Ordinance LXIII of 1980, which was promulgated in the name of so called Islamization of economy, the Courts had the power to grant interest which may or may not award in the circumstances of each case, but by this Ordinance the Court have now been bound by inserting t word "shall" to award interest at the contracted rate or at the rate of two per cent above the bank rate, whichever is the higher. provisions, for the reasons and to the extent stated as discussed by us under the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 are held repugnant to the Injunctions of Islam as laid down in the Holy Quran and Sunnah of the Holy Prophet (صلى اللة علية وسلم).

- 305. As regards the provision of Rule 2(a) of Order XXXVII which relates to the interest, for reasons already discussed under sections 79 and 80 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, is declared repugnant to the Injunctions of Islam as laid down in the Holy Qur'an and Sunnah of the Holy Prophet (ملى الله علية وسلم).
- 306. Only the abovesaid few provisions of the Code of Civil Procedur1908, relating to interest, were challenged before us, but it seems
 pertinent to examine other provisions relating to interest as also contains
 in the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, under our Shari'ah Suo Moto
 Notice No.3 of 1991, given to the Federation and all the four
 Provinces, which are as under:-
- "S.2(12).- 'mesne profits' of property means those profits which the person in wrongful possession of such property actually received or might with ordinary diligence have received therefrom, together with interest on such profits but shall not include profits due to improvement made by the person in wrongful possession."
- "S.35(3).- The Court may give interest on costs at any rate not exceeding six per cent. per annum, and such interest shall be added to the costs and shall be recoverable as such".
- "S.144(1).- Where and in so far as a decree is varied or reversed, the Court of first instance shall, on the application of any party entitled to any benefit by way of restitution or otherwise, cause such restitution to be made as will, so far as may be, place the parties in the position which they would have occupied but for such decree or such part thereof as has been varied or reversed; and, for this purpose the Court may make any orders, including orders for the refund of cost and for the payment of interest, damages, compensation and mesne profits, which are properly consequential on such variation or reversal".

"Order XXI Rule 11(2)(g).- the amount with interest (if any) a upon the decree, or other relief granted thereby, together with particul of any cross-decree, whether passed before or after the date of the decree sought to be executed".

"Order XXI Rule 38.- Every warrant for the arrest of a judgme debtor shall direct the officer entrusted with its execution to bring him before the Court with all convenient speed, unless the amount which he has been ordered to pay, together with the interest thereon and the cost (if any) to which he is liable, be sooner paid".

"Order XXI Rule 79(3).- Where the property sold is a debt not secured by a negotiable instrument, or is a share in a corporation, the delivery thereof shall be made by a written order of the Court prohibiting the creditor from receiving the debt or any interest thereon, and the debtor from making payment thereof to any person except the purchaser, or prohibiting the person in whose name the share may be standing from making any transfer of the share to any person except the purchaser, or receiving payment of any dividend or interest thereon and the manager, secretary or other proper officer of the corporation from permitting any such transfer or making any such payment to any person except the purchaser."

"Order XXI Rule 80(3).- Until the transfer of such negotiable instrument or share, the Court may, by order, appoint some person to receive any interest or dividend due thereon and to sign a receipt for the same; and any receipt so signed shall be as valid and effectual for all purposes as if the same had been signed by the party himself".

"Order XXI Rule 93 .- Where a sale of immoveable property is set aside under rule 92, the purchaser shall be entitled to an order for repayment of his purchase-money, with or without interest as the Court may direct, against any person to whom it has been paid".

"Order XXXIV Rule 2.(1) In a suit for foreclosure, if the plaintiff succeeds, the Court shall pass a preliminary decree -

⁽a)

^{*} Rules 2 to 8 were subs.by the Transfer of Property(Amendment) Supplementary Act, 1929 (21 of 1929),s.4, for the original rules.

(i)	principal interest on the mortgage,
(ii)	
(iii)	other costs, charges and expenses properly incurred by
	him upto that date in respect of his mortgage-security,
	together with interest thereon;

12.	
(n)	

(c) directing -

- (i) that, if the defendant pays into Court the amount so found or declared due on or before such date as the Court may fix within six months from the date on which the Court confirms and countersigns the account taken under clause(a), or from the date on which such amount is declared in Court under clause(b), as the case may be, and thereafter pays such amount as may be adjudged due in respect of subsequent costs, charges and expenses as provided in rule 10, together with subsequent interest on such sums respectively as provided in rule 11, the plaintiff shall deliver up to the defendant, or to such person as the defendant appoints, all documents in his possession or power relating to the mortgaged property, and shall, if so required, retransfer the property to the defendant at his cost free from the mortgage and from all incumbrances created by the plaintiff or any person claiming under him, or, where the plaintiff claims by derived title, by those under whom he claims, and shall also, if necessary, put the defendant in possession of the property; and
- (ii) that, if payment of the amount found or declared due under or by the preliminary decree is not made on or before the date so fixed, or the defendant fails to pay, within such time as the Court may fix, the amount adjudge due in respect of subsequent costs, charges, expenses an interest, the plaintiff shall be entitled to apply for a final decree debarring the defendant from all right to redeem the property.

"Order XXXIV Rule 2.-(2) The Court may, on good cause shown and upon terms to be fixed by the Court, from time to time, at any time before a final decree is passed, extend the time fixed for the payment of the amount found or declared due under sub-rule(1) or of the amount adjudged due in respect of subsequent costs, charges, expenses and interest".

"Order XXXIVRule 4 (1) In a suit for sale, if the plaintiff succeeds, the Court shall pass a preliminary decree to the effect mentioned in clauses (a),(b) and (c) (i) of sub-rule(1) of rule 2, and further direction that, in default of the defendant paying as therein mentioned, the plaint shall be entitled to apply for a final decree directing that the mortgaged property or a sufficient part thereof be sold, and the proceeds of the sale (after deduction therefrom of the expenses of the sale) be paid into Court and applied in payment of what has been found or declared under or by the preliminary decree due to the plaintiff, together with such amount as may have been adjudged due in respect of subsequent costs, charges, expenses and interest, and the balance, if any, be paid to the defendant or other persons entitled to receive the same;

(2) The Court may, on good cause shown and upon terms to be fixed by the Court, from time to time, at any time before a final decree for sale is passed, extend the time fixed for the payment of the amount found or declared due under sub-rule(1) or of the amount adjudged due in respect of subsequent costs, charges, expenses and interest."

"Order XXXIV Rule7(1) In a suit for redemption, if the plaintiff succeeds, the Court shall pass a preliminary decree -

(a) Orde	ring that an account be taken of what was due to
the o	defendant at the date of such decree for -
(i) p	rincipal and interest on the mortgage,
(ii) .	••••••
b	her costs, charges and expenses properly incurred him upto that date, in respect of his mortgage-curity, together with interest thereon;

(c) directing -

(i) that, if the plaintiff pays into Court the amount so found or declared due on or before such date as the Court may fix within six months from the date on which the Court confirms and countersigns the account taken under clause(a), or from the date on which such amount is declared in Court under clause(b), as the case may be, and thereafter pays such amount as may be adjudged due in respect of subsequent costs, charges and expenses as provided in rule 10 together with subsequent interest on such sums respectively as provided in rule 11, the defendant shall deliver upto the plaintiff, or to such person as the plaintiff appoints, all documents in his possession or power relating to the mortgaged property, and shall, if so required, retransfer the property to the plaintiff at his cost free from the mortgage and from all incumbranc created by the defendant or any person claiming under him, or, where the defendant claims by derived title, by those under whom he claims, and shall also, if necessary, put the plaintiff in possession of the property; and

- (ii) that, if payment of the amount found or declared due under or by the preliminary decree is not made on or before the date so fixed, or the plaintiff fails to pay, within such time as the Court may fix, the amount adjudged due in respect of subsequent costs, charges, expenses and interests, the defendant shall be entitled to apply for a final decree —
 - (a) in the case of a mortgage other than a usufructuary mortgage, a mortgage by conditional sale, or an anomalous mortgage the terms of which provide for foreclosure only and not for sale, that the mortgaged property be sold, or
 - (b) in the case of a mortgage by conditional sale or such an anomalous mortgage as aforesaid, that the plaintiff be debarred from all right to redeem the property.
- (2) The Court may, on good cause shown and upon terms to be fixed by the Court, from time to time, at any time before the passing of a final decree for foreclosure or sale, as the case may be, extend the time fixed for the payment of the amount found or declared due under sub-rule(1) or of the amount adjudged due in respect of subsequent costs, charges, expenses and interest."

"Order XXXIVRule11.In any decree passed in a suit for foreclosure, sale or redemption, where interest is legally recoverable, the Court may order payment of interest to the mortgagee as follows, namely:-

- (a) interest upto the date on or before which payment of the amount found or declared due is under the preliminary decree to be made by the mortgagor or other person redeeming the mortgage —
 - (i) on the principal amount found or declared due on the mortgage, - at the rate payable on the principal, or, where no such rate is fixed, at such rate as the Court deems reasonable.
 - (ii) on the amount of the costs of the suit awarded to the mortgagee,- at such rate as the Court deems reasonable from the date of the preliminary decree, and
 - (iii) on the amount adjudged due to the mortgagee for costs, charges and expenses properly incurred by the mortgagee in respect of the mortgage-security upto the date of the preliminary decree and added to the mortgage money, - at the rate agreed between the parties, or, failing such rate, at the same rate as is payable on the principal, or failing both such rates, at nine per cent.per annum; and
 - (b) subsequent interest upto the date of realization or actual payment at such rate as the Court deems reasonable-
 - (i) on the aggregate of the principal sums specified in clause(a) and of the interest thereon as calculated in accordance with that clause; and
 - (ii) on the amount adjudged due to the mortgagee in respect of such further costs, charges and expenses as may be payable under rule 10."

"Order XXXIW Rule 13.(1) Such proceeds shall be brought into Court ar applied as follows:-

- first, in payment of all expenses incident to the sale or properly incurred in any attempted sale;
- secondly, in payment of whatever is due to the prior mortgagee on account of the prior mortgage, and of costs, properly incurred in connection therewith:
- thirdly, in payment of all interest due on account of the mortgage in consequence whereof the sale was directed, and of the costs of the suit in which the decree directing the sale was made;
- fourthly, in payment of the principal money due on account of that mortgage; and

- lastly, the residue (if any) shall be paid to the person proving himself to be interested in the property sold, or if there are more such persons than one, then to such persons according to their respective interests therein or upon their joint receipt.
- (2) Nothing in this rule or in rule 12 shall be deemed to affect IV of the powers conferred by section 57 of the Transfer of Property 1982. Act, 1982."

"Order XXXIXRule9. Where land paying revenue to Government, or a tenure liable to sale, is the subject-matter of a suit, if the party in possession of such land or tenure neglects to pay the Government revenu or the rent due to the proprietor of the tenure, as the case may be, and such land or tenure is consequently ordered to be sold, any other party to the suit claiming to have an interest in such land or tenure may, upor payment of the revenue or rent due previously to the sale (and with or without security at the discretion of the Court), be put in immediate possession of the land or tenure;

and the Court in its decree may award against the defaulter the amount so paid, with interest thereon at such rate as the Court thinks fit, or may charge the amount so paid, with interest thereon at such rate as the Court orders, in any adjustment of accounts which may be directed in the decree passed in the suit".

307. Raja Muhammad Afsar learned Advocate General Baluchistan, referring to the provisions of Civil Procedure Code, 1908, submitted that these are pure and simple provisions regarding interest, about which there can be no two opinions that charging of the same would be un-Islamic according to Qur'an and Sunnah of the Holy Prophet(صلى الله علية وسلم)

He further submitted that these provisions pre-suppose charging of interest as an incident of civil proceedings since the framers of Civil Procedure Code, 1908, were not framing law in the light of Quran and Sunnal

of the Holy Prophet (صلى الله علية وسلم). Therefore, the very basic philosophy on which the law was enacted, was not drawing inspiration from Islamic Jurisprudence. These provisions are purely based on western concept of law and that is why these provisions were enacted regardless of their connotation in Islamic jurisprudence. The learned Advocate General, Baluchistar stated that, in his view, interest chargeable under these provisions is entirely un-Islamic and will have to be struck down.

308. Mr.Abdul Ghafoor Mangi, learned Additional Advocate General Sindh, did not dispute that the interest as contained in the several provisions of Civil Procedure Code, 1908,, is repugnant to the Injunctions of Islam. He, however, submitted that the value of rupee diminishes by lapse of time and when it is recoverable it should be repaid equivalent to the real worth of money comparing with the prevailing prices of commodity. Mr.Shahabuddin Burq, Law Officer, N.W.F.P., and Mr.Javed Aziz Sindhu for Advocate General, Punjab, adopted the same arguments as advanced by the learned Additional Advocate General, Sind. The question of indexation in relation to inflation has already been dealt with by us in the foregoing pages and need not be repeated here.

309. For the full discussion on the question of Prohibition

(تحریم) of the interest we will, accordingly, hold that the several

provisions of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, referred to hereinabove are also declared repugnant to the Injunctions of Islam as laid down in the Holy Quran and Sunnah of the Holy Prophet (صلى الله علية وسلم and they be deleted from the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908.

- 310. Before parting with the above discussion pertaining to the repugnancy of a number of provisions of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, it may be clarified that this Court is conscious of the fact that it is not empowered to examine any law or provision of law relating to procedure of any Court or tribunal but every provision does not become procedural simply because it is contained in a Code of Procedure. The Code of Civil Procedure has been enacted to regulate the trial of a civil suit, appeal and application. But we have not interfered with the procedure laid down in the Code. We have examined those provisions only which create rights in and liabilities on the litigants to receive and pay interest which fall within the domain of substantive law.
- 311. In any case, this Court is now empowered as on and from 26th June, 1990, to examine any fiscal law including the banking practice and procedure and thus no exception can be taken to our exercise of jurisdiction now conferred on us on expiry of ten years as provided under Article 203B(c) of the Constitution.

VI. CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES ACT, 1925

- 312. The provision of section 59(2)(e) of the Co-operative Societies

 Act, 1925, has been challenged before us. It seems appropriate to

 reproduce the whole section which reads as under:-
- "59. (1) (Every Order passed by a liquidator under section 50, or by the Registrar under section 50-A, or by the Registrar or his nominee or arbitrators on disputes referred to him or them under clause(g) of section 50 or under section 54 or under sub-section(3) of section 54-A, every order passed in appeal, under section 56, every order passed by the Provincial Government in appeal against orders under sections 50, 50-A, 54 or sub-section(3) of section 54-A and every order passed under section 64-A shall, if not carried out,
 - (a) on a certificate signed by the Registrar or a liquidator, be deemed to be a decree of a Civil Court and shall be executed in the same manner as a decree of such court; or
 - (b) (be executed) according to the law and under the rules for the time being in force for the recovery of arrears of land revenue, provided that any application for the recovery in such manner of any such sum shall be made to the Collector and shall be accompanied by a certificate signed by the Registrar or by an Assistant Registrar to whom the said power has been delegated by the Registrar.
- (2) The Registrar or any person subordinate to him empowered by the Registrar in this behalf may, subject to such rules as may be prescribed by the Provincial Government and without prejudice to any other mode of recovery provided by or under this Act, recover by exercising powers of the Collector under the (Sind) Land Revenue Code, 1879, (or the relevant provisions of any other law relating to land revenue in force in the area), and the Rules thereunder -
 - (a) any amount due under a decree or order of a Civil

 Court, a decision or an award of the Registrar, obtained
 by a registered society including a financing bank of
 liquidator; or

- (b) any sum awarded by way of costs under section 44-B and 45; or
- (c) damages assessed in sections 22-A and 50-A; or
- (d) penalty provided for in sections 61 and 62; or
- (e) sums due to (Government) under section 65, together with the interest, (or return) if any, due on such amount or sum and the cost of process, by the attachment and sale or by the sale without attachment of property of the person against whom such decree, decision, award or order has been obtained or passed.
- (3)"
- 313. The Co-operative Societies Act VII of 1925 alongwith the Sind Co-operative Societies Act VII of 1925, were considered by Full Bench of this Court in SSM No.37/P/83 and SSM No.10/S/83, vide its judgment dated 24th of March, 1984.
- 314. Since, this Court had no jurisdiction to examine the provisions relating to interest which falls within the ambit of fiscal law, it did not make any observation to the provision of section 59(2)(e) quoted above which is now under examination by us.
- 315. For the reasons already discussed in detail about the prohibition of interest in Islam, the word "interest" or "return" are declared to be repugnant to the Injunctions of Islam as laid down in the Holy Qur'an and Sunnah of the Holy Prophet (ملى الله عليه وسلم), and as such all the four Provincial Governments i.e. the Government of Punjab, Sind, N.W.F.P., and Baluchistan are directed accordingly to delete the phrase

[&]quot;interest (or return), if any, due on such amount.

* Ins. and Subs. by Punjab Ord.No.XL of 1984, dated 31-12-1984.

VII. THE CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES RULES, 1927

- 316. The above Rules, have been framed by the Government as empowered under the Co-Operative Societies Act, 1925, to regulate the proceedings etc under the Act.
- 317. Rules 14(h), 22 and 41 alongwith appendices 1 to 4 have been challenged before us, which read as under:-

"Rule	14(1)				٠.	٠.	•		٠.	•	٠.		 •	 •		 			•		• •	•	•
			٠.	٠.		٠.	٠.						 					•		٠.				
	(h) Iı	ıte	re	st	a	cc	ou	ın	t;														
													 	 									,	1

"Rule 22. Distribution of profits.- In calculating the profit of a society for the year, all accrued interest which is overdue shall be deducted from the gross profits of the year before the net profits are arrived at. All accrued interest, that has been so deducted from the profits of the year and is actually recovered during the subsequent year.

"Rule 41.Interest in liquidation proceedings. On any debt which is due from a society that is being wound up, the creditor may prove for interest upto the date of the Registrar's order for winding up at a rate, which, in the case of the Provincial Co-operative Bank or Co-operative Bank or a District Co-operative Bank or other Co-operative Bank permitted by the Registrar to finance societies, shall be the contract rate, and in other cases shall be a rate to be fixed by the Registrar and not exceeding the contract rate:

Provided that, if any surplus assets remain after all liabilities, including liabilities on shares, have been paid off, further interest on such debts at a rate to be fixed by the Registrar and no exceeding the contract rate may be allowed to creditors from the date mentioned above upto the date of the repayment of the principal."

- 318. Clause (h) of sub-rule (1) of rule 14 of the Co-operative Societies Rules, 1927, inter-alia, provides for maintaining register of interest account.
- Rule 22 relates to the deduction of all accrued interest which is overdue from the gross profits of the year before the net profits are arrived at and further it provides that all accrued interest, that has been so deducted from the profits of the year and is actually recovered during the subsequent year may be added to the profit of the subsequent year.
- 320. Section 41, inter-alia, provides proving of interest by a creditor upto the date of the Registrar's order for winding up and fixation of the rate of interest by the Registrar in liquidation proceedings. Appendices 1 to 4 set out certain forms containing mention of interest.
- 321. In view of the detailed discussion above, the provisions of interest, challenged before us, as quoted above, alongwith four appendices are held to be repugnant to the Injunctions of Islam as laid down in the Holy Qur'an and Sunnah of the Holy Prophet (صلى الله علية وسلم).

VIII. THE INSURANCE ACT, 1938

- 322. The following provisions of the Insurance Act, 1938, have been challenged before us:-
- "S.3BB(1)(b).- Prepare statement of yield indicating the rang of rates of interest or yield on the investment of the insurers' funds."

"Sub-section(3) of section 27.- In computing the assets required by this section to be kept invested by an insurer, a sum equal to the amount of his liabilities to persons who are not citizens of Pakistan in respect of life insurance policies issued in Pakistan in favour of such persons but expressed in a currency other than the Pakistan rupee may, if such sum is invested in securities of, and guaranteed as to principal and interest by, the Government of the country in whose currency such policies are expressed, be taken into account."

"S.29(8)(b).- the loan is of such amount that the instalment of capital and interest does not exceed one-fourth of the basic salary of the employee or one-fourth of the renewal commission or over-riding commission of an agent or an employer of agents, as the case may be, during a year;"

"(c)(iii).- the loan does not exceed such amount as may be prescribed and is subject to such conditions, including conditions as to interest and the time allowed for its payment, as may be prescribed."

"S.47B.-(1) Where payment on a policy issued by an insurer becomes due and the person entitled thereto has complied with all the requirements, including the filing of complete papers, for claiming the payment, the insurer shall, if he fails to make the payment within a period of ninety days from the date on which the payment becomes due

^{*} S.3BB ins.by the Insurance (Amdt.) Ordinance, 1970(25 of 1970, S.5 (w.e.f. 21st December, 1970).

^{**} Subs.by the Insurance (Amdt.)Act, 1975 (54 of 1975), s.3(w.e.f. 16th May, 1975), for sub-clause(iii).

^{***} Ss.47B to 47Lins. by the Insurance (Amdt.) Ordinance, 1970 (25 of 1970), s.27 (w.e.f. 21st December, 1970).

or the claimant complies with the requirements, whichever is latter, pay interest as specified in sub-section(2) on the amount so payable unless he proves that such failure was due to circumstances beyond his control.

(2) The <u>interest</u> under sub-section(1) shall be payable for the period during which the failure continues and shall be calculated at monthly rests at the rate five per cent higher than the prevailing bank rate."

"S.81(2)(d).- The report of the actuary shall contain an abstract in which shall be stated -

- (d) the rate of interest assumed."
- 323. The Insurance Act, 1938, relates to the business of insurance.

 We are, at the moment, required to deal with the provisions relating to
 interest quoted above, as challenged before us. The Insurance Act, 1938
 will be examined separately, as the same has not been challenged before
 us as a whole.
- 324. Merely a glance through the provisions, quoted above, is sufficient to convince us that the several provisions provide for a range of rates of interest, guarantee as to the principal amount and interest thereon, payment of interest on instalments of capital, besides other conditions as to interest and time allowed for its payment as may be prescribed. All these provisions, for reasons discussed earlier, are repugnant to the Injunctions of Islam as laid down in the Holy Qur'an and Sunnah of the Holy Prophet (ملى الله عليه وسلم) and be deleted in so far as they relate to the levy, charge and payment of interest.

IX. THE STATE BANK OF PAKISTAN ACT, 1956

325. *S.22(1). The bank shall make public from time to time the standard rate at which it is prepared to buy or re-discount bill of exchange or other commercial paper eligible for purchase on the basis of interest under this Act."

000	11
(2)	

- 326. Sub-section (1) of section 22 of the State Bank of Pakistan

 Act, 1956, as challenged, empowers the State Bank of Pakistan, to notify

 the standard rate showing its readiness to buy or re-discount bills of

 exchange or other commercial paper for purchase on the basis of interest

 under the aforesaid Act.
- 327. The treasury bills are easily discountable with the State

 Bank and they are purchased mainly by commercial banks to earn some
 income on short term funds pending their utilization in more remunerative
 and higher yielding assets.
- 328. The purchase of bills and other commercial instruments like Debentures, Bonds etc. on the basis of interest is repugnant to the Injunctions of Islam as laid down in the Holy Qur'an and Sunnah of the Holy Prophet.

^{*} Substituted and shall be deemed always to have been so substituted by Act No.XXIII of 1972, section 5.

- X. THE WEST PAKISTAN MONEY LENDERS ORDINANCE, 1960
- XI. THE WEST PAKISTAN MONEY LENDERS RULES, 1965
- XII. THE PUNJAB MONEY LENDERS ORDINANCE, 1960
- XIII. THE SIND MONEY LENDERS ORDINANCE, 1960
- XIV. THE NWFP MONEY LENDERS ORDINANCE, 1960
- XV. THE BALUCHISTAN MONEY LENDERS ORDINANCE, 1960
- 329. A number of provisions of the West Pakistan Money Lenders
 Ordinance, 1960, have been challenged before us besides a number of
 provisions of the West Pakistan Money Lenders Rules, 1965, the Punjab/
 Sind/NWFP and Baluchistan Money Lenders Ordinance, 1960, have also been
 challenged before us. All the five enactments are similar to each other. In
 fact, after the break up of one unit, the four Provinces promulgated the
 same Ordinance. We would, therefore, examine them together.
- 330. On examination of the said Ordinances it appears that the entirestatute as prevalent in Punjab, Sind, NWFP and Baluchistan, is repugnant to the Injunctions of Islam. Those Ordinances provide law relating to money lenders, their registration and the regulations for their charging amount of interest in their respective Provinces. They also provide a certain rates of interest to be charged from the borrowers and to charge a higher rate than what has been prescribed therein, has been made punishable with imprisonment of a term not exceeding six months or with fine, or with both.
- 331. Since they very concept of money lending on interest is alien to the Islamic Injunctions and the concept of Islamic social justice, we declare all the above five enactments and the West Pakistan Money Lenders Rules, 1965, made thereunder, as also made applicable to the four Provinces, to be repugnant to the Injunctions of Islam as laid down in the Holy Qur'an and Sunnah of the Holy Prophet (عصلی الله علیه وصلم).

XVI. THE AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT BANK RULES, 1961

332 The provisions of Rule 17 of the Agricultural Development Bank Rules, 1961, as challenged read as under :-

"Rule 17. Interest, fees commission and incidentals.- (1) Loans shall be granted by the Bank at such rate or rates of interest as the Board may from time-to-time specify.

- (2) In specifying the rate or rates of interest under sub-rule(1), the Board may also specify a higher rate of interest which the Bank shall charge in the event of default of repayment of loan or any instalment thereof, not being a default due to any natural calamity.
- (3) In addition to interest, the Bank may also charge such commission and incidental charges as the Board may from time to time specify."
- 333. The Agricultural Development Bank Rules, 1961, have been framed under the Industrial Development Bank Ordinance IV of 1961. The Agricultural Development Bank is constituted for the development of agriculture and cottage industries in rural areas.
- Rule 17, as quoted above, interalia, empowers the Bank to charge interest as specified by the Board constituted under the Ordinance. The said Board has got powers to specify a higher rate of interest which the Board shall charge in the event of default of repayment of loan or any instalment thereof
- 335. For the reasons discussed earlier on the question of interest, the provisions of sub-rule(1) and (2) are declared repugnant to the Injunctions of Islam and be deleted.
- 336. The words "In addition to interest" in sub-rule (3) be also deleted.

XVII. THE BANKING COMPANIES ORDINANCE, 1962

337.	The	provision	of	the	said	Ordinance,	as	challenged	before
us. reads	as un	der:-							

"25(1)		
--------	--	--

- 25(2). Without prejudice to the generality of the power conferred by sub-section (1), the State Bank may give directions to banki companies either generally or to any banking company or group of banking companies in particular, --
 - (a) as to the credit ceilings to be maintained, credit targets to be achieved for different purposes, sectors and regions, the purposes for which advances may or may not be made, the margins to be maintained in respect of advances, the rates of interest, charges or mark-up to be applied on advances and the maximum or minimum profit sharing ratios; and
 - (b) prohibiting the giving of loans, advances and credit to any borrower or group of borrowers on the basis of interest, either for a specific purpose or for any purpose whatsoever; and each banking company shall be bound to comply with any direction so given."
- Clause (a) of sub-section (2) of section 25 relates to giving of 338. directions by the State Bank of Pakistan to banking companies touching rates of interest or mark up to be applied on advances and prohibiting the giving of loans, advances and credit to any borrower or group of borrowers on the basis of interest.
- For the foregoing detailed discussion, the above provisions 339. in respect of interest and mark up are held to be repugnant to the Injunctions of Islam as laid down in the Holy Qur'an and Sunnah of the الله عليه وسلم) Holy Prophet (صلح).

XVIII. THE BANKING COMPANIES RULES, 1963

- 340. The provision of the said Rules, as challenged before us, reads as under:-
 - "R.9.Interest on deposits. (1).....
- (2) Interest on foreign approved securities shall on realisation be credited, if so desired by the banking company concerned, as soon as possible, to an account at the place where the office of the National Bank of Pakistan holding the securities under sub-rule (1) of rule 5 is located, subject to the usual charges; and, in other cases, such interest shall be remitted by the office of the National Bank of Pakistan to the principal office of the State Bank at the prevailing rate of exchange, after deducting the usual charges.
- (3) The principal office of the State Bank shall credit, as soc as possible, the current account of the banking company maintained with it with the <u>interest</u> realised on rupee securities, subject to the usual charges, and with the amounts, if any, remitted from abroad by the office of the National Bank of Pakistan under sub-rule (2)."
- 341. Sub-rule (2) of rule 9 of the said Rules provides for creditin of interest on foreign approved securities on realisation and sub-rule (3) relates to crediting of interest realised on rupee securities.
- and (3) of rule 9 in so far as they pertain to interest are held to be repugnant to the Injunctions of Islam as laid down in the Holy Qur'an and Sunnah of the Holy Prophet (طی الله علیه وسلم).

XIX. THE BANKS (NATIONALIZATION) PAYMENT OF COMPENSATION) RULES, 1974

- 343. The provisions of the said Rules, as challenged before us, read as under:-
- "9. Payment of Interest. (1) Interest will be reckoned from the date of acquisition of the shares and will be payable bi-annually and such interest will be liable to taxation under the Income-Tax Act, 1922 (XI of 1922.
- (2) The bonds shall be enfaced for payment of interest and principal at Karachi and Lahore offices of the banks.
- (3) Payment of interest on the bonds will be made by means of interest warrants in Form 'B' appended to these rules and the date of issue of interest warrant will be recorded over the initials of the authorised officer in the interest cages provided on the back of the bonds
- (4) For payment of <u>interest</u> and principal, a holder of the bo will submit his bond to the bank each time such payments are required and no payment will be made unless the bond is physically presented for the purpose.
- (4-A) Notwithstanding anything contained in sub-rules (2) ar (4), bonds deposited with the State Bank of Pakistan for satisfying the requirement of -
 - (a) sub-section (4) of section 17 of the State Bank of Pakistan Act, 1956 (XXXIII of 1956); or
 - (b) section 13 of the Banking Companies Ordinance, 1962 (LVII of 1962) may be re-enfaced at the Public Debt Office for the purpose of credit to the subsidiary General Lodger Account.
- (5) While paying interest the Bank will pay the net amount o interest after deducting the amount of income-tax from the gross amount of interest and will issue to the holder an Income-Tax Deduction

 Certificate in Form 'C' appended to these rules.
- (6) In case the holder of the bond is exempted from incometax, he shall whenever he presents the bond for payment of interest,

furnish the exemption certificate granted by the Income-tax Authorities in the form prescribed in paragraph 27 of the Government Securities

Manual and on the production of such certificate, no income-tax will be deducted and the particulars of the exemption certificate will be noted on the Interest Warrant as well as in the Interest Warrant Issue Register."

- 344. Sub-rule (1) of rule 9 makes provision for reckoning of interest from the date of acquisition of the shares and its bi-annual payment and makes it liable to taxation under the Income-Tax Act, 1962.
- 345. Sub-rule (2) states that the bonds shall be enfaced for payme of interest and principal at Karachi and Lahore offices of the banks.
- 346. Sub-rule (3) lays down the mode of payment of interest by means o interest warrants and recording of the date of issue of interest warrant over the initials of the authorised offficer on the back of the bonds.
- 347. Sub-rule (4) enjoins the holder of the bond to submit his bone to the bank each time for payment of interest and principal.
- 348. Sub-rule (4-A) relates to re-enfacement of the bonds at the Public Debt Office for the purpose of credit to the subsidiary General Ledger Account.
- 349. Sub-rule (5) is in respect of the deduction of income tax from the gross amount of <u>interest</u> payable on the bond and sub-rule (6) deals with the exemption enjoyed by holder of the bond for paying income tax or the interest payable on the bond.
- 350. In view of the detailed discussion regarding interest, already made above, the provisions of rule 9 referring to interest are held to be repugnant to the Injunctions of Islam.

351.

XX. THE BANKING COMPANIES (RECOVERY OF LOANS) ORDINANCE, 1979.

of Loans) Ordinance, 1979, as challenged before us, read as under:-

The provisions of section 8 of the Banking Companies (Recovery

(2) The decree shall provide for interest on the judgment debt from
the date of investigation of the suit till payment at the contracted rate or
at the rate of two per cent above the bank rate, whichever is the higher
(2-A)
(2) The decree shall provide for interest or return, as the case may
be, on the judgment-debt from the date of decree till payment:-
(a) in the case of <u>interest</u> -bearing loans, for <u>interest</u> at the contracted rate or at the rate of two per cent above the bank rate whichever is the higher;
(b) in the case of loans given on the basis of mark-up in price, lease, hire purchase or service charges, for the contracted rate of mark-up, rental, hire or service charges, as the case may be or at the latest rate of the banking company for similar loans, whichever is the higher; or
(c)"
352. The Banking Companies (Recovery of Loans) Ordinance XIX of 1979,
was considered in Habib Bank Limited Vs. Muhammad Hussain and others
(PLD 1987, Karachi, 612) by one of us, Dr. Tanzil-ur-Rahman, J. (as he th
was), wherein it was, interalia, observed that the Holy Qur'an and Sunns
of the Holy Prophet(صلى الله علية وسلم) blocks the road of the monopol
capitalism through its anti-monopolistic laws in general and the abolition
of all forms and all rates of interest in particular. Having tested the

validity of the vires of the provisions of interest contained in the said

statute, it was observed that section 8 of the Ordinance regulates the

rate of interest payable under the decree from the date of institution of the suit till payment. Prior to the aforesaid Ordinance, the Court was not bound to allow the interest at two per cent above the Bank rate or at the contracted rate whichever is higher, but it has now been so provided expressly in section 8(2) of the Ordinance.

- It may, however, be noted that the jurisdiction of the High Court to declare a provision of law made subsequent to the promulgation of Martial Law during 5th July, 1977, and 29th December, 1985, was saved by Article 270-A of the Constitution and the Full Bench Judgment of the Sind High Court in a Constitution Petition reported as Muhammad Bachal Memon Versus Government of Sind (PLD 1987 Karachi 296) was a block as the said Article 270-A of the Constitution had provided a blanket protection to all such laws. But this Court while exercising its jurisdiction under Chapter 3-A of the Constitution has no such constraint to strike down a provision of law as repugnant to the Injunction of Islam whether promulgated before, during or after imposition of Martial Law.
- 354. For the reasons discussed in detail in the aforegoing pages, the whole section 8(2) (a) relating to interest, Section 8(2)(b) relating to mark-up are held repugnant to the Injunctions of Islam as laid down in the Holy Qur'an and Sunnah of the Holy Prophet (صلح الله علية وسلم

Now reverting to the other submission of Mr.S.M.Zafar 355. regarding loan agreement entered into between a Bank or a financial institution on the one hand, and a borrower on the other. It will suffice to say that our jurisdiction, as conferred under Article 203D(1), of the Constitution is limited to examine and decide the question whethe or not any law or provision of law custom or usage is repugnant to the Injunctions of Islam. It does not extend independently to the question of determining individual's right or liability, relating to the validity or Reference may continuance of an agreement between the parties. also be made to the following observation of the Shari'at Appellate Bench of the Supreme Court in an unreported Shariat Appeal No.6 of 1989 Government of the Punjab through Secretary Finance Lahore Vs. Sakhi Muhammad Assistant Professor College of Education for Science & another against the judgment of this Court dated 20-10-1988 in Shariat Petition No.4/I of 1985.

as Chairman, Shariat Appellate Bench of the Supreme Court, observed that the grievance of the respondent seems to be that discrimination is being practised between civil servants who are promotees and direct recruits and are similarly situated in their rank and such discrimination is repugnant to the Injunctions of Islam. This plea found favour with the Federal Shariat Court and in the impugned judgment dated 20-10-1988, it was declared that the respondent herein was also

entitled to all benefits to which the promotees of the department are eligible.

It was thus observed that :-

"Unfortunately we are at a loss to see how such a relief could have been given by the Federal Shariat Court in exercise of its powers and jurisdiction under Article 203-D of the Constitution."

It was further observed that :-

"Under the above provisions of the Constitution, the Federal Shariat Court may examine and decide the question whether or not any law or provision of law is repugnant to the Injunctions of Islam and if it comes to the conclusion that the provision is repugnant to the Injunctions of Islam it shall, while so doing, give reasons for this decision and also indicate the extent to which the impugned law is so repugnant and furthermore proceed to specify the date on which its decision shall take effect.

The impugned judgement does not indicate what law or provision of law has been found repugnant to the Injunctions of Islam nor contains any reasons for coming to this conclusion nor indicate the extent to which the repugnancy extended, nor specifies the date from which its decision shall take effect."

It was further observed that :-

"..... the declaration made is based on the agreement of the parties and not for any other reason. Furthermore no date has been specified from which its decision is to take effect. To the contrary, retrospective effect appears to have been given to the decision. Hence the impugned judgment does not appear to be in accordance with law."

357. This Court, therefore, has no jurisdiction to examine the question relating to agreements as stated above. Mr.Khalid M.Ishaq has also stated the same thing in his Note.

358. Since Mr.S.M.Zafar, has called upon us to consider this question, perhaps unmindful of the fact that we have no jurisdiction to adjudicate upon right and liabilities arising out of an agreement between parties, it may be observed tha Islam lays great stress on the fulfilment of agreements. The Holy Qur'an enjoins upon Muslims:

"O' Ye who believe, Fulfil your Covenants".

In Surah <u>Al-Mominun</u>, while defining the questions or qualities (ارصاف) of Muslims, it is declared:

The other Verse of the Holy Qur'an (17:34) says: بنوا بالعهد ان العهدكان نسئولا i.e. keep your covenant.Lo! of the covenant it will be asked.

359. The Holy Prophet (صلى الله عليه وسلم) is also reported to have said, " المسلمون على شروطهم "The Muslims are to abide by their terms and conditions". (Abu Dawud, Sunan, Karachi, vol.ii, p.150).

Imam Tirmizi has, however, added to the above:

"Except the condition that forbids any thing lawful or makes permissible anything prohibited". (Jami' Tirmizi, Karachi, vol.i. p.251).

360. Imam Baihaqqi has further added in his al-Sunan al-Kubra:

ما وافق الحق منها ،

"That which is in consonance with what is right".

- 361. The Holy Prophet(صلى الله عليه وسلم) has clearly stated in another Hadith : ما كان من شرط ليس فــى كتــاب الله فهــو بــاطــل
 "Any condition that is not in the Book of Allah is void." (Sahih'al-Bukhari Istanbol, vol.iii.p.29).
- 362. As a consequence of the above Verses of the Holy Qur'an and traditions of the Holy Prophet (صلى الله عليه وسلم) an agreement or condition which is contrary to the Injunctions of Islam is not permitte in Islam.
- 363. There are contracts which being against the Injunctions of the Holy Qur'an are void and cannot be enforced. See Surah

 Al-Baqarah Verse 275 wherein Almighty Allah permitted trading and has forbidden interest (اربا الله البيع وحسرم السربا الله البيع وحسرم السربا الله البيع وحسرم السربا (to riba, interest), such are rightful owners o the Hell-fire. They will abide there. It, therefore, follows that while a trading contracts is enforceable in law, a contract of interest or based on interest is not enforceable to the extent of interest.

364. We would like to add here that all the Petitioners, except few, are the borrowers of some Banks and Financial Institutions or of Co-operative Finance Corporations or Societies. They being Muslims, are expected to know it fully well that Islam has forbidden the Interest, as they have themselves so expressly stated in their Petitions. It is manifest that the Holy Qur'an was not revealed today. The Qur'anic mandate prohibitting riba is in existence since fourteen hundred years ago. This Court does not make the Law; it only expresses it, which already exists in the Holy Qur'an and Sunnah of the Holy Prophet (ملى الله عليه وسلسم).

that the interest be wiped off, or the interest paid already be counted towards payment of capital or that directions be issued to the Banks and other Financial Institutions restraining them from claiming or recovering from the Petitioners the amount of interest due against them on the loans borrowed by them. In this connection, we would like to make it clear that this Court has a limited jurisdiction as to declaring a law or provision of law whether or not it is repugnant to the Injunctions of Islam. It has no jurisdiction to grant any relief by way of issuing Injunctions or staying proceedings pending before a Court of Law. All such request,

366. Now referring to another submission of Mr.S.M.Zafar that as the universal economic system is based on interest, any departure from it will amount to economic collapse. There seems to be a misgiving in this proposition.

367. Prof.Dr.Sayyid Muhammad Tahir of International Institute of Economics International Islamic University, Islamabad has submitted his note on the said issue on a short notice of one day only on the personal request of Chief Justice. He has been recently re-called from the Faculty of Economics, International Islamic University, Malaysia after serving there for about four years on deputation. In the said note he stated that Departure from interest does not mean abolition of profitable opportunities. Rather these are going to take a new shape. As long as profits will be there and "interests" (not necessarily 'the rate of interest') of the concerned parties properly safe-guarded, international transactions will take place normally. There is no reason to think otherwise.

One may at this stage raise the following objections:-

The alternative system for the present interest-based system may have the following problems:

- Operationally, it may be too complex to be welcomed by the economic agents on the international scene.
- Uncertainties in profits, coupled with the problem of moral hazard, would cause the system to bog down in operation.

Again these are unfounded reasons --- based on some apprehensions rather than concrete logic or practical proof. To clear the thinking on the subject, the following points should help.

- I. Main international transactions are as follows:
 - a. Financing of trade (exports and imports)
 - b. International capital flows whereby residents of one country simply move their funds from one country to another in order to earn interest.
 - Direct foreign investment --- In principle, this is different from (b).
 - d. Transfer of funds in lieu of foreign remittances
 - e. Borrowing and lending at the government level with other governments, international financial institutions (such as, the World Bank and the IMF) and commercial banks.
 - f. Borrowing and lending by private businesses (individuals and corporations) in the international financial markets in the form of loans or bonds—— In the case of Pakistan, the scale of this thing is most likely neglible; in most cases the Government provides the guarantee and de facto the so-called project-related borrowings by private and semi-Government bodies (such as Wapda) become borrowing at the Government level.
 - g. Buying and selling of foreign exchange (both spot and forward) to facilitate the above transactions as well as to seek profit through the foreign exchange transactions per se.

Now picture should be clear. We note the issue point-wise:

A. Financing of exports can be done by banks acting as traders rather than financiers in the same transactions. That is, banks amke a recourse to <u>murabaha</u>. This is already being done by Islamic banks the world over; effectively, all of them are generating at least the same "profit margins" as the "interest margins" as per old loaning transactions in lieu of trade. There is no reason as to why foreign banks would resist this new contractual arrangement with exporters and importers. On the other hand, in recent times the international banking system has become extremely competitive. Now we look forward to there being more banks willing to

finance exports and imports on a trading (murabaha) basis than the entire volume of Pakistan's exports and imports.

B+C. International capital flows are welcome, but not critical.

When export and import financing is already arranged, these are of secondary importance. Such inflows do affect liquidity position in a country; but outflows, which are beyond the countrol of domestic economy, work otherwise. In present times, Pakistan stands no chance of competing with the U.S.A.. Germany, Japan and other developed countries on this score.

What is important for practical purpose is direct foreign investment. In this regard, we have to provide proper ownership safeguards to foreign investors and guarantees for repatriation of their profits. Foreign investment has nothing to do with "interest"; it is guided by "profits".

- D. Again this has nothig to do with interest. The system will work as it does at present.
- E. This is the sore point. Government has to stop borrowing from international capital markets for meeting its current (administrative) expenditures needs. Funding can be arranged for economically profitable projects on a profit-loss sharing basis. So far, we have not done our homework on this subject; in fact, this stems from a clear unwillingness by bureaucracy to try fresh ideas.
- F. Right now, there is no significant borrowing and lending by private quarters in Pakistan. So this is not an issue.
- G. Foreign exchange market will continue to operate as usual.
 There will be spot and forward markets (the later along the lines on bai' sallam contracts)
- II. It would be in order to point out that the system of interest has shown seeds of its own destruction. The 3rd world debt problem and consequential losses for international banks prove this point. In the face of easily available credit on an interest-basis, Pakistan too has compounded serious indebtednes

internationally. A closure of this door will result in fiscal discipline and healthy Pakistani economy, and not vice versa. So the sooner we close this door of interest in international transactions, the better it will be.

III. The argument for not banning interest from international transactions might have some weight 15 years ago. Now internationally there is awareness about Islamic financing and banking. There is also growing international acceptance of the Islamic options of financing. There are a host of Islamic banks in Muslim countries as well as non-Muslim countries. --- Darul Mal of Switzerland is an example of the later category. Now even non-Islamic banks and financial institutions are offering Islamic financing options in Pakistan. For example, Ist Modaraba by the Grindlays Banks. The Prudential Insurance company has even initiated its 2nd Prudential Modaraba.

To sum up, the case of banning of interest leading to a collapse of the international system has no merit. The logic and evidence both prove otherwise."

368. We would now like to refer a passage from Anwar Iqbal Qureshi'r book on 'Islam & the Theory of Interest'. It reads as under:-

"These people realise that the fixed rates of interest are exercising a very sinister influence on economic development and instead of openly proclaiming that the society should abolish the bonds and debentures (interest-bearing debts) and allow only "shares" (partnership in which they share profit and losses), they beat about the bush by wanting interest varying bonds and other complicated measures and not facing the single real problem of abolishing interest." (P.214).

- 369. So far as the banking system in Pakistan is concerned, it has to undergo a change on the basis of profit-loss-sharing in its several forms like Mudarabah, Musharakah etc. With the possible exception of current accounts, the nature of bank deposits will have to be changed from loan to investment. Authorising the Banks to make investments in purchase of shares of the companies on equity basis may also be helpful. During last few years there has been deregulation of the banks in some of the European countries. As a result, the Banks are involved in investment on equity basis.
- 370. It is worthwhile to mention here that a Workshop was arranged in 1984 by the International Institute of Islamic Economics, Islamabad on the elimination of interest from Government transactions. This Workshop in its report, after discussing the matter in detail, has given its recommendations for meeting the situation likely to arise from abolition of interest from Government transactions. The suggestions are reproduced as under:
 - i) "Recognising that though the elimination of interest is not likely to affect the overall level of savings in the economy, and may in fact favourably affect the efficiency in the use of savings, the participants felt that due attention should be given to the adjustment problems that the Government would be faced with on account of the likely decline in Government receipts from various schemes. In this respect the participants suggested the following multi-pronged approach:
 - A thorough scrutiny should be carried out of all public expenditures with a view to eliminating

all waste in such expenditure and cutting down relatively less essential expenditure.

- Government requirements for funds should be reduced b) by bringing about greateer participation of the private sector in both productive and social sectors. Though it is true that the present Government has recognised the vital role private sector can play in developing the productive capacity of the economy and has provided to it a lot of concessions, there is still vast scope for tapping the real potential of the private sector through further reduction in controls and bureaucratic procedures governing private investment. In addition, Government should divest of all such public undertakings which can be operated by the private sector, except justified by overall public interest. Measures should also be taken to increase the defficiency and profitability of public sector enterprises through induction of professional management among others. Considerable scope also exists for enhancing the participation of the private sector in the social welfare field.
- c) The institution of <u>awqaf</u>, which played a very prominent role in social welfare activities in early Islamic period, should be revitalised.
- d) Efforts should be made to mobilise resources by offering <u>Mudarba</u> Bonds to finance such activities of the Government as promise a reasonable return to savers from such Mudaraba Bonds.
- e) Interest-free Government Bonds may be floated by the Government and suitable tax incentives may be provided to induce people to invest in them.
- f) Additional resources may be raised by the Government by widening the tax based and reducing tax evasion through appropriate reform in the tax system.
- g) People should be motivated to cultivate the spirit of self-sacrifice for noble causes, such as strengthening the defence capability of the country be offering a part of their savings to Government on interest-free basis.
- ii) The above mentioned measures can assist the Government

in offsetting the decline in resource flow from the saving schemes after the elimination of interest. Utmost efforts should be made to so manage the situation that increased resort to borrowing from the banking system is avoided. Excessive borrowing from the banking system leads to inflation which mitilates against the Islamic objective of justice and equity. The participants recognised that despite best efforts on the part of the Government to reduce expenditure and mobilise additional resources a somewhat increased resort to borrowing from the banking system may be necessary as the adjustment measures suggested above will take some time to yield desired results. Since increased resort to the banking system by the Government will tend to push up the rate of monetary expansion, it would be advisable to scrutinise the use that is currently being made of the bank resources by the private sector and public sector enterprises and to reduce their reliance on the banking system for relatively less essential uses. Presently, a good deal of borrowings from the banks is for financing of inventories and there is scope for reduction of bank credit against inventories. Again, the dependence of big firms on bank credit can be reduced by encouraging them to find larger resources by increases in their equity capital." (Report of Workshop on Elimination of Inter on Government Transactions, pages 13-15, Islamabad.

- 371. It is noticeable that interest-free banks are also functioning in Iran, Egypt, Jordan, Malaysia and some other countries. The Islamic Development Bank, Jeddah, is also reported to be functioning on interest-free-basis.
- 372. In Iran a bill on interest-free banking was laid before

the Islamic Consultative Assembly of the Islamic Republic of Iran (the Majlis) on August 30, 1983, when the Majlis finally approved the bill on interest-free banking. The bill was ratified by the Gardian Council ' شوراح نكيان ' two days later, on September 1. The above law, inter-alia, includes a provision that all contravening laws and regulations shall be null and void. It was further provided that bye-laws shall be drawn by the Ministry of Economic Affairs and Finance at the recommendation of the Central Bank of Islamic Republic of Iran and put into effect after approval by the Council of Ministers. For the drafting and approval of the said bye-laws, the law provided a time limit of four months.

- 373. The law for Riba-free-banking together with bye-laws drawn under it, have had the involvement of the following:
 - a) Council of Protectors.
 - b) Majlis Shoaraye Islami (Islamic Consultative Assembly),
 - c) Council of Ministers,
 - d) Ministry of Economic Affairs and Finance,
 - e) Central Bank of the Islamic Republic of Iran.

The high level involvement is indicative of the importance and seriousness which has been attached to elimination of $\underline{\text{Riba}}$ from the banking system in Iran.

- The Law for Riba-Free Banking in Iran, besides describing the technical functions of a banking system, gives top priority to the establishment of a monetary and credit system based on righteousness and justice (as delineated by Islamic Jurisprudence) and also for creation of necessary facilities for the extension of cooperation and Qard-e-Hasana (interest-free loan) among the general public through mobilisation of surplus funds and its utilisation for creation of opportunities for gainful employment and investment as stipulated in Article 43 of the Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Iran.
- 375. To promote the concept of Qard-e-Hasana as an instrument for the realisation of the aims of Article 43 of the Constitution, banks are obliged to earmark a portion of their resources for providing Qard-e-Hasana (interest-free loans) which shall be provided in accordan with rules adopted by the Money and Credit Council and endorsed by the Prime Minister. Qard-e-Hasana shall be provided for the following purpose:
 - i) to provide equipment, tools and other necessary resources so as to enable for creation of employment, in the form of cooperative bodies, for those who lack the necessary means.
 - to enable expansion in production with particular emphasis on agricultural, live-stock and industrial products.
 - ii) to meet essential needs.
- 376. Expenses incurred by banks on providing Qard-e-Hasana shall

377.

be collected from the borrowers and the basis for the calculation of their expenses shall be laid down by the Central Bank.

In Jordan a Bank named as "Jordan Islamic Bank" was

established in Amman and was registered on 28th November, 1978 which operates according to a pioneering banking concept based on the profitsharing system permissible under Shari'ah. The modes of financing in this Bank include Joint as well as Individual Mudarabah. This Bank operates for meeting the economic and social needs in the field of banking services, financing and investment operations on interest-free basis, which includes providing loans without interest both for productive and consumptional purposes. (See Jordan Islamic Bank, Eighth Annual Report, Al-Sharq Printing Press, Amman, 1986, page.9). 378. We are, however, fully conscious of the fact that the re-structuring of the Commercial Banks' operations on Islamic lines would represent a redical departure from the traditional British Bank system as current in Pakistan. It may, however, be noted that some features of the proposed Islamic system have recently been adopted in the Banking system of other countries. For instance, the German banks have from the very beginning been engaged in equity financing on a considerable scale. In France, the banques d'affairs, which represent an important part of the banking system, undertake investment financing on participation basis. In recent years, commercial banks in a number of countries have increasingly adopted new financing technique such as leasing, hire-purchase and the exercise of "convertibility options" which shift loans into equity.

379. The last submission of Mr.S.M.Zafar, to wait for Commission's Report is, in fact, based on the earlier submission dealt with already. In this respect, we would like to observe that the Government had sufficient time to switch over from the existing economic system based on capitalism, to Islamic economic system. The time started when the Objectives Resolution was passed by the first Constituent Assembly of Pakistan on 12th March, 1949, which has now become a substantive part of the Constitution since March 2, 1985. In the very first Constitution of 1956 the nation was assured of endeavours to be made by the Government for elimination of Interest. The said assurance was re-affirmed in all the subsequent Constitutions of 1962, 1972 and 1973, the present one. As provided under Article 230(4) of the Constitution of 1973, the Council of Islamic Ideology, (then headed by one of us, Dr. Tanzil-ur-Rahm. submitted its Final Report on 'Elimination of Interest from Country's Economy' in June, 1980. (Its interim Report on interest was submitted in 1978). The Final Report on interest was to be laid before both houses of the Parliament within six months of its receipt, and after considering that Report the Parliament was to enact the law within a period of two years. Since it was Martial Law period, it might have been laid before the National Assembly and Senate during 1985-91. The necessary enactment must have been ready by now, particularly when Shari'at has been declared to be "the Supreme law" of Pakistan on 10th April, 1991. In any case, this Court is not supposed to wait for the report of the Commission set up by the Government under the Shariat

Enforcement Act, 1991. It goes without saying that the Council of Islamic

Ideology has a Constitutional status much higher than a Commission

set up under a law.

It may not, perhaps, be out of place to mention here that late General Muhammad Zia-ul-Haq as President of Pakistan had appoint an Economic Commission in pursuance of Shari'at Ordinance,1988,promuly gated on June 15, 1988 which just on its expiry day was again promulg ted by the President Ghulam Ishaque Khan as Shari'at Ordinance(Revise on October 15, 1988. This Ordinance having not been placed before the National Assembly died its own death on February 15, 1989. Anyhow, the said Commission worked for about eight months and did some work. On inquiry by this Court to the Standing Counsel for Federation Mr. Iftikh Hussain Chaudhary, it was revealed by him that the Interim Report of the said Commission was not traceable in the Ministry of Finance; hence he was unable to say anything about the Commission's view on the subject. In fact, the impression we gathered from his submission was something like that of a Persian proverb:-

It is, however, pertinent to note that the Chairman of the said

Commission Dr.Ehsan Rashid was the same Economic Expert who was

appointed by the Council of Islamic Ideology as Chairman of the Panel

of Experts.

381. Council of Islamic Ideology was greatly benefited by the Report of Panel of Experts headed by Dr. Ehsan Rashid. In fact, it

formed basis of the various formulations and recommendations of the Council of Islamic Ideology as contained in the Council's Report on 'Elimination of the Interest from Country's Economy.'

We are called upon, in fact, duty-bound, to discharge our 382. Constitutional function to examine the provisions of fiscal law challenged before us, after expiry of the period of ten years fixed in the Constitution, and decide the question raised in the above petitions, whether or not the said provisions relating to interest are repugnant to the Injunctions of Islam? The Government is on notice for about a year and the matter relating to interest is being heard by this Court during last eight months, of course, with certain intervals. The Federation as well as the Provincial Governments, though represented by Senior Counsel rendered no assistance to the Court except raising issues. In fact, in most of the notices issued to them it was specifically stated that in case they desire to rely upon the views of some outstanding Scholars or Economists as expert witnesses they may produce them, bu they did neither produce nor even show any desire to produce any of them either from Pakistan or abroad.

383. However, we have given our anxious thought to the request of Mr.S.M.Zafar and would still give sometime more to the Federation and the four Provincial Governments to bring such laws or provisions thereof in conformity with the Injunctions of Islam. We would specify the 30th day of June, 1992, on which the decision shall take effect.

The various provisions of the laws discussed in the judgment and held repugnant to the Injunctions of Islam will cease to have effect as on and from 1st July, 1992.

and disposed of accordingly, along with SSM Nov. 2.2 & 4/I of 1991.

(Dr. Tanzil-ur-Rahman) Chief Justice

(Dr. Fida Muhammad Khan) Judge

(Abaid Ullah Khan) Judge

Islamabad, the 14th November, 1991. ABDUL RAHMAN/**** Naseer.

Approved for vaporting.